



7-0728-00 WHITE EE-1

OFFICIAL BALLOT

0728 SAN VICTOR

OF THE GENERAL ELECTION
ELECTION DATE: NOVEMBER 5, 2024
COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA

1 of 2 FRONT

TO VOTE: COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL(S) NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S), AS SHOWN

DOE, JANE

TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: WRITE THE NAME ATOP OF THE SHADED TEXT AND COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL, AS SHOWN.

John Doe

Refer to full text of propositions and questions posted in the polling place, printed on the sample ballot and provided with all mailed early ballots.

Table with 3 columns: SECTION ONE PARTISAN BALLOT, COUNTY, and CITY OF SCOTTSDALE. Rows include various offices like County Supervisor, Mayor, County Assessor, and various propositions.

VOTE BOTH SIDES OF BOTH PAGES

<b>JUSTICES OF THE SUPREME COURT</b>		Retain: Division 47 <b>LANG, TODD F.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
Shall the following Justices of the Supreme Court of Arizona be retained in office?			NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: <b>BOLICK, CLINT</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 51 <b>MANDELL, MICHAEL</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: <b>KING, KATHRYN H.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 55 <b>MARWIL, SUZANNE SCHEINER</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
<b>JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS, DIVISION 1</b>		Retain: Division 15 <b>MCCOY, MICHAEL SCOTT</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
Shall the following Judges of the Court of Appeals, Division 1, of Arizona, be retained in office?			NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 1 <b>PATON, ANGELA K.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 73 <b>MCDOWELL, DAVID E.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
<b>JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT</b>		Retain: Division 30 <b>MIKITISH, JOSEPH P.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?			NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 29 <b>ADLEMAN, JAY R.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 78 <b>MILLER, KEITH</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 56 <b>AGNE, SARA J.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 48 <b>MINDER, SCOTT S.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 76 <b>ALLEN, GLENN A.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 16 <b>PALMER, DAVID J.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 74 <b>AVELAR, STASY D</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 84 <b>PARKER, AMANDA MONCAYO</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 52 <b>BERESKY, JUSTIN</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 59 <b>PONCE, ADELE</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 58 <b>BLANEY, SCOTT A.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 75 <b>RUSSELL, ANDREW J.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 33 <b>BUSTAMANTE, LORI HORN</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 04 <b>RYAN, TIMOTHY JOSEPH</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 31 <b>COFFEY, RODRICK J.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 32 <b>STARR, PATRICIA ANN</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 28 <b>COHEN, SUZANNE E</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 19 <b>THOMPSON, PETER</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 18 <b>COURY, CHRISTOPHER A.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 83 <b>VALENZUELA, MICHAEL FERNANDO</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 82 <b>CUSHNER, QUINTIN</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 53 <b>VANDEMBERG, LISA A.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 79 <b>DRAKE, JIM</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 77 <b>WAHLIN, LISA S.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 50 <b>DRIGGS, ADAM</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 54 <b>WEIN, KEVIN</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 49 <b>FISK, RONDA R.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>	Retain: Division 07 <b>WHITTEN, CHRISTOPHER T.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>
	NO <input type="radio"/>		NO <input type="radio"/>
Retain: Division 81 <b>GARBARINO, DAVID W.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 17 <b>GATES, PAM</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 05 <b>GORDON, MICHAEL D.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 80 <b>HALVORSON, ASHLEY V.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 06 <b>HANNAH JR., JOHN R.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 01 <b>KEMP, MICHAEL WILLIAM</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 85 <b>KNAPP, JAMES R.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		
Retain: Division 57 <b>LABIANCA, MARGARET B.</b>	YES <input type="radio"/>		
	NO <input type="radio"/>		





7-0728-00 WHITE EE-2

OFFICIAL BALLOT OF THE GENERAL ELECTION ELECTION DATE: NOVEMBER 5, 2024 COUNTY OF MARICOPA, STATE OF ARIZONA

0728 SAN VICTOR

2 of 2 FRONT

Table with 3 columns: STATE, PROPOSITION 136, PROPOSITION 139. Rows include Propositions 133, 134, 135, 137, 138, 139, and 311. Each row contains text describing the proposition and voting options (YES/NO).

<b>PROPOSITION 312</b>	<b>COUNTY</b>
<b>REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PROPERTY TAX</b>	<b>PROPOSITION 479</b>
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of establishing the right to apply for a refund from a property owner's most recent property tax payment up to an amount that matches costs incurred by the property owner to mitigate the effects of a governing authority's repeated failure to enforce laws and ordinances prohibiting illegal camping, loitering, obstructing public thoroughfares, panhandling, public urination or defecation, public consumption of alcoholic beverages, and possession or use of illegal substances. If the documented costs exceed the amount of the most recent property tax bill, the property owner would be permitted to apply for a refund from their next property tax payment(s) to cover the balance of the initial claim. Property owners would be eligible annually for refunds until the taxing entity begins enforcing the relevant public nuisance laws.	RELATING TO COUNTY TRANSPORTATION EXCISE (SALES) TAXES Do you favor the continuation of a county transaction privilege (sales) tax for regional transportation purposes in Maricopa County? A "YES" vote has the effect of continuing the transaction privilege (sales) tax in Maricopa County for twenty years to provide funding for transportation projects as contained in the regional strategic transportation infrastructure investment plan. A "NO" vote has the effect of rejecting the transaction privilege (sales) tax for transportation purposes in Maricopa County.
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of retaining the current primary property tax payment laws and regulations.	YES <input type="radio"/>
YES <input type="radio"/>	NO <input type="radio"/>
<b>NO <input type="radio"/></b>	<b>PROPOSITION 486</b>
<b>PROPOSITION 313</b>	Shall the base expenditure limit of the Maricopa County Community College District established in fiscal year 1979-80 be permanently adjusted by \$52,841,755. A "YES" vote shall have the effect of permanently adjusting the Maricopa County Community College District's base expenditure limit established in fiscal year 1979-80 by \$52,841,755. A "NO" vote shall have the effect of not permanently adjusting Maricopa County Community College District's base expenditure limit established in fiscal year 1979-80 by \$52,841,755.
<b>REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO CHILD SEX TRAFFICKING SENTENCING</b>	BASE EXPENDITURE LIMIT ADJUSTMENT, YES <input type="radio"/>
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of eliminating the current sentencing ranges for a Class 2 child sex trafficking conviction. The sentence for a person convicted of a Class 2 felony for child sex trafficking would be imprisonment for natural life without the possibility of release.	BASE EXPENDITURE LIMIT ADJUSTMENT, NO <input type="radio"/>
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current statutory sentencing ranges for those convicted of a Class 2 felony for child sex trafficking. The current sentencing ranges are between 7 years and natural life imprisonment without the possibility of release, depending on the age of the victim, the defendant's criminal history, and other factors.	
YES <input type="radio"/>	
NO <input type="radio"/>	
<b>PROPOSITION 314</b>	
<b>REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO RESPONSES TO HARMS AT THE BORDER</b>	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of creating new crimes regarding the following conduct by any person not lawfully present in the United States: (1) applying for a public benefit by submitting a false document; (2) submitting false information to an employer regarding the person's authorization to work in the United States; (3) entering Arizona from a foreign country at any location other than a lawful port of entry; (4) refusing to comply with a court order to return to the person's country of origin or entry. Also creates a new crime of selling fentanyl that causes the death of another person. Requires state courts to issue an order to return to a foreign country if a person is convicted of the illegal entry crime. The order to return must include an authorization allowing state and local law enforcement to transport the person to a port of entry or into federal custody.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current criminal and procedural laws.	
YES <input type="radio"/>	
NO <input type="radio"/>	
<b>PROPOSITION 315</b>	
<b>REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO RULEMAKING</b>	
A "YES" vote shall have the effect of requiring state agencies to submit any proposed rule that is estimated to increase regulatory costs by more than \$100,000 within five years after implementation to the Office of Economic Opportunity for review. If the Office of Economic Opportunity determines that the proposed rule is estimated to increase regulatory costs by more than \$500,000 within five years after implementation, the proposed rule shall not become effective unless the legislature enacts legislation ratifying the proposed rule. The Corporation Commission and emergency rules are exempt from this act.	
A "NO" vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws related to state agency rulemaking.	
YES <input type="radio"/>	
NO <input type="radio"/>	

