



07-0728-00 WHITE - EE

OFFICIAL BALLOT

0728 SAN VICTOR

TO VOTE: COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL(S) NEXT TO YOUR CHOICE(S), AS SHOWN

DOE, JANE



TO VOTE FOR A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE: WRITE THE NAME ATOP OF THE SHADED TEXT AND COMPLETELY FILL THE OVAL, AS SHOWN.

Write-In Candidate

John Doe



Refer to full text of propositions and questions posted in the polling place and provided with all mailed early ballots.

SECTION ONE PARTISAN BALLOT		JUSTICE OF THE PEACE MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?	
FEDERAL					
U.S. REPRESENTATIVE IN CONGRESS DIST. 1 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		REAGAN, MICHELE (REP) ☐		Retain: Division 17 ASTROWSKY, BRAD	YES ☐
SHAH, AMISH (DEM) ☐		Write-In Candidate ☐			NO ☐
FEELY IV, THOMAS "JAY" (REP) ☐		CONSTABLE MCDOWELL MOUNTAIN (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		Retain: Division 37 BLAIR, MICHAEL C.	YES ☐
ALPONTE, MONICA (LBT) ☐		DIAZ, DAN (REP) ☐			NO ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 53 BLANCHARD, JOHN	YES ☐
STATE		SECTION TWO NONPARTISAN BALLOT			NO ☐
GOVERNOR AND LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		SCOTTSDALE USD NO. 48		Retain: Division 54 BROOKS, ROBERT IAN	YES ☐
HOBBS, KATIE (DEM) ☐		SCHOOL GOVERNING BOARD MEMBER (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)			NO ☐
GILES, JOHN (PND)		BEASLEY, JEANNE ☐		Retain: Division 76 CAIRO, SUNITA A.	YES ☐
BIGGS, ANDY (REP) ☐		CARNEY, AMY L. ☐			NO ☐
KERR, SINE (REP)		JOHNSON, JENNIFER M ☐		Retain: Division 78 CARSON, MICHELLE	YES ☐
LOMBARDO, RISA (GRN) ☐		Write-In Candidate ☐			NO ☐
CASTILLO, LISA (GRN)		Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 35 COMO, GREGORY S.	YES ☐
HOURIHAN, TERI ANN (NOL) ☐		QUESTION 1			NO ☐
HARROLLE MOHAN, ANGELA (PND)		A "YES" vote shall authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to issue and sell \$375,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.		Retain: Division 15 COOPER, KATHERINE (KAY) MARGARET	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		A "NO" vote shall not authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.			NO ☐
STATE SENATOR DIST. 4 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)				Retain: Division 60 COVIL, MAX-HENRI	YES ☐
LIEBERMAN, AARON (DEM) ☐		BOND APPROVAL, YES ☐			NO ☐
WERNER, CARINE (REP) ☐		BOND APPROVAL, NO ☐		Retain: Division 36 CULBERTSON, KRISTIN R.	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		QUESTION 2			NO ☐
STATE REPRESENTATIVE DIST. 4 (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)		A "YES" vote shall authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to sell, lease or exchange all or part of the described parcels of real property and use all or a portion of any such proceeds for any permitted capital expenditure.		Retain: Division 55 DAVIS, MARVIN L.	YES ☐
CAPUTI, TAMMY (DEM) ☐		A "NO" vote shall not authorize the Scottsdale Unified School District No. 48 Governing Board to sell, lease or exchange all or part of the described parcels of real property.			NO ☐
GRESHAM, KAREN (DEM) ☐				Retain: Division 61 EDELSTEIN, MONICA N.B.	YES ☐
CARTER, PAMELA (REP) ☐					NO ☐
GRESS, MATT (REP) ☐				Retain: Division 25 FISH, GEOFFREY H	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		SALE, LEASE OR EXCHANGE OF REAL PROPERTY, YES ☐			NO ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		SALE, LEASE OR EXCHANGE OF REAL PROPERTY, NO ☐		Retain: Division 29 FOX, DEWAIN	YES ☐
SECRETARY OF STATE (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		MARICOPA COUNTY COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT			NO ☐
FONTES, ADRIAN (DEM) ☐				Retain: Division 75 FRANCIS, JILLIAN	YES ☐
KOLODIN, ALEXANDER (REP) ☐		BOARD MEMBER AT-LARGE (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)			NO ☐
COLLIER, DUWAYNE (GRN) ☐		CROW, JOHN DAVID ☐		Retain: Division 82 GILLA, MARISCHA	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		SCHWIEBERT, JUDY ☐			NO ☐
ATTORNEY GENERAL (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 28 GREEN, JENNIFER E.	YES ☐
MAYES, KRIS (DEM) ☐		CITY OF SCOTTSDALE			NO ☐
PETERSEN, WARREN (REP) ☐		COUNCILMEMBER (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)		Retain: Division 86 GUYTON, LAUREN R.	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		GRAHAM, BARRY ☐			NO ☐
STATE TREASURER (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		UGENTI-RITA, MICHELLE ☐		Retain: Division 79 HARMON, MELODY G.	YES ☐
MANSOUR, NICK (DEM) ☐		ZUBIA, RAOUL ☐			NO ☐
NORTON, ELIJAH (REP) ☐		Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 14 HERROD, MICHAEL J.	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		Write-In Candidate ☐			NO ☐
SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		JUSTICE OF THE SUPREME COURT Shall the following Justice of the Supreme Court be retained in office?		Retain: Division 74 JACKSON, CHARLENE D	YES ☐
RUIZ, TERESA LEYBA (DEM) ☐		Retain: LOPEZ IV, JOHN	YES ☐	Retain: Division 50 JULIAN, MELISSA I.	YES ☐
YEE, KIMBERLY (REP) ☐			NO ☐		NO ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐				Retain: Division 80 KALMAN, AMY MICHELLE	YES ☐
STATE MINE INSPECTOR (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)		JUDGES OF THE COURT OF APPEALS Shall the following Judges of the Court of Appeals, Division 1 be retained in office?			NO ☐
MATLOCK, BRIAN (DEM) ☐		Retain: Division 1 CATLETT, MICHAEL	YES ☐	Retain: Division 51 KIEFER, JOSEPH	YES ☐
PRESMYK, WALTER "LES" (REP) ☐			NO ☐		NO ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐				Retain: Division 32 KORBIN STEINER, RONEE	YES ☐
CORPORATION COMMISSIONER (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 2)		Retain: Division 1 HILL FOSTER, ANNI	YES ☐		NO ☐
HILL, JONATHON (DEM) ☐			NO ☐	Retain: Division 03 KREAMER, JOSEPH C	YES ☐
PRATTE, CLARA (DEM) ☐		Retain: Division 1 HOWE, RANDALL	YES ☐		NO ☐
HEAP, RALPH (REP) ☐			NO ☐	Retain: Division 33 LEMAIRE, KERSTIN	YES ☐
THOMPSON, KEVIN (REP) ☐		Retain: Division 1 JACOBS, ANDREW	YES ☐		NO ☐
CEASE, MIKE (GRN) ☐			NO ☐	Retain: Division 05 MARTIN, DANIEL G.	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 1 KILEY, DANIEL	YES ☐		NO ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐			NO ☐	Retain: Division 59 MATA, JULIE	YES ☐
COUNTY		Retain: Division 1 MORSE JR., JAMES	YES ☐		NO ☐
CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT (VOTE FOR NOT MORE THAN 1)			NO ☐	Retain: Division 81 MCCARTHY, STEVEN WARREN	YES ☐
MATTA, SEBASTIAN SEB MAXIMILIANO (DEM) ☐		Retain: Division 1 PERKINS, JENNIFER	YES ☐		NO ☐
DIAL, JEFF (REP) ☐			NO ☐	Retain: Division 26 MOSKOWITZ, FRANK WILLIAM	YES ☐
Write-In Candidate ☐		Retain: Division 1 WEINZWEIG, DAVID	YES ☐		NO ☐
			NO ☐	Retain: Division 06 MYERS, SAM J.	YES ☐
					NO ☐

VOTE BOTH SIDES

SECTION TWO NONPARTISAN BALLOT		PROPOSITION 144		PROPOSITION 320	
JUDGES OF THE SUPERIOR COURT		PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO ELECTION REQUIREMENTS		REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO SCHOOL DISTRICT BUDGETS	
Shall the following Judges of the Superior Court be retained in office?		A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to: (1) require voters to show proof of identity when voting in each election, including when voting by mail; (2) changing existing proof of identity requirements to limit acceptable documentation to government-issued ID; (3) require election officials to provide all voters the option to have their ballot tabulated at their voting location on election day; (4) prohibit foreign nationals from contributing or spending to influence elections in Arizona and prohibit anyone from knowingly accepting such contributions, similar to prohibitions that already exist in federal law; (5) create a new standard for judicial review of election laws under the Arizona Constitution, which may make it harder to challenge election laws; and (6) require the legislature to appropriate money necessary to implement these provisions. A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining current constitutional provisions governing elections.		A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring school districts with 7,500 or more students in any county or that operate in a county with 500,000 or more people to spend at least 60% of their operational spending on direct instructional expenses; (2) requiring the state Auditor General to define “operational spending” and “direct instructional expenses”; (3) requiring non-compliant school districts to gradually adjust their spending each year until they are in compliance; and (4) requiring the state Department of Education to reduce funding from the state Classroom Site Fund by 25% each year for non-compliant districts, subject to limited waivers that the state Superintendent of Public Instruction may authorize. A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing school district budgets.	
Retain: Division 56 NICHOLLS, SUZANNE M.	YES ☐				
Retain: Division 83 O'DONNELL-SMITH, COLLEEN	YES ☐	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) retroactively prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2027; and (2) after that time, prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries without voter approval and limiting any new or increased tax to a maximum rate of 2%.	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of approving a sales tax of one-fifth of one cent (\$0.002) for county jail facilities and programs, the same rate as the current tax that is expiring. A “NO” vote shall have the effect of rejecting a sales tax of one-fifth of one cent (\$0.002) for jail facilities and programs.	COUNTY	
	NO ☐			PROPOSITION 413	
Retain: Division 16 POLK, JAY M.	YES ☐	Notice: Pursuant to Proposition 105 (1998), these measures cannot be changed in the future if approved on the ballot except by a three-fourths vote of the members of each house of the legislature and if the change furthers the purpose of the original ballot measure, by an initiative petition or by referring the change to the ballot.	PROPOSITION RELATING TO TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX FOR JAIL FACILITIES		
	NO ☐		PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION		
Retain: Division 57 RASSAS, MICHAEL Z	YES ☐	PROPOSITION 316		PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION	
	NO ☐	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO MUNICIPAL TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX		A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to prohibit: (1) taxes or fees based on motor vehicle miles traveled; and (2) laws or rules that monitor or limit motor vehicle miles traveled without consent. These prohibitions do not apply in certain instances to interstate commercial vehicles or to vehicles owned by the state or local governments.	
Retain: Division 31 ROGERS, JOSHUA D	YES ☐	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO MUNICIPAL TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX		A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current constitutional language related to taxation.	
	NO ☐	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) retroactively prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries from July 1, 2025, through June 30, 2027; and (2) after that time, prohibiting local governments from adopting or increasing a tax on groceries without voter approval and limiting any new or increased tax to a maximum rate of 2%.		YES ☐	
Retain: Division 30 RUETER, JEFFREY	YES ☐	PROPOSITION 317		NO ☐	
	NO ☐	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY			
Retain: Division 27 RYAN-TOUHILL, JENNIFER	YES ☐	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) declaring drug cartels as terrorist organizations and directing the Arizona Department of Homeland Security to do everything in its authority to address threats posed by drug cartels; (2) defining “drug cartel” to mean an ongoing formal or informal association of persons engaged in human smuggling, drug trafficking, or any act defined as terrorism under Arizona law; and (3) clarifying that this measure does not support an alien’s asylum claim.			
	NO ☐	A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to terrorism and the Arizona Department of Homeland Security.			
Retain: Division 58 SCHWARTZ, ARYEH D.	YES ☐	PROPOSITION 318			
	NO ☐	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO STUDENT ATHLETICS			
Retain: Division 13 VIOLA, DANIELLE J.	YES ☐	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) requiring private schools, public schools, and athletic associations to designate every athletic team as male, female, or coed/mixed based on sex and to prevent males from joining female teams; existing law imposes this requirement only on public schools and those competing against them; (2) prohibiting schools and athletic associations from authorizing anyone to use private spaces (such as restrooms) not designated for that individual’s sex; (3) defining “sex” as an individual’s biological status as male or female as recorded at birth on an original birth certificate; and (4) authorizing athletes to sue schools and athletic associations that knowingly violate this law.			
	NO ☐	A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws relating to student athletics.			
Retain: Division 07 WARNER, RANDALL H.	YES ☐	PROPOSITION 319			
	NO ☐	REFERRED TO THE PEOPLE BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO PHOTO ENFORCEMENT SYSTEMS			
Retain: Division 02 WELTY, JOSEPH C	YES ☐	A “YES” vote shall have the effect of: (1) prohibiting state agencies and local governments from using photo enforcement systems to identify violators of traffic laws unless the agency or government contracts to use those systems by December 31, 2026; and (2) requiring local governments to obtain voter approval of existing photo enforcement systems at the next regular general election and every 10 years afterward to continue using photo enforcement systems.			
	NO ☐	A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current laws governing photo enforcement systems.			
Retain: Division 34 WHITEHEAD, ROY "CHUCK"	YES ☐			YES ☐	
	NO ☐			NO ☐	
Retain: Division 85 WILLIAMS, PAULA	YES ☐				
	NO ☐				
Retain: Division 84 WINGARD, WILLIAM R.	YES ☐				
	NO ☐				
Retain: Division 52 WOO, CASSIE BRAY	YES ☐				
	NO ☐				
Retain: Division 77 ZABOR, MELISSA	YES ☐				
	NO ☐				
STATE					
PROPOSITION 141					
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO TAXATION					
A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution to prohibit: (1) taxes or fees based on motor vehicle miles traveled; and (2) laws or rules that monitor or limit motor vehicle miles traveled without consent. These prohibitions do not apply in certain instances to interstate commercial vehicles or to vehicles owned by the state or local governments.					
A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current constitutional language related to taxation.					
YES ☐					
NO ☐					
PROPOSITION 142					
PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO THE CONSTITUTION BY THE LEGISLATURE RELATING TO THE PROHIBITION OF PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT AND DISCRIMINATION					
A “YES” vote shall have the effect of amending the Arizona Constitution’s current prohibition against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes, to specifically prohibit: (1) compelling individuals to support preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity as a condition of education, employment, or contract opportunities; (2) spending public money on positions in public education responsible for promoting preferential treatment or discrimination based on race or ethnicity; and (3) implementing disciplinary practices that treat students or employees differently based on race or ethnicity. Prohibited conduct includes requiring or asking an applicant, employee, student, or contractor to provide a statement in support of race-based diversity, equity, and inclusion beyond upholding the federal Equal Protection Clause or asking such a person to discuss the person’s race or ethnicity or experience with others’ race or ethnicity. The amendment contains certain exceptions for health services, legal, and other reasons.					
A “NO” vote shall have the effect of maintaining the current prohibition in the Arizona Constitution against preferential treatment or discrimination by the state based on race, ethnicity, or other classes.					
YES ☐					
NO ☐					

