

#### **SUMMARIZED MINUTES**

# CITY OF SCOTTSDALE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING

THURSDAY, JULY 21, 2011

KIVA – CITY HALL 3939 N. DRINKWATER BOULEVARD SCOTTSDALE, AZ 85251

# **CALL TO ORDER**

Chair Weiss called the Regular Meeting of the Scottsdale Transportation Commission to order at 6:00 p.m.

#### **ROLL CALL**

**PRESENT:** Josh Weiss, Chair

Carleton Cole, Commissioner Paul Holley, Commissioner Donald Maxwell, Commissioner Steven Olmsted, Commissioner Paul Ward, Commissioner

ABSENT: Terry Gruver, Vice Chair

**STAFF:** Dave Meinhart, Transportation Director

Rose Arballo, Transportation Commission Coordinator

Susan Conklu, Transportation Planner

Annie DeChance, Public Information Coordinator

Sarah Ferrara, Public Information Officer

Reed Kempton, Principal Transportation Planner

Paul Porell, Traffic Engineering and Operations Manager

Robin Rodgers, Project Manager - Capital Project Management

George Williams, Senior Traffic Engineer

OTHERS PRESENT: Jim Coffman, Consultant

Jennifer Grentz, ADOT Community Relations Project Manager

Ron McCally, ADOT Project Manager Rob Ringwald, ADOT Project Consultant

## 2. INTRODUCTION OF NEW TRANSPORTATION COMMISSIONER PAUL HOLLEY

Chair Weiss introduced new Transportation Commissioner Paul Holley. Commissioner Holley has been a resident of Scottsdale for 25 years and is retired from a transportation engineering firm.

## 3. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

- Study Session of the Transportation Commission June 16, 2011
- Regular Meeting of the Transportation Commission June 16, 2011

COMMISSIONER MAXWELL MOVED TO APPROVE THE STUDY SESSION AND REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 16, 2011. COMMISSIONER WARD SECONDED. THE MOTION CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY SIX (6) TO ZERO (0).

## 3. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment was received.

## 4. MOUNTAIN VIEW TRAIL UPDATE

Mr. Meinhart explained that tonight's presentation is based on follow-up discussion from the January 20<sup>th</sup> Commission meeting regarding the preferred alignment on 125<sup>th</sup> Street to try to provide a connection from Stonegate Equestrian Park to the Lost Dog Trailhead.

Mr. Coffman gave an update on the status of this project since January and gave an overview of the design and planning process. He also reviewed the preferred alignment that was discussed at the January meeting in more detail. He asked for feedback from the Commission on comparison of the two routes (124<sup>th</sup> Street and 125<sup>th</sup> Street) that have been identified between the Central Arizona Project (CAP) Canal and Cochise. He explained that this project is being organized into three sections because of different complexities and/or simplicities. He further reviewed, in detail, the proposed trail going thru sections 1,2, and 3 and mentioned that the project team and staff have been meeting with property owners to discuss any concerns.

The project is at 60% design and has been reviewed with the Trails Subcommittee and property owners. The 60% plan design is also available on the City's website. It is anticipated

that this project will be presented to the Development Review Board in September 2011, City Council by Fall 2011, followed by construction in late 2011 or early Spring 2012.

Estimated costs for section 1 is \$350,000; section 2 at \$750,000 to \$950,000; and section 3 at \$456,000.

Chair Weiss opened the floor for public comment:

**Linda Meredith**, resident on Mountain View, supports this trail on 125<sup>th</sup> Street. She commented that homes south of Shea are not in a homeowner's association allowing horses on those properties. A trail in the area would accommodate walkers, cyclists, and people on horseback, therefore, a natural surface of decomposed granite or such is needed to safely walk or ride. With regards to horse waste, not all horse owners own horse trailers to trailer their horse(s) and, therefore, ride in the neighborhood. Ms. Meredith also feels that widening 124<sup>th</sup> Street will make drivers speed.

**Tina Price**, equestrian and resident on Mountain View for 12 years, supports this trail on 125<sup>th</sup> Street. She has observed speeding cars and traffic on Mountain View. Ms. Price feels that the trail alignment on 125<sup>th</sup> Street will not only serve equestrians, but also children in strollers, joggers, and walkers. In addition, the trail will comply with the Americans with Disability Act allowing everyone to have access to the trail to enjoy the beauty of the community.

Ross Stuart, property owner on 101<sup>st</sup> Street, spoke on behalf of other residents in his area who oppose the preferred alignment on 125<sup>th</sup> Street. Mr. Stuart referred to maps of his property area and expressed concern with the maintenance and alignment of the proposed trail. There is concern that the proposed alignment of the trail could impact some homes that are on a GLO (Government Land Office) easement and that the City will not maintain the trail thru this area. Mr. Stuart also mentioned he owns vacant land that runs thru the preferred trail alignment and is not allowed to develop his property unless he constructs a trail that would go under Shea Boulevard. He interpreted that he basically would have to wait one year or more to improve his property. The proposed alignment is also in section 2 of the trail, which is the most expensive to build.

**Bruce Haseley,** resident on Mountain View for 12 years, supports this trail and asked the Commission to move forward with this project. He appreciates the speed tables that were installed by the City to slow down drivers. Regarding maintenance of the trail, he already maintains the front of his property just like his neighbors; therefore, he has no opposition to staff moving forward with this project.

Written comments from the public below were submitted for the record (see Attachment A).

Harrison Bewley (oppose)
Jon Grenfell (oppose)
Morley C. Meredith (in support of)
Kim Wilkinson (oppose)
Joy Stuart (oppose)

Vincenzo Rossiello (oppose)
Glorianne Michels (oppose)
Hoffman Albert (oppose)
William Smaltz (in support of)

Much discussion amongst staff and the Commissioners ensued regarding maintenance of trails in non-HOA (homeowners association) properties versus properties in an HOA. Discussion was also held regarding the trail alignment criteria, funding of this project and other trail projects, and construction covenants on undeveloped land, specifically referring to vacant land owned by Mr. Stuart.

With regards to vacant land, staff explained the standard process taken by the City when building trails. It was clarified that clear direction from the City Attorney's office has been received indicating that GLO (Government Land Office) patent easements can be used for trails.

Commissioner Ward expressed that guidelines set for the maintenance of trails are not clear making it difficult for property owners to understand. As far as landscape maintenance and sidewalk repairs, Mr. Meinhart and staff explained the normal responsibilities taken by the City per City Ordinance.

Commissioner Cole commented that he would like to review this item further.

Chair Weiss commented that extensive and detailed discussions on this project have been held with both the Trails Subcommittee and Transportation Commission. He suggested that the Commission take action to provide staff with direction on the corridor alignment for 124<sup>th</sup> Street versus 125<sup>th</sup> Street, and provide direction on prioritizing possible segments 1, 2, and 3.

COMMISSIONER OLMSTED MOVED TO MAINTAIN THE PREFERRED TRAIL ALIGNMENT ON 125<sup>TH</sup> STREET AS WAS ORIGINALLY RECOMMENDED BY THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION ON JANUARY 20, 2011. COMMISSIONER WARD SECONDED. COMMISSIONER COLE ABSTAINED. THE MOTION PASSED FIVE (5) TO ONE (1).

COMMISSIONER HOLLEY MOVED TO PRIORITIZE TRAIL CONSTRUCTION PHASING IN THE ORDER OF SECTION 1 (STONEGATE EQUESTRIAN PARK TO THE CAP), SECTION 3 (SAHUARO TO LOST DOG WASH), THEN SECTION 2 (CAP TO SAHUARO). COMMISSIONER MAXWELL SECONDED. COMMISSIONER COLE ABSTAINED. THE MOTION PASSED FIVE (5) TO ONE (1).

## 6. POTENTIAL ADOT IMPROVEMENTS

Ms. Grentz introduced Mr. Ron McCally, ADOT Project Manager, and Mr. Rob Ringwald, Project Consultant, who gave an overview on this project. Also reviewed were the purpose, schedule, history, current activity, and improvements for this project which will construct one

general purpose lane in each direction on the Loop 101, two general purpose lanes in the northbound direction from McDowell Road to Loop 101/Loop 202 Traffic Interchange entrance ramp, and auxiliary lanes in each direction between Indian Bend and McDonald Drive.

Mr. McCally explained that the project spans 11 miles from Shea Boulevard to the Loop 202 interchange, however, only 1.3 miles are within the limits of Scottsdale. The remaining portion of the project is on the SRPMIC (Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community). This project will implement the Regional Transportation Plan and will improve overall freeway capacity and traffic flow.

Mr. Ringwald gave an update on the current activity of this project which is at 30% design. He explained that the MAG noise wall project on the east side of the freeway from the Camelback Walk to south of Via Linda is not part of this project. ADOT (Arizona Department of Transportation) staff is working in coordination with MAG staff to accommodate future general purpose lanes which involves several roadway improvements. As the project moves into final design, ADOT will continue to coordinate in more detail with City staff and the SRPMIC.

Chair Weiss opened the floor for public comment. No public comment was received.

Commissioner Ward expressed his interest in making sure that City staffs focus on landscaping, aesthetics, and land use around the freeway system for this project. He mentioned that Scottsdale is unique and well-known for its artwork on the Pima Freeway, and encouraged that discussions be held early on between ADOT and the SRPMIC in making sure that the aesthetics expectancy level is maintained as it exists today, or perhaps even consider going above the City's expectations. Mr. Porell stated that although the City's level of aesthetic is much higher than what can be afforded in the regional program, staff will be working with ADOT to try to identify the budgeted aesthetic element of this widening project. It is staffs' intent to come back to the Commission with some possible ideas on how improving aesthetics for this project can be done. Mr. Meinhart added that ADOT is 30% in the design stage and that a majority of opportunities for landscaping are within the limits of SRPMIC and not within the City's limits; therefore, coordination between SRPMIC, City of Scottsdale, and ADOT would need to occur to make sure everyone is in agreement. Once the project gets underway north of Shea, the City could have more opportunity to focus on the landscaping issues.

With regards to land use, Commissioner Ward suggests that the City take the opportunity in ensuring that tall structures are not built beyond the City's limit, specifically around the Princess traffic interchange.

Chair Weiss and Commissioner Olmsted concurred with Commissioner Ward's comments.

In response to Commissioner Holley's concern on any consideration to revamping of off-ramps at 90<sup>th</sup> Street and Shea, Mr. Ringwald explained that the only ramps that would be changed are those in the southern portion of the project (northbound 202 to SR101 connection) where a bit of a weaving issue in traffic has been identified. The addition of two general purpose lanes

at this location will increase traffic flow and capacity that will help to alleviate traffic congestion along this portion of the corridor.

# 7. <u>AIRPARK AREA CIRCULATION UPDATE</u>

Mr. Meinhart introduced Mr. Williams who has had continuous discussions on the progress of improvements in the Airpark area. Most recently, on July 5<sup>th</sup>, Mr. Williams gave an update to the City Council on work the Transportation Commission has been doing on prioritizing improvements. The update included a significant amount of information on roundabouts, as part of the roundabout education outreach efforts endorsed by the Commission.

Mr. Williams gave an update on staff activities regarding the Airpark Access Circulation Study focusing on the Northsight Extension project. He briefly reviewed the steps in the process and stated that the Northsight Extension project is in 30% design. A review of the various improvements being considered at the intersections of Frank Lloyd Wright/Northsight; Hayden/Northsight; and the Raintree intersection was also provided.

Major considerations are being given to the intersections of Northsight/Frank Lloyd Wright; Hayden/Northsight; and the Raintree extension. Design for a four leg intersection at Northsight/Frank Lloyd Wright could be considered in the future; however, a three leg intersection would be constructed with the Northsight extension project. This location is at 30% design.

At Northsight/Hayden, a multi-lane roundabout is being considered. One of the significant benefits of a roundabout at this location is that it will help try to encourage and facilitate the ability of drivers to choose the Northsight extension.

With regard to the Raintree Extension, staff is planning to begin further analysis of capacity needs for this location. A 3-lane versus a 5-lane, or 3-lane expandable to 5 lane corridor is being studied. This section will mostly likely move forward after the Northsight extension improvements are complete.

Next steps for this project are as follows:

- Choose a design consultant to complete the 100% design of Northsight.
- Take a design contract to the City Council for review/approval in Fall 2011.
- Begin Phase 2 of the Airpark circulation plan by looking at the issue on the west side of the runway.
- Continue analysis of the Raintree Extension to help determine the need for 3 versus 5 lanes.

Chair Weiss opened the floor for public comment. No public comment was received.

Chair Weiss believes that further traffic data collection for the Raintree Extension to determine the need of 3 lanes or 5 lanes is not necessary. He suggests that the construction of 5 lanes should be considered to avoid having to make further improvements in the future.

Mr. Meinhart commented that due to a large amount of commercial activity in the Airpark, before scheduling construction, it is critical for staff to communicate with impacted business owners to discuss any issues/concerns to try to accommodate them.

Staff will continue to provide the Commission with project updates.

## 8. DRAFT FUTURE BOND PROJECT LIST

Mr. Meinhart introduced Mr. Porell who reviewed the draft future bond project lists for potential transportation projects for consideration in a future bond program.

Mr. Porell indicated that on July 5, 2011, City Council approved the formation of a Bond Task force consisting of 9 regular members and 4 alternate members that would report back to City Council by March 2012 identifying and prioritizing various projects. It was explained that instead of having one large transportation project list, Transportation staff representatives of the staff working group developed three different project lists that identified projects that can be accomplished within a 3-5 year range in the bond programs for 2012, 2015, and 2018. The lists include a total of 49 projects. Mr. Porell mentioned that capital needs are not solely associated with transportation improvements and that those capital improvements across a broad range of facilities that the City supplies were looked at.

Chair Weiss opened the floor for public comment; no public comment was received.

Staff answered questions from the Commissioners regarding the identified potential bond projects versus projects identified in the CIP (Capital Improvement Program) and funding sources considered for each of the identified projects.

Commissioner Ward commented it is a good opportunity for the Transportation Commission to work with the Bond Task Force to see what areas they both seem to focus on. He also expressed concern in that the identified projects on the different lists do not mean that the Transportation Commission is compelled to such projects.

Chair Ward commented that as this process moves forward, priority should be given to quality of life projects, ensure appropriate funding is provided, and any discussions held that could have an impact on the community that will change infrastructure needs should be shared with the Transportation Commission.

The Citizen Bond Review Commission will meet for the first time on July 28<sup>th</sup>. Transportation staff will continue to share information from the staff working committee, and will seek input from the Transportation Commission as this process moves forward.

# 9. OTHER TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS

Mr. Meinhart commented that the Indian Bend Road Improvements project was submitted by the City's Capital Project Management Department for consideration by the American Public Works Association (APWA) for project of the year. After extensive discussions with residents, road improvements for this project included a bridge over the Indian Bend Wash, a four-lane roadway constructed between Scottsdale and Hayden Roads, significant public art on both sides of the bridge, and bike lanes. APWA recognized this project, nationally, as a winner in the category for transportation projects between \$5 million and less than \$25 million.

## 10. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comment was received.

# 11. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS</u>

Due to no time sensitive agenda items to be discussed at the next meeting, no Commission meeting will be held on August 18<sup>th</sup>.

## 12. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business to discuss, Chair Weiss adjourned the Regular Meeting at 8:20 p.m.

SUBMITTED BY:

Rose Arballo Transportation Coordinator

\*NOTE: These are summary action meeting minutes only. A complete copy of the audio/video recording is available at <a href="http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/Transp.asp">http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/boards/Transp.asp</a>

I do NOT support the equestrian trail alignment along 124th Street which is the non-preferred option. The traffic is dangerous and the street is overused, adding horse traffic with the automobile and bicycle traffic is a disaster!!!! The only safe alignment is along 125<sup>th</sup> which is the preferred alignment. Please do not consider the 124<sup>th</sup> street alignment under any circumstances; it is too crowded and totally unsafe for man or beast. I believe the 124<sup>th</sup> street choice would put the city at great risk of lawsuits if any accidents were to occur, which frankly seems inevitable since they occur regularly on this stretch of 124<sup>th</sup> street without horse traffic. Automobile drivers routinely speed at over 20 mph over the speed limit and it is a major bicycle route as well. Currently equestrians use the 125<sup>th</sup> street option to avoid the traffic; so please, please only consider the trail that is already being used which is the 125<sup>th</sup> street option.

The trail will pass beside my property at the plans for the trail have changed since I last saw them to include a concrete path and a large retaining wall near the wash. I understand that this may be necessary but I do have concerns about the scale of engineering since it no longer is a simple crushed granite path. I do not feel inclined to fight about the design, but would like to see something less intrusive. Trail maintenance is a large issue since the city has failed to maintain existing trails in the neighborhood. They are an eyesore and not usable. Please insure there is budget within the city to maintain the trails or do not go ahead with the project. The trails under current conditions, are a net loss rather than an improvement to the neighborhood as they are dilapidated looking and weed filled and absolutely unused.

I have concerns about the scale of the project and its cost, especially in this poor economy. I understand the money was approved in a referendum and cannot be applied to general funds. There is a good option here though which is just do not spend it!!! Give the money back to the taxpayers who need it.

I appreciate the design and community involvement that the staff has put forth. I hope this results in an attractive and well used amenity to our community.

Sincerely,

Harrison Bewley

#### Arbano, Rose

From: Sent: jon grenfell [joninvernon@msn.com] Friday, July 15, 2011 12:02 PM

To:

Transportation Commission

Subject:

Mountain View Trail

Hello my name is Jon Grenfell. My home is I wish I could attend the meeting this evening but am away in Washington state. I have for the last year and a half that I've owned that home kept active in keeping informed and active in giving my opinion which opposes this project.. I have met with Robin Rodgers and others in her office when fist learning of the trail.. I flew in from Seattle and took the time to meet with them. You all have been very professional and somewhat sympathetic with my concerns and I thank you all for that. Even if the trail alignment did not have such an "aggressive inset" in my once beautiful private front yard I'm not sure I could understand the priority given this current terrible economic crisis we're in. The fact the monies were put aside for a trail when the condition of our street is embarrassing to say the least is hard to understand. When I made an offer for that home the front yard and privacy it provided outweighed the miserable condition the road was in... When I imagined all the work I was going to do on the property I would dare to dream of the day Scottsdale notified our neighborhood of the upcoming road overlay with proposed curb and gutter and oh my god maybe a SIDEWALK, I could barely stand the visual of how beautiful that was going to be.... a couple of months later I was notified by Scottsdale all right instead it was a certified letter stating a trail was going to meander through my front yard!!!~! It was over a week before I got over the shock and horror... If this trail project has to go forward PLEASE PLEASE lets straighten out the invasive inset of the alignment through my front yard... I want to be a good neighbor to this trail if I have to be and that would be a start.. In closing though I would rather take myself and my personal concerns off the table and just say of entire project; Is it necessary right now given our economic situation? I think not. Put the funds back into our police and fire.. Thanks for your time. Jon.



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they represent must be submitted together.

PLEASE PRINT NAME Morley C. Meredith MEETING DA	ATE 7-21-11
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT	
ADDRESS	zip_ <u>852.59</u>
HOME PHONEWORK PHONE	
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM#	
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.	
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # 5 I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #_	
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING	
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one springht and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the	ut is prohibited by state law from
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.	
	GG2003-411SCC (11/03)

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments
A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining
A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

comments This trail needs to be started in the very	
impodiately. We have studied it to death.	
We recently recieved a letter from an individual	_
that has property adjacent to the propored train	
We chose not to complete the muttiple choice	
questions as they were very one sided it biased	<b>~</b> C~





This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

PLEASE PRINT NAME HIM WILKINSON MEETING DATE 7/24/11
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
Scottsdale zip 85259
HOME PHONEWORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# 5 - ROSS STUART SPEAKING
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card pe night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law fron discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
Please give this cord to the clash at the condition of the clash at the condition of the co
Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.  HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:
- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
Comments are limited to 3 minutes.  Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time
A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up
Comments I am not infavor of the trail. Transport
Strengthers regularity rights in the laver
They plant to put the HAMI Con LOSTO.
They should stay will the original plan
on 124th - they can make it work if
they want to



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

Cards must be submitted BEFORE public testimony has begun on the item.

Testimony is limited to 3 minutes per speaker. Additional time MAY be granted to speakers representing two or more persons. Cards for designated speakers and the persons they

Top. oco in mac. 22 deciment and gentless	1 / .
PLEASE PRINT NAME JOY STVART MEETING	DATE 7/21/1/
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT	
ORGANIZATION TOO HEL NEGENT	
ADDRESS	ZIP
HOME PHONE WORK PHONE	
E Post I was	COOKLASI
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# CKOSS STUPPIZET	Speaking
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.	
☐ I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # ☐ I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM	#
I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING	
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before	, but is prohibited by state law from
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.	
	GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
	*:
	=

Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.

#### HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:

- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.

Comments are limited to 3 minutes.

Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time

A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up

Comments	1	Am	NDA	< 1n	194VOY	04-41	115 4	aile	L' dor	17 tee	<u>/</u>
the a											
May	nte	nanc	e, lia	BU	ty 1	SSUES	, NOV	nave	the.	CON8181	tently
Provid	led	infor	mat	on i	n'ar	hutn/	v/ u	IAU.	I AC	el fo	re "
aliqu	LM	ent	hw	been	MOVE	d from	1 the	orige	nal	approx	red
plan	Dec	WSC.	ikk	1 las	ier to	USC	125th	to the	e at	y The	orld e lana
be ask	an	red to	6v90	gest.	that '	they	USCT	But gi	on.	Privat	e lana
Atra	il I	Me a	POVŽ	WIN	fell	05 TU	at W	e nela	100	Main	ach
nonni	54	h.s. 111	MA	1.1.0	Thank	7.4 1.184	1 11 WK	The Oh	Dars	LAFR	Ivail.



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

PLEASE PRINT NAME V	IACENZO R	OSSIR ZLO MEETING DA	ATE $7/21/1/1$	<u> </u>
IF APPLICABLE, NAME TI ORGANIZATION YOU RE				
ADDRESS	,		ZIP 8 5 2	59
HOME PHONE_		WORK PHONE		
YES, I WISH TO SPE	AK REGARDING ITEM#	OSS STUART	Nº 5	<u> </u>
NO, I DO NOT WISH	I TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO CO	MMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.		ă
I AM IN FAVOR C	F AGENDA ITEM #	I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM#_	· ·	
☐ I WISH TO SPEAK D	URING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" C	CONCERNING		<u> </u>
night and submit it to the C	City Clerk before or during the me	n the agenda. Citizens may complete one specting. Council will listen to your remarks, buenda and posted at least 24-hours before the	ut is prohibited by state law	
	This card constitute	s a public record under Arizona law.		
•			GG2003-411SCC (11/03	)
Please give this card to th	o clerk at the meeting REFORE	public testimony begins on the item you wish	a to addross	
	COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMIS		To address.	
	all your name when it is your turn			
	odium and state your name and			•
,	·	person to represent the views of the group.		
	imited to 3 minutes.	portion to reprocent the views of the group.		
	senting two or more persons may	/ be granted additional time		
A yellow	light will appear when you begir v light will appear when you have ght will appear when your 3 minu	e one minute remaining		
Comments 16	t in favor	of the hours trail	an 125 195	<u>5</u>
				***************************************
			-	<del></del>



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

PLEASE PRINT NAME 3/08/14 NNE MOCKIO/S MEETING DATE 7/25/11
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS
HOME PHONE WORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM# #55 ROSS STrucy - Speaking
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
☐ IWISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.  HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:  - The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.  - Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.  - Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.  Comments are limited to 3 minutes.
A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up  Comments  Not informat of the following dawn 12545  Thould love down 1244 at planned. We will be
suggeroused by heresterist.
the contract of the contract o



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

represent must be submitted together.
PLEASE PRINT NAME Softman West MEETING DATE 7/22/17
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT
ADDRESS ZIP 75259
HOME PHONEWORK PHONE
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING ITEM # # \$5 Fass STuart- Speaking
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BUT WISH TO COMMENT ON BACK OF THIS CARD.
I AM IN FAVOR OF AGENDA ITEM # I AM OPPOSED TO AGENDA ITEM #
☐ I WISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC COMMENTS" CONCERNING
Public comments are limited to items not otherwise listed on the agenda. Citizens may complete one speaker/citizen comment card per night and submit it to the City Clerk before or during the meeting. Council will listen to your remarks, but is prohibited by state law from discussing items which are not specifically listed on the agenda and posted at least 24-hours before the meeting begins.
This card constitutes a public record under Arizona law.
GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
Please give this card to the clerk at the meeting BEFORE public testimony begins on the item you wish to address.  HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR BOARD/COMMISSION:
- The chair will call your name when it is your turn to speak.
- Approach the podium and state your name and address for the record.
- Groups wishing to speak should elect a spokesperson to represent the views of the group.
Comments are limited to 3 minutes.
Speakers representing two or more persons may be granted additional time
A green light will appear when you begin your comments A yellow light will appear when you have one minute remaining A red light will appear when your 3 minutes are up
Comments We are not in Lawor of the trail coming down
125th/ST.



This card is for providing comments when attending City Council and other public meetings, whether or not you wish to speak.

	100		
		Utilija,	

PLEASE PRINT NAME WILLIAM	JMACT	7	MEETING DATE_	7-21-2004
IF APPLICABLE, NAME THE GROUP OR ORGANIZATION YOU REPRESENT				
ADDRESS				zip 85259
HOME PHONE_	Mark 1	WORK PHO	NE	
YES, I WISH TO SPEAK REGARDING	3 ITEM#		·	
NO, I DO NOT WISH TO SPEAK, BU	T WISH TO COM	MENT ON BACK OF	THIS CARD.	
$ ot\!$	u#5	I AM OPPOSED 1	O AGENDA ITEM #	
IWISH TO SPEAK DURING "PUBLIC	COMMENTS" CO	ONCERNING		
Public comments are limited to items not of night and submit it to the City Clerk before discussing items which are not specifically	or during the me	eting. Council will list	en to your remarks, but is	prohibited by state law from
This	card constitutes	a public record und	ler Arizona law.	
·				GG2003-411SCC (11/03)
Please give this card to the clerk at the m	eetina BEFORE	public testimony begi	ns on the item you wish to	address.
HOW TO ADDRESS THE COUNCIL OR	<del>-</del>	¢;		
- The chair will call your name w				
- Approach the podium and state			<b>!</b> .	
- Groups wishing to speak shoul				
Comments are limited to 3 minu Speakers representing two or m		√ be granted additiona	l time	
A green light will appea A yellow light will appea A red light will appear w	ar when you have	one minute remainin	g	
Comments				
	-	,		·