

Scottsdale, AZ

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2018



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Scottsdale's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Scottsdale's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

General aspects of Community Characteristics tended to be higher than the national benchmarks. Other facets of Community Characteristics that were higher than observed in other communities in the country were Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy and Recreation and Wellness. In Governance, evaluations of Natural Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment tended to be higher than the national averages. Levels of Participation tended to be positive and similar to comparison communities. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	30	22	0	12	31	0	1	33	2	
General	6	1	0	1	2	0	1	1	1	
Safety	1	2	0	0	7	0	0	2	1	
Mobility	1	7	0	3	4	0	0	3	0	
Natural Environment	2	1	0	3	3	0	0	3	0	
Built Environment	3	2	0	1	5	0	0	2	0	
Economy	7	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	
Recreation and Wellness	7	0	0	2	1	0	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	
Community Engagement	1	4	0	0	8	0	0	11	0	

National Benchmark							
	Higher						
	Similar						
	Lower						

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	1	90%	Customer service	1	\leftrightarrow	79%	Recommend Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	96%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	1	96%	Services provided by Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	86%	Remain in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
General	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	90%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	38%	Contacted Scottsdale employees	1	1	34%
g	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%								
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	1	97%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	1	95%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	90%								
	Overall feeling of safety	\leftrightarrow	1	95%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	98%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%	Did NOT report a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	↓	21%
Saf					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	88%				
					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	95%				
					Emergency preparedness	1	\leftrightarrow	61%				
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%				
	Traffic flow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Traffic enforcement	↔	\leftrightarrow	69%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\	\leftrightarrow	42%
	Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%	Street repair	\leftrightarrow	1	67%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%
Mobility	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Street cleaning	1	1	77%	Used public transportation instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	19%
Ψ̈́	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	75%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%				
	Travel by public transportation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	<u> </u>	76%				
	Overall ease travel	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%				
	Public parking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%				
	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	1	91%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	91%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
=	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Conserved water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	Yard waste pick-up	1	1	84%	Made home more energy efficient	↔	\leftrightarrow	74%
lat iro					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%				
En _					Scottsdale McDowell Sonoran Preserve	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	88%				
					Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	†	74%				
=	New development in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	71%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%
Built Environment	Affordable quality housing	1	\leftrightarrow	36%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Did NOT observe a code violation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%
Ş İ	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	73%				
Jilt En	Overall built environment	\leftrightarrow	1	79%	Land use, planning and zoning	↓ ↓	\leftrightarrow	51%				
<u> </u>	Public places	\leftrightarrow	↑	85%	Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	1	61%				
					Cable television	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	1	88%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	1	77%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	38%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	96%					Purchased goods or services in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	98%
Ę	Employment opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	71%					Work in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	44%
Economy	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	96%								
8	Cost of living	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	84%								
	Place to work	\leftrightarrow	<u></u>	90%								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	1	86%								
S	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	†	86%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	71%
ellnes	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	84%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Used Scottsdale recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%
š	Health care	\leftrightarrow	1	77%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	86%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%
n and	Food	\leftrightarrow	1	78%					Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	85%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	\leftrightarrow	1	64%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
ect	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	90%					<u> </u>			
~	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
	K-12 education	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	66%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	93%	Used Scottsdale public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%
p +	Cultural/arts/music activities	↔	1	83%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%
n a nen	Child care/preschool	1	\leftrightarrow	52%					Attended a City-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	51%
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%					·			
필교	Adult education	1	\leftrightarrow	66%								
	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	1	83%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	68%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%	Sense of community	1	\leftrightarrow	53%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	83%	Overall direction	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	65%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%
_	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Value of services for taxes paid	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
emen	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	81%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	18%
- - - - -	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	Confidence in City government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Watched a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	22%
Community Engagement					Acting in the best interest of Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	Volunteered	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	35%
딜					Being honest	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	32%
Com					Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	34%
									Contacted Scottsdale elected officials	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	17%
ļ									Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	78%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher}\qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher}\qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar}\qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower}\qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower}\qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$