

---

This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the February 4, 2020 City Council Regular Meeting and has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, is available online at:

<https://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/Assets/ScottsdaleAZ/Council/current-agendas-minutes/2020-agendas/02-04-20-regular-agenda.pdf>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<http://www.Scottsdaleaz.gov/Scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2020-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

---

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

[Time: 00:00:07]

Mayor Lane: Good afternoon, everyone. Nice to have you here with us this evening.

#### **ROLL CALL**

[Time: 00:00:12]

Mayor Lane: I would like to call to order our February 4, 2020 Council meeting with a roll call please.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Mayor Jim Lane.

Mayor Lane: Present.

Carolyn Jagger: Vice Mayor Kathy Littlefield.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Present.

Carolyn Jagger: Councilmembers Suzanne Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Virginia Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Linda Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Guy Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Solange Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Manager Jim Thompson.

Jim Thompson: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Attorney Sherry Scott.

Sherry Scott: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Treasurer Jeff Nichols.

Jeff Nichols: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Auditor Sharron Walker.

Sharron Walker: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: And the Clerk is present.

[Time: 00:00:36]

Mayor Lane: A couple of items of business, we do have cards if you would like to speak for a Public Comment and for any of the agenda items. Written comments are the yellow speaking cards. Our white card was probably up beforehand. But nevertheless, they're there for you at the city clerk's desk, there with her with staff if you would like to use either one of those. Scottsdale police officers, Dustin Patrick and Ray Powell as well as Deputy Fire Marshall J.T. Collier from the Scottsdale Fire Department are here to assist if you have need. I think they're all here in front of me directly and over here a little bit to one o'clock. If you have need of their service, they're there for you. The areas behind the Council are reserved for the Council and office, I should say for city hall personnel. There are restrooms over to my left under that exit sign for your convenience. If you have difficulty hearing any of the proceedings

of our meeting here today, there are hearing assisted headsets available for you. Just check with the clerk's office or the clerk's desk there. You can receive one.

**PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

[Time: 00:01:59]

Mayor Lane: We'll start with the Pledge of Allegiance. I would like to ask Councilwoman Milhaven to lead us in the pledge. If you're able, please stand.

Councilwoman Milhaven: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America, and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God indivisible with liberty and justice for all.

**INVOCATION**

[Time: 00:02:32]

Mayor Lane: We have an invocation this evening. Pastor Ryan Goble from Scottsdale Bible, thank you for being with us.

Pastor Ryan Goble: Thank you for having me. Almighty God, we gather this evening under your sovereign hand. We ask, Lord, that as issues come up and the Council deliberate, that you would guide each member with your sovereign hand. I pray that you would keep us safe and be glorified by everything that transpires here today. We love you, Lord. And it's in the name of Jesus Christ we pray.

Mayor Lane: I have a bit of a sad note that I would like to take a bit of time to consider. We lost a longstanding resident of Scottsdale and a very prominent civic-minded individual. That is Cora Messinger. She started a church here in 1950 and had the first ambulance service as well. Paul and his wife, Cora operated on a whole number of levels in civil and charitable actions, frankly in a great sense of history all the way around. I just wanted to take a moment of silence to remember Cora and certainly to think about Paul in his loss as well.

**MAYOR'S REPORT**

[Time: 00:04:24]

Mayor Lane: For our Mayor's report this evening, I would like to congratulate WestWorld, for winning the League of Agricultural and Equine Centers' 2020 Facility of the Year award. In 2019 there were 112 events with over 900,000 people visiting WestWorld which hosts everything from A-rated horse shows to auto auctions to sporting events, concerts, trade shows and more. So congratulations to Brian Dygert, EJ Jones, Gloria Storms Ruiz, and Carter Unger. Please come forward if you would to accept this well-deserved award. While you stand, I'll read the proclamation as well if you don't mind. This is for this event of Western Week, certainly associated with WestWorld. I'll read this after the presentation.

This is a proclamation in proclaiming this Western Week. Whereas, the Old West will come alive this February reminding us once again that Scottsdale is "The West's Most Western Town"; and Whereas,

the City is continuing the time-honored tradition of "Western Week" where everyone in Scottsdale is encouraged to wear their best western duds the week of February 1-9; and Whereas, this year's Western Week events include new exhibits and engaging programs at Western Spirit: Scottsdale's Museum of the West, Thursday night's Gold Palette ArtWalk in the Arts District and the Parada del Sol Rodeo Museum's Rodeo Kickoff Party; and Whereas, on February 7<sup>th</sup> at HIGH NOON, the 62<sup>nd</sup> Hashknife Pony Express conclude their 200-mile horseback trek in front of Western Spirit: Scottsdale's Museum of the West; and Whereas, on February 8<sup>th</sup> the 67<sup>th</sup> Parada del Sol Parade boasts nearly 150 entries representing many cultures from Mexican to Native American to Arabian to Western, followed by the fantastic Trail's End Festival along Scottsdale Road in Old Town; and Whereas, on February 8-9 in Scottsdale's Civic Center the city welcomes back the Arizona Indian Festival honoring Arizona Indian culture through a spirited and immersive festival; and now therefore I, W.J." Jim" Lane, Mayor of the City of Scottsdale do hereby proclaim, February 9<sup>th</sup>, 2020 as Western Week in Scottsdale and urge everyone to participate in all of the wonderful Western activities, to warmly welcome the visitors who come to enjoy the spirit of the Old West and extend our deepest thanks to the many wonderful community volunteers for carrying forward this tradition. In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and caused to be affixed the Seal of the City of Scottsdale, Arizona. I encourage us to warmly welcome our visitors to enjoy the spirit of the old west and extend our deepest thanks to the many wonderful community volunteers for carrying this tradition forward. Congratulations to Scottsdale, a great tradition upheld once again. A hand for Scottsdale.

#### **PRESENTATIONS/INFORMATION UPDATES**

Mayor Lane: We have a presentation. It is the Scottsdale Charros annual update And the presenter is Dennis Robbins. Looks like Rick is also with him. Welcome, gentlemen. Nice to have you here.

[Time: 00:08:51]

Scottsdale Charros Executive Director Dennis Robbins: Good evening, Mayor and Council. Thank you for having us here tonight. Rick is our President . I'm the Executive Director. We're here to give you an update on what's been going on the last year.

So we know most of you know who the Charros are. But we're a little like baseball, a little Americana in Scottsdale. We bring a lot of enthusiasm and exuberance and work hard here in the city. Our mission is to keep continuing the tradition. we were able to continue with the city of Scottsdale. We have contributed \$2.5 million to improvements, along with the other \$50 million worth of improvements happening now that hopefully will be ready by spring training, opening day. Some of the activities that we do at the Lodge and within the stadium, we sell and produce the baseball program. So buy your program if you support the Charros. We sell most of the advertising and up in the Lodge, we host up to 650 people for each game, a VIP experience. The net proceeds or all the activities that we do go to support local charities here in Scottsdale and also public education.

So a little bit about a highlight of the public education efforts that we support through Scottsdale Community College. So the Charros offers two full-ride scholarships to two Scottsdale Unified School District students every year. We give two stipends to the outstanding male and outstanding female athletes of the year. We sponsor a math and science field day, Rick and I attended that this year, where the college invites a lot of high school students from around the Valley to come and experience what

college is like. They set up booths for all the different departments within the science and math departments, interactive things with the professors. It's a way to get the kids engaged in STEM and get them excited about going to SCC. We contribute a stipend to the President's Emergency Fund which, unfortunately is necessary, kids that don't have clothes, kids that don't have food, students that have some hard times. The President has a little fund that they can help out some very needy students. And we see that's a worthwhile effort.

And then one new thing that we did this year that we're really excited about, we did a grant of \$24,000 to a STEP program. So it's 20, \$1200 scholarships, and these are for students who have decided to go back and get their certificate for teaching. So they have an undergraduate degree already, they've been in the workforce, and they say hey, I want to be a teacher. So we help with scholarships for students that go back through this program. It's highly regarded within Maricopa County and this is a way to get more teachers back into the classrooms quicker. And we think that's a good use of Charro funds through spring training efforts.

[Time: 00:12:24]

Scottsdale Charros Patron Rick Carpinelli: Good evening, as Dennis said I'm Rick. I have the honor of being our President this year. This will be our 34th year doing the Outstanding Students and Educator awards banquet. This year, we'll hold it at the Scottsdale Resort McCormick Ranch April 17th. Hopefully you all can make it. At this event, it's one of our more spectacular events we've been doing. We get the opportunity to recognize and honor one teacher of the year at every SUSD school. We honor two students from every high school within Scottsdale School District. We honor two future teacher scholarship winners. We also honor from Scottsdale Community College two scholarship winners, scholarships that we give out through Scottsdale Community College. We honor two Scottsdale Community Professors of the Year. And we invite families of those honorees, we invite principals from the schools, administrators, leaders in the community. It's one of the highlights of the year. And it certainly feels good to see the wonderful educators with our children.

A couple years ago, we started to get involved in the Parada del Sol Rodeo held up at WestWorld. It's another opportunity for us as Charros to help raise money while at the same time continue the tradition of the western heritage within Scottsdale. So we continue to increase our involvement in the rodeo. This year, we will have a section to what we call our Charro Lodge out in the baseball stadium. We're having a Charro Lodge at the rodeo. The rodeo this year is March 5<sup>th</sup> and 8<sup>th</sup>. We will have a VIP bullpen area where, include your food and beverage, a parking pass, and after a little bit of fun with some hoedown, some dancing, so come on out. If anyone is interested in going, just look up Scottsdale Rodeo. We have a website where you can buy tickets. So the Parada del Sol Parade, as we heard the Mayor talk about it at the beginning, is this Saturday. We've been shadowing the Parada del Sol Parade committee this year and hope to have the opportunity to continue this great tradition in this town and continue to improve it as we've seen this parade continue to get better every year. We'd love to be part of that. Hopefully we're there. So stay tuned. We're looking forward to that opportunity.

The Charro organization is made up of a group of active businesses within the community called the Charros. And we also have the Charro Foundation. The Charro Foundation is truly our giving body, a 501 (C) (3) charitable organization. It maintains what we call the Corpus, or the actual funds of the organization. Some years ago we decided to create a fund that help and one day would be able to

provide the give back that we go out and work so hard today to give to the community. We can provide a Corpus that itself would be able to provide funds in perpetuity. We're well on our way to creating that Corpus. The strategic plan as you see here is building that Corpus. The organization helps promote community partnerships. We're bringing in a number of different other community organizations to help our initiatives. The Board itself is a diverse group of 20 community leaders. Just an introduction of some of the leaders here in this slide. So the Charro Foundation with its 20 members and then the Charros, the active members are 200 plus members in the community. So we thank you, everyone, for your time, allowing us to give an update. And we look forward to continuing to do what's right for the community. We appreciate the opportunity to do so. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Rick. Thank you Dennis. Good work.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

[Time: 00:17:28]

Mayor Lane: Next we have our Public Comment. This is reserved for citizen's comments regarding non-agendized item with no official guidance to be taken on these items. This sounds like a repeat. Comments are limited to issues within the jurisdiction of City Council. Speakers will have 3 minutes with a maximum of five speakers. There is another opportunity for Public Comment at the end of the meeting. So we have a requisite number of cards to be heard at this time. There are five. And we will start with Dr. Scott Calev.

[Time: 00:18:21]

Dr. Scott Calev: I'm here to talk about one of the most sophisticated scams brought by the City Council along with Scott Gray, Gary, and Sarah. The Scottsdale airport noise abatement program, yes, the biggest scam ever has brought upon a scandalous program set up to do you know and hoodwink the residents, spending thousands on a noise abatement that is not honest and will never do anything. Why? Because of people like me that brought facts about the noise failure program so many times that I've been a target of City Councils and airport staff now for many years. That can't be legal. The smartest man in the room who met with me and delivered a factual packet about how the City Council started a flight pattern through the noise abatement area, not only one but four, north, south, east, and west. Was I informed? No. My neighbors? No. The smartest man in the room was given a factual packet of the violations of the airport staff manipulating flight patterns where? Jim Lane has been here all the time and knows about it. If this is new to you, shame on you. There's no statute of limitations going back to targeting taxpayer and resident of Scottsdale. The website lies. Every day, even one penny is being used to keep it up is a theft. Plain and simple. You will stop the planes to the tune of over \$800 a month to the flight paths over my home. It is too easy to fly around the area and come up Scottsdale and turn at the park. This can be remedied with no lawsuits or apology, just the ethical thing to do. Or we can do the legal actions. As I read in the independence, we need to make sure all citizens input is heard. I've been here and lied to in a meeting with the Mayor. I coined a truth, funnel after sound. It's getting worst. Please fix it. It's getting worse. Thank you for your time.

Mayor Lane: Thanks, Dr. Calev. Next is Sandy Schenkat.

[Time: 00:21:59]

Sandy Schenkat: Good evening. I'm Sandy Schenkat. I live at 10961 East Gary Road. I'm here to speak about the referendum which was obtained in what I consider an illegal way. The reason I'm bringing this to your attention is because three times I went to different places and I got different approaches. The first time they told me sign, you have to do this because you have to stop the high-rises downtown. The second time it was oh, sign this to save the art galleries. The third was a gas station. It was just you have to sign to save Old Town. Since the referendum has been given here and 17,000 signatures were obtained, I've started hearing other people talk about how they were approached, they heard and that so many people signed with inaccurate information.

Today I was at a coffee and heard this line: You must sign to stop the bulldozing on 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue. And then another person said yeah, we heard, stop 150-foot buildings downtown. So the uninformed petition gatherers that were hired to say anything to get the signature. I'm saying that we've all been hoodwinked. And now we have two previous Council members running for a major position based on the fact that 17,000 signatures were gathered. So they now have a base. So it's really power to the people this entire effort was disingenuous at best. And I'm afraid that in the future, we will end up having more situations like this if this goes through. I can only hope that the legal department can prove that this whole situation is false and that we have elected you, and four of you voted, for South Bridge and I'm hoping that this will all change. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you. Next is David Ortega.

[Time: 00:25:33]

David Ortega: Good evening. Good evening Mayor and Council. Thank you for your service. Enough has been said about South Bridge 2, the canal and so forth. I'm going to talk about building part of South Bridge 2 on 5<sup>th</sup> avenue. This Council approved a building near a couple doors from Chop House on 5<sup>th</sup> avenue. Not on the canal. It includes a grocery store. As you see the overhead and what the proponents actually provided, they said because of 500 units, they would need a grocery store. And they expect people from across 68 to walk back and forth and buy groceries along the way, this building which is 48 feet tall, and the grocery store by the way has no parking. They situated 10 condos above the grocery store. Again, zero parking. But that wasn't good enough. They added another ten on top of that. So there's 21 units. They call them door keys located with no parking. I'm talking about not even the minimum. There's no guest parking. The parking lot alone would be about 28 cars. They got by without saying that somewhere further down the block, maybe, A, B, or C, this is block B, the people would be walking back and forth. So what you have here is you have approved units with no parking. These are actually short-term rentals. They have no parking. They call them door keys, meaning it's like a hotel. You park there, you get a number. You go in. There's nothing to stop someone from shopping at AJ's, stopping back here, parking in these precious spaces right across from the center, popping the trunk, unloading the grocery, and hanging out and three hours because there's a three hour parking limit. There's nothing to say that those cars will not be out all night or whatever. So this area already has a severe shortage. I'm speaking about this because the short-term rental is really about what the epitome of this is. Never have units been approved with remote parking until it was done with South Bridge 2. His is another reason I believe it's a mistake. Mayor, Council woman Korte and Klapp, you voted for this. And this is another reason why we have to preserve the 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue area.

Mayor Lane: Next is French Thompson.

[Time: 00:29:01]

French Thompson: Mr. Mayor, City Councilmembers, I'm up here as a citizen and a business owner in downtown Scottsdale. You know, right now the whole entire country is divided. Half the country doesn't really listen to the other half of the country. I really don't expect any of you to change your mind by anything that I say tonight. The downtown parking situation is really bad. Old Town is encompassing a huge area. I've been down there for 23 years. The city of Scottsdale has, over and over, brought in outside experts to look at the parking. And they've said oh, you don't have a parking problem. Well, there was a gentleman that wrote a book not long ago that talks about reaching the 10,000 hour rule. He continues the key to success in any field is simply practicing a simple task that could be accomplished with 20 hours of work a week for ten years I put in about 50 hours a week for 23 years. I've been watching the parking in downtown for 23 years. It happened when I first moved in. I personally think I'm somewhat of an expert about the parking situation in my area. You guys have a real problem with it. There's another problem that happens when you hire outside experts that don't live in the neighborhood. It's called the law of unintended consequences. Often cited but rarely defined that actions of people, and especially government, always have affects that are unanticipated or unintended economists and other social scientists have heeded its power for centuries and for just along, politicians have chosen to ignore it. One of the things that's an unintended consequence is what's happening in Vietnam war. Bunch of experts got together and said, you know, it would be really great, why don't we spray Agent Orange all over the forest. It will die and then we can conquer the enemy. The unintended consequence was that it affected the veterans on the ground. The experts didn't talk to the people on the ground. They never consulted with people on the ground. We know what the unintended consequences are now I'm just going to tell you. The unintended consequences of this development in downtown is the equivalent of Agent Orange on our downtown. It's going to kill it. I firmly believe it. There's no place to park right now. These other developments coming in, they're just horrendously underparked. It's not a crime. It's part of your legal code. You guys need to change legal code. You really do. I'm a business owner, a private citizen. I don't represent anyone else but me. You guys really need to change the parking code. Thank you very much.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Thompson. Next is Marilyn Atkinson.

[Time: 00:32:46]

Marilyn Atkinson: Hi good evening Mayor and Council. My name is Marilyn Atkinson. My address is on record. I'm here tonight as a person who owns a business in historic old town Scottsdale. I've been down there for 45 years. So parking is a problem. What we are requesting tonight and what we have turned in is a parking requirements petition to the city clerk that was signed by the 150 downtown property owners and merchants. This petition is requesting an amendment to our currently inaccurate downtown parking requirements and other related issues. We are asking that this petition be included in the discussion during the Old Town parking work study section scheduled for February 11th. What French said about the parking is a crisis point for how downtown is going to survive. He was talking about being on the ground level. We were on the ground level for 45 years. We know there's a shortage. I haven't taken a degree in transportation but I can look out the front door and see people



driving around looking for parking spots. It's called common sense. And therefore when the folks signed, it was because the folks had common sense. And we would like for you to include that in this language in the parking study. Thank you very much.

Mayor Lane: Thank you Mrs. Atkinson. That completes the Public Comment we will take the issue of the petition up.

## **MINUTES**

[Time: 00:35:38]

Mayor Lane: We'll move on to the Regular meeting minutes of January. If there are no exception, I would accept a motion to accept.

Councilmember Korte: I move to approve.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion was made by Councilwoman Korte, seconded by the Vice Mayor. We're ready to vote. All in favor, indicate with an aye. Those opposed, with a nay.

## **CONSENT AGENDA**

[Time: 00:36:19]

Mayor Lane: Moving on to Consent items 1-13, seeing no opposition. Vice Mayor Littlefield?

>>Vice Mayor Littlefield: Item number 2 please.

Mayor Lane: Full presentation or separate vote or....

Vice Mayor Littlefield: For presentation and separate vote

Mayor Lane: We'll put that to Regular. Okay. All right then. Council woman Whitehead?

Councilwoman Whitehead: I'm looking for the item number. My iPad's not, I have a question for Mr. Berry but I don't want to pull the item.

Mayor Lane: What's the item?

Councilwoman Whitehead: It is number 8.

Mayor Lane: You want to pull for a question, not a separate vote? Go ahead and ask that question.

Councilwoman Whitehead: The only comment I received on your proposal is for the use of short term rentals. Can you give me information on what the applicant has in mind?

[Time: 00:37:47]

Representative John Berry: Mayor, members of the Council, for your records, John Berry, 6750 E. Camelback Road in Scottsdale. And Councilwoman Whitehead, my client owns and is involved with other condominium developments in the Valley. They have boilerplate language which they include which I believe I can provide a copy of or show you on the overhead that very clearly states and I apologize, but it's a very long provision on restriction on rental units. But it goes on to say no unit may be leased for a term of less than 90 days. No owner of a unit shall list or advertise the unit on airB&B.com or similar internet websites. And it's got a lot of other boilerplate in there. It's my client's intention, they have no desire to have short term rentals in this building any more than some of the neighbors do.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Berry.

Mayor Lane: All right I would accept a motion to approve.

Councilmember Korte: I move to approve Consent Agenda items 1-13 with the exception of item number 2.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: Okay. So we do have a request and a motion and a second I believe it was again Councilwoman Korte and seconded by the Vice Mayor. All in favor, please indicate by aye and opposed with a nay it's unanimous to accept items 1-13 with the exception of item number 2 which we have moved to Regular Agenda. If you're here for any of the items 1-13 other than 2, please feel free to stay with us if you would like. Otherwise, please leave quietly.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

### **ITEM 2 - PERMANENT EXTENSION OF PREMISES FOR WHINING PIG (4-EX-2019)**

Mayor Lane: Moving on to Regular Agenda items, I'm going to start with Item 2 which was pulled from Consent. If we have a presentation, Mr. Curtis?

[Time: 00:40:15]

Current Planning Director Tim Curtis: I'm Tim Curtis with the city's Planning Department. Item 2 is Whining Pig. This is a request for an extension of premises for an existing liquor license establishment in the McDowell Mountain Ranch area. This exhibit at the upper right hand corner is the is an area of Bell Road and Thompson Peak Parkway. The exhibit just below that, the lower right hand corner, is the existing shopping center and The Whining Pig is in the inline shops on the south side of the shopping center. Some residential to the south, which is about 130 feet away, separated by this wash. You can see on the larger exhibit the existing site operating currently with the proposed extension of premise for a patio at this location. We've got photos of the existing establishment on the left side of the screen. And there's a narrow walkway with some gravel. That is the area of the proposed extension. As you can

see, this is the end of the shops. And there's a patio directly to the west of that for an existing restaurant. And looking at the patio, it's fairly narrow. The only changes that are being proposed at this time are pavers in that dirt/gravel area to accommodate pedestrian foot traffic, mostly for the servers to access the tables that will be placed on that concrete. I know that there was a concern about, if the owner's association knew about this, or the shopping center. I did confirm with the applicant that they know to coordinate any improvements with the shopping center as well as the owner's association. At this time, the only improvements that are being proposed would be replacing that dirt with pavers for now. I'd be happy to answer any questions. I know that the operators of the Whining Pig are here.

Mayor Lane: We have one request to speak on this subject and it's Andrea Lewkowicz.

[Time: 00:43:02]

Applicant Representative Andrea Lewkowicz: Good evening Mayor Lane and Council. I'm Andrea Lewkowicz, the attorney for the applicant. As Mr. Curtis said, we're working with the neighborhood, associations and those who have additional information for us for restrictions, CC&Rs and other declarations that affect this property. We have no intention of operating it outside the parameters that have been set in place years earlier.

Mayor Lane: Okay. That's the extent of it. Now are we talking, I'm sorry, let me just ask, Mr. Curtis are we talking about additional stipulations that are being contemplated or did I miss that.

Tim Curtis: Mayor, no additional stipulations, that's a private sector effort in terms of any private restrictions they need to comply with.

Mayor Lane: I see. Okay. Very good. Well thank you. Alright, then I do believe that, unless you have anything else to say, you might be called upon for a question. Let me just first, find out who would like to speak on this. Thank you. Vice Mayor Littlefield?

[Time: 00:44:07]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you. Thank you very much for your explanation. The reason I pulled this was because I received a letter from someone from the HOA next door to this. And they had looked on this line. And for whatever reason, the presentation online for this item, they cannot tell what it was or how large it was or what it was going to look like. And they were concerned because he's a member of the HOA and he had not been informed of it. He felt that technically, under the design review policies of the McDowell Mountain Ranch he thought they should have been inform and had some input. That sounds like it has happened since I got this letter. So I'm willing to make a motion to approve item number 2, the Whining Pig.

Mayor Lane: All in favor, please indicate by aye? Those opposed with a nay. It's unanimous. If you're here for item 2, feel free to stay or to exit quietly. All right.

## **REGULAR AGENDA**

### **ITEM 14 – STR VENTURES MCKNIGHT REZONING (26-ZN-2018)**

**ITEM 15 – STR VENTURES MAIN AND 1<sup>ST</sup> REZONING (27-ZN-2018)**

Mayor Lane: Moving right along to Regular Agenda items, we're starting with Item 14, the STR Ventures McKnight Rezoning, 26-ZN-2018. Mr. Moriarity, nice to have you here.

[Time: 00:45:54]

Planner Ben Moriarity: Good evening, Mayor Lane. My name is Ben Moriarity. I'll be presenting the STR Ventures McKnight 26-ZN-2018. The site is located just east of us on East McKnight Avenue. This is a closer view of that street. It's located one parcel north of East Main Street on East McKnight Avenue. The site is currently zoned service residential with a downtown overlay. The proposal is to rezone this property to Downtown Mixed-Use Type 2, and the Downtown Overlay. The General Plan calls out this area as mixed use and refers to our Old Town Character Area Plan. And on that Old Town Character Area Plan, it also calls it out as mixed use. This is a closer view of it, clearly within the downtown multiple use area. So to reiterate, the applicant's request is to adopt Ordinance Number 4433, approving a zoning map amendment from service residential with the downtown overlay to downtown multiple use type 2 with a downtown overlay. The reason why they're seeking a rezoning is for relief from a section of our downtown overlay that restricts dwelling units in the type 2 area with a commercial type zoning which would be that service residential type zoning. And that restricts it to 35% of the first floor that can be used for a dwelling unit. The applicant would like to use the entire structure as one dwelling unit. This is a site plan. It looks similar to what's out there currently. This is their proposed landscape plan that they worked out with the neighbors to add more privacy and buffering.

A brief history of the site is that it was originally developed as a single family residential property. It was later rezoned in 1965 to be service residential. In 1979, it was redeveloped, creating two office suites and one dwelling unit. And then in 2003, the downtown overlay was applied to this property. In October, the Planning Commission heard this case along with the next case and they recommended denial. The commission discussed the appropriateness of rezoning into a mixed-use district with the proposed use being a single dwelling unit. They also had a concern about the operation of the dwelling unit as a short-term rental. That concludes my presentation. The applicant is also here to give a presentation.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Very good. Thank you. Mr. Rich, welcome.

[Time: 00:49:11]

Applicant Representative Court Rich: Thank you, Mayor. Good evening Mayor, members of the Council. My name is Court Rich from the Rose Law Group on behalf of the applicant. I've got a presentation I'll give to you. I want to focus on, we all know that short-term rentals are a hot issue on many levels, in the city and throughout the state. What I want to impress upon you is that I think this is the right way to do these. It's the right location. It's the right way. And it's something that I think, hopefully at the end of this presentation, you'll understand it's something where Scottsdale can point to this as an example of how to do these. So first and foremost, we have the support of the immediate neighbors to this project. And I'm going to splash up, these are all in your packets. But I put on top here what I'm very proud of. What I want to say is that we worked very hard, very close with the only residential neighbor of this property, Mr. Steven Voss. And we were able to get an agreement with him in place and here are

his letters of support on behalf of himself and his homeowner's association. We worked out a special agreement with him that's really unprecedented. I'll take you through that. Here's a map that shows the various neighbors that have written letters in support. I'm going to speak generally about this case and the next because they're so close to one another.

Here's the site we're talking about right now. And here's the next case that you'll hear. You'll hear from Susan Wood, Janet Story, Mr. Frankel and Mr. Voss from Main Street Place. You don't often see neighborhood support for this, and we have that. I think this is probably the only vacation rental that has come to you, tell you where they want to operate, how they want to operate. Usually they happen in a neighborhood and no one knows that they're there and you find out because you see someone you don't know in your neighborhood. We're trying to do it the right way.

We're coming to ask for your approval, and like I said we're doing it openly as opposed to folks that, you know, cause problems around the , your neighbor throws a party and you find out that they're renting out their house. That's not what this is about. As staff talked about, this is the right zoning category for this use. The zoning category that we're moving to actually allows for the travel accommodation use, which is what a hotel would operate in. Obviously we're not doing that, I'm just suggesting that this zoning category is appropriate for this. I would like to talk about the operator because, through this process, he does have four other vacation rentals being operated in the city of Scottsdale. And I think he's doing something that I don't know if anyone else is doing this in the city, frankly. On his websites now, when he's listing them, he's including what you require under your city code. You can't have more than 6 adults at your site. And he's even putting, you'll see here, a link to the city of Scottsdale's page where you maintain on your website that has the rules. So anyone who's looking at these sites, that wants to rent them, knows right off the bat, it's a limit of six adults per Scottsdale code, and then there's a link. And here's from the other rentals that he has. So I think he's the only one doing that. We're trying to do it right. And we have the support.

But of course location is important. I know you don't want to see these in the middle of residential neighborhoods. That's not what this is. It's in a mixed use commercial area, not residential. Let me show you a map of the zoning that we're going to is already, these sites that are in yellow. They are sites that already have the zoning that we're seeking in this case. We're not asking for some unusual zoning to be imported into the area that otherwise would not belong or would stick out. The downtown mixed use that we're seeking would turn these properties in red like others that have the same zoning. So it works with the area. And I think perhaps most importantly, with regard to location is here's a map of what's around. You all know the area. But where better to have tourists? Now, not every one of these is a tourist destination, but certainly the Center for Performing Arts is in walking distance. The baseball field, the Museum of Art, the Museum of the West, the greenbelt, the entertainment district. All the great restaurants and galleries, everything are right there within walking distance, and we're not in a residential area. This is where you'd want people who want to come to our town to enjoy it and stay.

[Time: 00:53:53]

I mentioned the agreement we have with the neighbor, and I want to focus on for the rest of my presentation is the stipulations that are part of this zoning case, that run with the land forever. Doesn't matter who owns it or what happens. If you approve it, the stipulations go into place. Then this

unprecedented agreement that we reached with the neighbor. And here's a copy of the agreement. I'm going to tell you about what it does. This would be recorded against the property. It can be privately enforced by the residential neighbors, Mr. Voss and whoever owns his building. It requires the use of noise control technology that measures not only the decibel level but the bass level. It's technology that immediately tells the tenant and the owner if there's any sort of issue, if they exceed any noise volumes. That's required. If they don't use it, Mr. Voss can sue them and get a court to order them to do it. There's a maximum occupancy requirement also. So under Scottsdale city code, as you know, you're limited to 6 adults and their children. If three families came in with three kids each, you can have a decent number of kids there, or people total. What this does, it says no matter what Scottsdale code says, in no event will you have more than 12 people there or two to a bedroom. It limits the maximum occupancy. Then the owner has, again, recorded against the property, runs with the land forever, doesn't matter who owns it. He has agreed that he will provide the cell phone number of a professional management company, the email address, 24 hour contact so that if there's ever an issue, neighbors have someone to get in touch with. He's taken the step, my client, of naming Mr. Voss and his company as an additional insured, in case someone is walking by his property and gets hurt.

There's a building height restriction. Twenty-six feet is the maximum building height for a two-story building allowed on these properties under this private agreement. That's in your stipulations also. One unit per lot is all that's allowed. That's also in your stipulations. It's also in this private agreement. And I think this is one of the biggest ones. And I know the neighbors were happy. There will be no amplified music allowed outdoors after 10:00 p.m. at night. That was very important to Mr. Voss and something that we're happy to agree to. If there's outside parties going on, Mr. Voss has the legal right to enforce that against my client. So there are a couple others. And I felt this was pretty unique. Those of you that know Mr. Voss know he's made his career making really interesting places, beautiful buildings, that kind of stuff. We sat down with him, and he hand-drew what he wanted the landscaping of these facilities to look like. Shade structures, the types of trees, those kind of things. Those have all been agreed to with him privately. They're included in the stipulations. The site plans include the things that he specifically requested to make the view better, to make it look better in the community. Here's a quick look at one of the renderings in the second group of cases for a building that will be built. Here's what it will look like when the fixing up of the one on Main Street.

[Time: 00:57:14]

Let me just get back to the stipulations. We did the agreement. The stipulations limit the height to 26 feet and 2 stories. So again, doesn't matter what happens. If you approve the zoning, that's what gets done. And so I guess the thing I'll close on for the stipulations, any other configuration other than what's being approved today, if he wants to do multiple units or higher units, different units, different shapes, different sizes, it has to go back as if it were a rezoning through your public process, to the Planning Commission, back to you. You're only approving what's before you today. There's no ability to do something else, something taller, something different. I think with all of this, what has happened and what we've seen is that the neighbors have really recognized that they're better off with this zoning. With this zoning they get the stipulations, no other neighbor to a vacation rental facility in the city of Scottsdale has stipulations like this. They also get this private agreement that no other neighbors who do a vacation rental in the city get. We've worked really hard to give everything that the neighbors wanted to make sure they feel protected. And again, I would encourage you to remember this isn't a

residential neighborhood. The only resident in the area is in favor of it. I'm happy to answer any questions that you may have and I thank you for your time on this issue.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Rich, thank you very much for your presentation. If you could stand by, we've got a couple of requests to speak on that issue. We'll start with Janet Story.

[Time: 00:59:02]

Janet Story: Thank you, Mr. Mayor and Council. This is my first time ever speaking at a Council meeting. And the reason I wanted to come is because I actually own a building that's just down the street. My building is on McKnight, I have a law office there. And while theoretically we work 8-5 Monday through Friday, I'm often there on the weekends as well. I'm sure that won't surprise any other lawyers in the audience. I want to just come and confirm, as Mr. Rich indicated, that I'm in support of this. I feel that Mr. Rich and his client have done a very good job working with Mr. Voss whose building is literally right behind my building, to accommodate his concerns. I'm pleased to see the stipulations on this as well as I think that will help preserve the character of the neighborhood and also limit any noise issues that might otherwise arise with the restrictions on the number of people that can be in the building or who can rent the building. I thank you for your time.

Mayor Lane: Thank you Ms. Story. Next is Jennifer Hall. That's the extent of testimony from the audience. Do we have any questions? Councilwoman Whitehead?

Councilwoman Whitehead: I have a question for staff. Recognizing the stipulations run with the land, this is a pretty substantial up-zoning if you look at the numbers. But I just want to talk about the height first of all. So the building that's currently allowed is 66 feet. We have the height being proposed as one story or maybe two stories on one of these. What is the height of this actual zoning category? What would this zoning category allow?

Ben Moriarity: Mayor Lane, Councilwoman Whitehead, the zoning category without the stipulation would allow for 66 feet. But there would be a design component to that like stepbacks and setbacks where it would be difficult to achieve that height on this site. With the current building on this site, it is currently two stories, about 19 or 20 feet tall. And it's stipulated to be capped at the 26 feet that Court Rich mentioned.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Very good. If there are no further questions, hearing none, I would accept a motion. Councilwoman Klapp?

[Time: 01:02:03]

Councilwoman Klapp: Are you considering these individually or together?

Mayor Lane: I think right now, since we didn't get any questions and I don't presume there are any questions on the second one. I'll ask a quick question of Mr. Rich, are the same stipulations that you're talking about in both cases, do they both apply and have the same type of enforcement issues?

Court Rich: Mr. Mayor, Councilmembers, yes, they do.

Mayor Lane: So it's identical in most everything you've said on the one?

Court Rich: That's correct. They're altered only to the extent that the second one has four units. But it's the same height restrictions. And our agreement with Mr. Voss applies to all the properties.

Mayor Lane: Then I would think we could probably accept....

Councilwoman Klapp: Take them together? I would make a motion to adopt Ordinance Number 4433 and to adopt Ordinance Number 4435 with the stipulations provided.

Mayor Lane: Motion made on both of those items, 14 and 15. Do we have a second?

Councilwoman Milhaven: Second.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Motion's made by Councilwoman Klapp and seconded by Councilwoman Milhaven. Would you like to speak to it at all?

Councilwoman Milhaven: No thank you.

Mayor Lane: Vice Mayor Littlefield?

Vice Mayor Littlefield: I do have a question for you. On the sound monitoring issue that you have, that you would have sound monitoring here, does that include for bass?

Court Rich: Mr. Mayor, Councilwoman Littlefield, it does.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Alright, no other questions heard. The motion's been made and seconded. We're then ready to vote, all those in favor indicate by aye, those opposed with a nay. Motion passes 6-1 with Councilwoman Korte opposing. The motion passes on both Items 14 and 15. If you're here for those items, they have been successful. Thank you for your input.

#### **ITEM 16 – COMPREHENSIVE FINANCIAL POLICIES AND GOVERNING GUIDANCE**

Mayor Lane: We'll move on to our next item. Next item is 16, Comprehensive Financial Policies and Governing Guidance, and our presenter is Mr. Jeff Nichols.

[Time: 01:04:49]

City Treasurer Jeff Nichols: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, as you said, 20/21 Comprehensive Policy for your consideration this evening. A little background, we first adopted the policies in 95/95 to promote sound financial management, planning, assist in making fiscal strategy and policy decisions. And it also, a side



product of it, probably the biggest thing, it contributes to our AAA bond rating. It is something the bond rating agencies look at when they're providing us with their rating. The policies go across these categories: operating management, capital management, debt management, reserve management and financial reporting, a total of 40 some odd different policies. Not all of those are being brought forward tonight for your information. Just a few. And then we'll ask for you to take some action at the end.

The administrative changes that we're looking at for the coming fiscal year, first, basically a little bit of a clean-up. The only change in this policy is highlighted on this screen in red. We want to say budget or financial documents. The concern was that on an annual basis, we knew this would be in our financial documents. So we just wanted clarification in case someone just looked at the budget documents and said well it's not in your budget documents. We wanted to be all inclusive and make sure that was there. Operating Management policy number 17, again, this deals with the transfer of funds from the General Fund operating over to the General Fund capital. As you know right now, what we're doing is we're transferring two-thirds of the 1.1% sales tax collected on food for home consumption from General Fund operating to General Fund capital. The effect of this, the change in this operating management policy will have is beginning in year 2 of the five year plan, so not in 2021 but in 2022, we'll reduce that by 25% in that year and then again by 25% in each of the subsequent years until the transfer from General Fund operating to General Fund capital would be zero. We modified this policy related to the sales tax for food for home consumption to the benefit of the General Fund operating account versus the General Fund CIP. And there are several reasons for that.

[Time: 01:07:30]

The reserve management in the Water Fund, the Water Reclamation, Solid Waste Management, Aviation Enterprise, we're asking to increase the reserves, we do that by the number of days. If you remember, in the General Fund, we reserve 10% of the amount of the General Fund operating budget. In the Utilities, we do it by number of days. They're just asking at the top end of that be increased from 90 to 120 days. Don't really like to talk about things in public that are negative. But you know this weekend we faced some issues with our systems. That we all work on. Concern is there and has been expressed. How quickly could we get back up in this area if one of those systems went down? And how soon can we send out bills and how soon can we start collecting revenues from people that pay for these services? So they wanted to extend that reserve.

Another thing that we added this year, is, we wanted to tell you currently what policies we're not in compliance with, since you tell us we need to be in compliance with these policies. When you adopt them, financial policy 21-A, related to debt service, the Council has waived limitations on bed tax funding for the Tony Nelssen Equestrian Center, Museum of the West, the TPC, which we just played the Phoenix open on and we had some Chamber of Commerce weather for. So hopefully that increases our visitors as well. And then the Scottsdale Stadium renovations. As you recall, it was referred to as a slice of the pie or \$600,000 wedge. We are over in these projects that are listed over that amount. And then we waived limitations to issuing MPC debt without dedicated revenue source back when the TNEC was approved. So we didn't have a strict revenue source that we could point to that said this revenue source is going to be used to finance these improvements. And normally, we do that when we issue MPC debt. So this is the action that I'm asking for you to take this evening, regarding the financial policies. We can answer any questions you may have concerning these.

Mayor Lane: We do have one request to speak. So stand by. There will undoubtedly be questions from the Council as well. Nevertheless, Mr. David Smith with one additional card from Diana Smith. David, let's go at four minutes.

[Time: 01:10:19]

David Smith: Thank you, Mr. Mayor. My name is David Smith, I think the address is on record. And I want to talk about the change to Financial Policy Number 17 that is proposed. Four years ago I sat with you and urged all of us to eliminate the sales tax that our community levies on food purchased for home consumption. And at the time, our onerous local sales tax on food for a family of four we estimated cost \$196 a year. At that time, we could not agree to immediately eliminate the tax on food but on January 25, 2016 we did as a group agree that it was a good time to wean the General Fund off of its reliance on this revenue source. So by a vote of 5-2 at that time Council directed a transfer of all the food tax revenues out of the General Fund into the CIP fund phased in over a three year period. Unfortunately, that direction didn't last very long. On February 5 just last year the Council voted to change Financial Policy 17 and freeze the transfers from the General Fund at just two-thirds of their total amount. Then tonight you're being asked to eliminate the transfer policy entirely in a bold reversal of the 2016 policy direction. There is no rationale that you were given on this screen a moment ago. Only an explanation of how the amended policy will in fact be implemented. So ask yourself if the CIP fund doesn't need the money, and it probably doesn't, given the recent bond election, then why not eliminate the tax on the citizens?

Is the tax impact on a family less onerous than it was in 2016? Well, the answer to that is no. In fact, the annual food tax is now more than \$218 per year for a family of four. So ask yourself, has the General Fund been hard up for money since 2016? And the answer to that is also no. The city has experienced double digit increases in local taxes, state taxes and other revenues. More telling, we have underspent the approved budget every year. And when the current year's budget was approved, it showed we accumulated \$55 million of undesignated reserves. That number grew by another \$12 million according to what you were told just December this past year. I urge you to reject this request to change Financial Policy Number 17 and instead direct staff to agendize, for a future Council discussion, the removal of the entire \$1.75% tax on food, not just the General Funds 1.1% part.....

Mayor Lane: I'm sorry Mr. Smith. That's not a topic for us here to agendize, what we're talking about now are policies. And maybe as this may affect that particular issue. But that's not something we would be able to consider right now.

David Smith: Okay. As you know, we have 1.1% that is being taxed on food in the General Fund. It already goes to the CIP. I would suggest that could be eliminated. The .2 on transportation tax for food. The .35 that goes to the Preserve tax, they don't need it. The .1 for the ALCP, they're going to have more money than they need for these. Eliminating the tax on food wouldn't require voter approval, as you know. It would not require approval by the League of Cities or approval in the municipal tax code. It only requires a vote of four.....

Mayor Lane: I am sorry. This is getting a little away from the policy approval process.

David Smith: I think I've concluded anyway and made my point. The time is now. Thank you very much.

[Time: 01:14:32]

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Smith. There's no further comment on this subject. But I'm going to go ahead and start with something that I'm concerned about with the same item that Mr. Smith was talking about. This is strictly a matter of changing the policy of what we did establish as was just indicated as a means to try to wean the General Fund of a dependency on that food tax into CIP. CIP became dependent upon it. So we're now reversing that because CIP no longer has that kind of dependency on it as well. But I want to just confirm that this is strictly a policy, if everything remains the same as it is, that this is not an issue guarantying any change with regard to that taxing.

City Attorney Sherry Scott: Mayor, correct. This is just an item to change your financial policies regarding, I think it was policy number 17 and the others shown to you in the presentation. So this is just a matter of changing your financial policies and guidance to the treasurer.

Mayor Lane: Just to be perfectly clear, this has no bearing on whether or not the particular tax stays in place or not. It's a matter that this is reversing a policy where they previously had to eliminate the situation that we artificially developed.

Sherry Scott: Correct.

Mayor Lane: All very good. Councilman Phillips?

[Time: 01:16:16]

Councilman Phillips: That went over my head. When Mr. Nichols was up here, I was wondering what that was about too. When Mr. Smith spoke, who I think we can all agree knows what he's talking about, I can't believe he got that far wrong. What is going on here? Sounds like we are reversing it. Item number 17.

Sherry Scott: I'll let the treasurer speak to the policy change that he's asking for. But you are not able to do anything about food tax. That's not on the agenda. This is just about where the tax goes, how much goes there. I'll let the treasurer speak to that

Jeff Nichols: Yes, Mr. Mayor, Councilman Phillips, if you'll recall, all of this tax, prior to Council's decision to start sending it to the General Fund CIP went to the General Fund. All we're asking, for a period of four year, to start sending that tax to the General Fund CIP

Councilman Phillips: Why?

Jeff Nichols: We have, well, several reasons. We have certain issues that come up. One is the bond program. We know there will be an operating impact related to some of the bond projects that are being built. The city manager's going to bring forward a five-year plan of which you'll adopt that first year regarding other programs within the city and their need for funding. And bottom line is I believe it's looked at, when the voter's approved the 1% transportation sales tax, this was mentioned by Mr. Smith for the ALCP. That took care of that need. When the voters approved the \$319 in bond program,

that took care of a need on the General Fund capital side. So it's all of those reasons that are driving this. It's not any one reason.

Councilman Phillips: I'm sorry. This is just going over my head. I still don't understand why we couldn't have left it the way it was. What's the laymen's reason why we have to change it back?

Jeff Nichols: Mr. Mayor, it was not needed on the General Fund capital side. There was a need on the General Fund operating side. We saw that need coming. We want to change it over time.

Councilman Phillips: You're saying the General Fund needs that food tax on the operating side?

>>Jeff Nichols: Correct.

Councilman Phillips: As opposed to Mr. Smith saying it doesn't.

Jeff Nichols: That's correct.

Councilman Phillips: And the idea when we moved before was to slowly wean ourselves away from it?

Jeff Nichols: That's correct also, sir.

Councilman Phillips: All right. Thank you for that. and the other question was, it's not a question but I just kind of felt blindsided here. Maybe it's my fault. Maybe I was in the hospital at the time. But we never discussed this. And I feel like here it is up here, go ahead and vote for it. And I wish maybe you could have met with us individually or at a different Council meeting and discussed all these different policies because maybe we have suggestions or ideas pertained to the policies. I just feel like here it is, vote for it now. I don't know. I guess that's where we're at. But maybe next year, we can have some meetings and talk about it ahead of time.

Jeff Nichols: Mr. Mayor, Councilman Phillips, we can certainly do that.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilman Phillips. Councilwoman Whitehead?

[Time: 01:20:13]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Okay. Councilman Phillips asked some of the same questions but on the bond capacity, this is what I tell the voters, we don't borrow. We don't pay, you know, principle and interest. I don't understand the logic. Just because we have access to borrow for the bonds, if we have cash to do some of the work, we borrow less. So I still don't, we still have projects that need to be done. We just have to decide how we're going to pay for those projects. And so I'm unclear as to why we would move the CIP, move these funds out of the CIP when clearly, we have a lot of projects that we need to pay for.

Jeff Nichols: Mr. Mayor, Councilwoman Whitehead, we would not have had the capacity, even if we continued to make this transfer from the General Fund operating to the CIP, we would not have had capacity to complete those projects.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I understand. But it would be less. We could use some of it toward the projects. Some of the projects were pretty small ticket items. I'm just saying that personally, if I can borrow less, I'm going to always do that. So yes, the voters approved these bonds but they didn't say go at it. They said be careful with the money. If we have a source of funding that minimizes how much we borrow, I'm all for that.

Jeff Nichols: Mr. Mayor, to the extent that we can do something approved without issuing debt, we will do that. Also there are items we will not be able to cover with bond funding because of IRS regulations. So they'll need supplemental funding from the General Fund to be done as well.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I understand.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Whitehead. Councilwoman Milhaven.

[Time: 01:22:29]

Councilwoman Milhaven: I guess the way I'm looking is, before we passed the bond, we were going to be forced, if we had not passed the bond, we would be forced to lose programs in the city. So by transferring the food tack, what we were saying was we're going to have to transfer some of what we do in the General Fund to maintain critical infrastructure, understanding that it was not adequate. It was the best we could do under the circumstances. Now that bond has been passed, it's fair to say we don't need to compromise existing funds because thankfully, the citizens were willing to pay for the bond. For me, that's one. We need to undue the fact that we were willing to make tough choices. We don't need to make those tough choices to say what do we need to cut.

The other thing we're looking at is we're building, thanks to the passed bond, we're building fire stations. Speaking very practically, we need to put firefighters in those stations. We need money in the General Fund to pay firefighter salaries. Otherwise, we've got this beautiful new fire station, because we have the capital to build the station. But we don't have the money to pay their salaries. So that's another reason, in my mind, to put that sales tax money back into the General Fund. We don't have to make the hard choices because now we can have it all or a lot. And we need to fund firefighters to go into the new stations. And a lot of the projects that we have approved have other staff implications and other operating expense implications that we these to cover. So I'm certainly supportive of this policy. I'll go ahead and make a motion to adopt Resolution 11697. Thank you.

Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion made by Councilwoman Milhaven and seconded by Councilwoman Klapp. Would you like to speak?

Councilwoman Klapp: No, thank you.

Mayor Lane: Vice Mayor, would you like to speak toward it?

Vice Mayor Littlefield: I had a question. I wasn't going to speak to the motion. On item number 21A.... Where we had, we're talking about the tourism related budgets and....

Mayor Lane: I'm sorry. Are you on a different item other than the operating management item?

Vice Mayor Littlefield: It's part of the same resolution.

Mayor Lane: Okay. So yes, go ahead please.

[Time: 01:24:45]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: We had an issue on the top of page 3 of 5, Resolution number 11617 exhibit A. Any unused fund in the tourism development fund will be available for use in the following years for any of the non-marketing tourism categories, except for the General Fund category and may be allocated without limitations. Except they may not be leveraged for multi-year annual commitments such as debt service payments. I understand why we want to keep it separate. But I guess I was looking in a different, from a different point of view because of a lot of the things that I study at home on the economy and where we're heading and stuff. My concern is much the same as has already been talked about. If we hit a really bad down patch where we're not collecting a lot of revenues and we're having a really hard time, I think it doesn't do the city well if we disallow money going into the General Fund to keep our lights on.

And that's the kind of way I'm looking at this. And I'm wondering why it couldn't be that we could use these unspent funds that are above and beyond what the budget is for this tourism and put it into the General Fund, if it becomes needed in a very severe economic downturn because I don't think it would be a better solution to try to tax people more to pay our bills if we have the funds sitting there, if you see what I mean. And maybe something like a supermajority vote would be required, something like that. It's something I think we should be looking at, looking forward over the next 5 to 10 years. We're having issues now already, and it may be that the economy will need that, the city will need that money just to operate, get the trash out.

Jeff Nichols: Mr. Mayor, Councilwoman Littlefield, if I understand, you're talking about the financial policy 21A.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Yes.

Jeff Nichols: Okay. And after all the different buckets that get filled in whatever falls in that, there's a statement that that cannot go back to the General Fund.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Yes.

Jeff Nichols: We can certainly bring that forward for consideration. I think at that time there was an amount of money, the 50% that the city gets to keep. You know, Experience Scottsdale gets 50% of those monies. We get 50%. And out of the percentage we keep, there's a certain amount that goes into the General Fund, mostly for Public Safety. But it was to limit it to that amount and then not have what fell through, not to go to the General Fund after that. But we can certainly consider that.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: They have a 12% limit on that. But if push comes to shove, if there's extra funding in here then and we need it, basically to keep the lights on, then I think there should be an avenue where we could tap those funds rather than raise taxes on people in hard times.

Jeff Nichols: And so, Mr. Mayor, Councilwoman Littlefield, that would mean if there was monies left over, the Council could direct us to transfer funds. It would just not be in compliance with the financial policy as currently stated if we wanted that to happen. We could take out that statement, much like the statements that we have and the moneys that are collected in the General Fund operating. There's also a statement saying at the end of the year those will be swept and put in the CIP unless otherwise directed. Each year, we get direction from the Council.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: I wouldn't want it to be an automatic thing. But if we needed it, hardcore....

Jeff Nichols: Understand.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: That we could touch it. And the way I read it here, we can't. So I think that's what my concern was.

Jeff Nichols: Okay. We'll look into that.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman. I think we have the motion and the second on the table. I just had just a final comment with regard to an item we were talking about previously on the operating management item, number 17. And that is simply that all this amounts to, really more than anything else, is a reversal of a policy we put into place because we were absent of capital funds. And so we transferred that money from the food tax to wean off the food tax and move it into capital funds. All we're talking about is, over time, transferring that. Whether that happens on the basis of as is, this has, in large part been remedied. So certainly I would agree with the motion and the second. And with that, I would say that we're ready then to vote. All those in favor, please indicate by aye. Those opposed with a nay. Aye. That motion passes 6-1 with Council Phillips opposing. That completes that item. Thank you very much Mr. Nichols for the presentation and the information.

#### **ITEM 17 – MONTHLY FINANCIAL UPDATE**

[Time: 01:31:07]

Mayor Lane: And you're still at the podium and I understand that because we're on to Item 17, the Monthly Financial Update. Welcome back.

[Time: 01:31:15]

City Treasurer Jeff Nichols: Thank you, Mr. Mayor, members of Council. Monthly Financial Update as of December 31, 2019. We are a little bit past. As you recall, we're supposed to report within 15 days however, we were unable to do that. I would also like to say that the November update is really baked

into this. I saw no reason to give a presentation on November and then a presentation on December. Bottom line is, you look at this graph here and you see slightly more favorable variances than unfavorable variances in the General Fund operating sources, somewhat easier to see here. When you look at it, we'll look at the majority of the tax is local. One of the things we've just been talking about, the sales taxes, you'll see a fairly robust, favorable variance there of \$6.7 million. We'll dive into that a little more in a minute. The \$900,000 negative variance or unfavorable variance within property tax is, it will work its way out toward the end of the year. It's just we budgeted on past collections and they're not coming in exactly as they have in the past. So it's creating a variance.

One of the things I talked about before, the interest earnings, you see a little bit of an unfavorable variance there, \$400,000. Bottom line is, that's lowered the rates. The interest that we're getting on our investments has decreased. So that has changed. The positive variance I'd like to point out under Building Permit Fees and Charges \$2.2 million or 28%, the largest part of that is due to Nationwide at the Crossroads Two development projects. This is both commercial and residential development within the city that's happening. And it's greater than what was budgeted. The bottom, Transfers In, just the result of water and sewer charges being greater overall than was planned, so the transfer in from those funds, the Enterprise funds to the General Fund has increased and created that variance.

So you'll look at slide 4. And you'll see all but one tax category as a positive variance. The tax categories from automotive to utilities are there on the left. And looking at the actual numbers, again, some of the variances, are all positive, except one, the rentals. So I'll talk about that. That was a one-time speculative sale that was reflected in 18/19. Unfortunately, in the budget process, it got carried forward to 19/20. Additionally, there was one large inner-city transfer of tax that was originally paid to Scottsdale in error. So we had to give those funds back, creating that negative variance of 4% or \$300,000. But in the over all, the economy is still, continues to grow. So very positive for the city.

[Time: 01:34:15]

When we look at the General Fund operating uses by category, all but one operating use category has a positive variance. And shows it out here. That's on the transfers out. Again, I think, I'll talk about personnel services in a moment. But I had to explain that transfers out variance of 30%. Let me look real quick. I'm sorry. Forgive me. It was due to a reclassification of a project to General Fund capital. So we had to transfer the funds in the operating budget into the capital budget, bathroom at McCormick-Stillman so it created that negative variance. All other categories are positive. So you look at the salaries. We have mixed variances. We have some that there are no variances, some negative variances, and some positive variance.

Again, looking at the salaries, continued mostly within the police department, to replace people that are retiring with people that we're paying less, you see the overtime variance there. The majority of that is related to the Public Safety Fire, firefighters assisting with the wildfires in California last year. And also unbudgeted overtime costs to make sure that all trucks are staffed with paramedics. And they need to be replaced by someone with a paramedic status. So we're doing that. Also in Public Safety, Police. The Vice President's visit last year created some unbudgeted overtime within the police department. Then you see the retirement, the positive variance there. Again, majority having to do with people in the public safety arena retiring and us having lower costs going into that fund.



[Time: 01:36:10]

You look at the division expenditures. You see that most of the divisions have, again, very positive variances. Two negative variances there. I talked about Public Safety, Fire. And really the 2%, \$200,000 negative variance in Administrative Services is more of a timing issue than anything else. That will work its way out as we go forward. What we want to point out, and I think what was being pointed out earlier is we're seeing a total actual variance of \$19.5 million when we thought we would have a \$7.8 million positive contribution to the undesignated unreturned fund balance by this point. And really that's increased by \$11.7 million. So we're in a very good position at least through December. And I can tell you from looking at some of the figures that I've seen related to this calendar year, which will be the second half of our fiscal year, things continue to look positive across all categories. So with that, I would be willing to take any questions you may have.

Mayor Lane: Thank you again, Mr. Nichols, for the presentation I guess pretty comprehensive and to the point. Looks like we have no questions. But thank you very much for your presentation

Jeff Nichols: Thank you.

Mayor Lane: We have no additional Public Comment.....

Jeff Nichols: Sorry Mr. Mayor, you recall, each quarter we give an update on the CIP.

Mayor Lane: Very good, sorry. Didn't want to cut you out. Sorry about that. We're waiting with bated breath.

City Engineer Dave Lipinski: I'll go quickly, I promise.

Mayor Lane: Especially since we've been talking about this, it should be apropos.

[Time: 01:37:55]

City Engineer Dave Lipinski: Good evening. Here to review quarter 2 with the CIP update, again, this is a CIP expenditure by program through quarter 2. As you know, the CIP is funded July 1st. We spend over the next four quarters trying to catch up. The expenditures right now should be 50% of what our budgets are. Obviously some of these projects are funded in a single year and take multiple years to deliver. We should really never catch ourselves, as far as spending goes. This is the same information, just done by program in graphical format and also by funding source, the same numbers just which funds they come out of and which monies we're spending currently. For significant projects, I'll run through these. Bond 2015, we are one street segment away from finalizing the question 4 street pavement rehabilitation. That is 112th street from Shea to Mountain View, that will be done this spring. For fire station 603, the building is coming out of the ground. I apologize, some of my pictures are two plus weeks old. There's been more progress from this. We are coming out of the ground. The underground utilities are done, they're into the building and site work. The contract completion date is June 7, 2020. The last but not least is fire station 616. This project, the contractor's done. All the native plant removals. They're working on site grading, some of the larger retaining walls and we'll get into bringing utilities into the site shortly. That will wrap up the bond 2015 projects.

Crossroads East flood control phase 1. Again, this is a joint venture project with the developer of Nationwide. The City of Scottsdale is developing the portion adjacent to the water campus and south. This project has been moving rapidly. A couple of pictures here on the top left. We're looking at the grade control structure, it will bring the stormwater into the basin. There's a large dissipation structure here. In the middle is looking at the basin, you can see the 101 freeway on the righthand side. On the very right, as if you're standing on the 101 freeway looking southwest, these are twin 60-inch storm drainpipes. If you happen to park up here for the open, they're done and buried already, so you didn't even see them while you were up there. This work will continue and be completed early this summer.

The Drinkwater underpass at Civic Center Mall, this project is construction-wise finished, financially we'd have some cleanup to do, what we're going to do is put in shortly for the reimbursement to MAG for the LCP funds, and what that will do is it will show that roughly \$6 million will become an actual expense and we'll be able to reimburse the transportation sales tax for that negative balance in the additional funds we were able to gain out of the LCP for this project.

The McCormick-Stillman Railroad Park improvements, this was in three parts. The Blue Star Memorial relocation which has been completed. The Mercy Train relocation which will happen right after the high season. And then the bunkhouse renovation. The bunkhouse renovations, they just had a kick off meeting a couple weeks ago. The architect is off and flying on that and hopefully starting construction of those late this year. Raintree Drive from Scottsdale to Hayden. We are looking to finalize phase one of this project, which would be 76<sup>th</sup> Place through the Hayden intersection. We'd like to bring a GMP back to Council in April to get that construction rolling this summer.

Scottsdale Stadium phase one. I definitely didn't bring pictures. If I brought them from yesterday, they would be different today. Our first section of occupancy for the clubhouse is Friday. The contractor has been working 190 to 200 staff a day, 7 days a week to get us there. And so we're working hand in hand to let the Giants occupy that facility on Friday. The second portion of that, the city offices and the rest of the press box level is actually turned over on Monday. Pitchers and catchers report on Tuesday.

[Time: 01:42:14]

The Thomas Groundwater Treatment Facility. Not a lot of vertical work yet. There's a lot of underground associated with this facility. They're slowly coming out of the ground. We have about a year of construction left. There's a lot of technical pieces inside this building that have to be delivered and assembled. So it's a long duration. But they're moving along well. And also for bond 2019, the City Council did approve the creation of one bond project. And I believe it was late November. And that project was from question 3, the public safety technology replacement. The big push was this, where the cardiac monitors and defibrillators service plan expired January 1, 2020. We were able to get these purchased and in the hands of the firefighters in time. They're now servicing the city. The fire department has moved forward. And they have moved this project through. They have purchased the infrared cameras. They're working on purchasing the scuba units and extraction equipment currently.

Projects completed in quarter 2, these are completed financially. And also some via construction. You may see them twice over time. RV Pedestals at WestWorld, this year's portion of the public safety radios, Advance Mobile Solutions for service facilities and transportation, a couple big ones. The

Hayden/Thomas intersection, 68<sup>th</sup> bridge reconstruction, and the Pima Road Trail to Chaparral, that was the Pima Road bridge construction was done during the drive also. The Preserve, the Fraesfield and Granite Mountain railheads are complete. What that means is we were able to give back to fund balance, just over \$2.1 million from these projects as they were closed. And with that, I do have one video update. The Office of Communications has put together. Let's see if I can get it to go. That wraps up what I have. Any questions?

Mayor Lane: We've got no questions. A very nice report, but thank you very much.

### **CITIZEN PETITIONS**

[Time: 01:47:02]

Mayor Lane: That brings us to our next item which is Citizen Petitions which was spoken about by Marilyn Atkinson earlier today with a request for the Council to consider whether the list that's indicated, I think we all have a copy of items on our work in the area of parking. I think we're designated to have a, in fact I know we are to have a study session to discuss the parking situation. I think that's already scheduled. And the city manager is working on that. I don't know that there's anything other than to say that, unless we need to take a vote on it. But as far as an action is considered, this is to conclude this consideration.

[Time: 01:47:49]

City Manager Jim Thompson: Mr. Mayor, members of Council, if I may, I realized we received the petition this evening. Next week we will be discussing in Study Session downtown parking. I'm happy to include this portion in that discussion. I don't think any action is necessary of Council. We already had this included. We'll just include the packet as well as part of what you receive. I'll share that with the city clerk and ask that she forward it as part of the packet. If that's acceptable to Council, I don't think any action is necessary. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: So be it.

### **MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEMS**

[Time: 01:48:22]

Mayor Lane: Thank you very much for that, the next item is the Mayor and Council items. I would like to make a motion to agendaize, at a future date, a discussion and possible action on the elimination of the tax on food consumed at home. That's something that we entered into a little bit in tonight's discussion. But I would like to make sure that we have an opportunity to discuss all aspects of that and what the intentions are and what they have been in the past. So....

Councilmember Korte: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion has been made and seconded by Councilwoman Korte. If there's no further comment, we're ready for a vote. All those in favor, indicate by aye. Those opposed with a nay. And motion passes 6-1 with Councilwoman Milhaven opposing.

One other one I would like to also consider is to make a motion to direct the city manager to agendaize for discussion and possible action the library board's recommendation suggestion that the Scottsdale Public Library become late-fine free.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Second.

Mayor Lane: I'll take that second from Councilwoman Whitehead. No further comments. All those in favor, please indicate with an aye. Opposed, nay. It's unanimous. So we'll go ahead and that will be agendaized, I'll ask the city manager to do just that, with both of those items. And I'm sorry, do you still.....

Councilmember Korte: No, I don't.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Then that completes the Mayor and Council items, as far as I can tell.

**ITEM 19 – BOARDS, COMMISSIONS, AND TASK FORCE NOMINATIONS (NOTE: INTERVIEWS AND APPOINTMENTS SCHEDULED FOR FEBRUARY 18, 2020)**

[Time: 01:50:17]

Mayor Lane: And we're now moving on to the board's and commission nominations. For that purpose I will turn the meeting over to Vice Mayor Littlefield to do her duty in that area, so.....

[Time: 01:50:32]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. This evening, the City Council will be nominating Scottsdale residents interested in serving on citizen advisory boards and commissions. The 8 boards and commissions with current vacancies include the following: Airport Advisory Commission, Building Advisory Board of Appeals, Development Review Board, Human Relations Commission, Industrial Development Authority, Neighborhood Advisory Commission and the Tourism Development Commission.

The Scottsdale City Council is responsible for establishing City policies and enacting laws in support of those policies. The Council relies on volunteer, citizen-based boards and commissions to research issues and make recommendations in support of the Council's mission and goals. The information and recommendations provided by Council-appointed advisory boards is a valuable tool in helping Councilmembers in their deliberations. Individuals nominated will be interviewed at a Special City Council meeting on Tuesday, February 18<sup>th</sup> with appointments following each set of interviews. Let's get started.

**AIRPORT ADVISORY COMMISSION**

[Time: 01:51:53]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Our first commission is the airport advisory commission. There is one opening. The Airport Advisory Commission advises the City Council on policy matters relating to the operation of the airport, proposals for development, airport area land use, fees and safety concerns. Kevin Maxwell resigned from the commission on January 16, 2020. There is 1 vacancy and 4 applicants. The applicants are Charles McDermott, Patrick McGarry, Peter Mier, and Thomas Noon. We begin the nominations with Councilwoman Suzanne Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Charles McDermott. Which way?

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Charlie McDermott. He is an additional nominee. He's the first name on the list. Suzanne? Linda?

Councilwoman Klapp: The Mayor's going to go next.

Mayor Lane: Which way you going?

Vice Mayor Littlefield: I'll go this way. That's fine.

Mayor Lane: I nominate Patrick McGarry

Councilmember Korte: No further nominations.

Councilman Phillips: No further.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: No further.

Councilwoman Whitehead: No further.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you. We have two nominees, Charles McDermott and Patrick McGarry.

#### **BUILDING ADVISORY BOARD OF APPEALS**

[Time: 01:53:40]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Our next board is the Building Advisory Board of Appeals. There are 3 openings. The Building Advisory Board of Appeals, however, has no applications that have been received at this time. As such, we will skip this one and move on to the next one.

#### **DEVELOPMENT REVIEW BOARD**

[Time: 01:54:00]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Next we have the Development Review Board. There is one opening. The purpose of the Development Review Board is to review and approve architectural design and layout of proposed development plans. There are special qualifications. As outlined in the Scottsdale City Code, the membership shall consist of a City Councilmember, a Planning Commission member and five public members, three of whom shall be architects, environmental scientists, landscape architects or persons otherwise qualified by design background training or experience; and two of whom shall be land developers, builders, or contractors. Tammy Caputi resigned from the board on January 10, 2020. Ms. Caputi represented a developer position. There is 1 vacancy and 5 applicants. The applicants are Jeffrey Brand, Michael Buschbacher, Andrea Davis, Michal Ann Joyner, and Peter Koliopoulos. I will now entertain nominations for the Development Review Board. Each Councilmember can nominate 1 applicant. Mayor Lane?

Mayor Lane: Peter.

Councilmember Korte: Cheater. Andrea Davis.

Councilman Phillips: Michal Ann Joyner.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Michal Ann Joyner.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Jeff Brand.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Councilwoman Klapp: No additional.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Jeffrey Brand, Andrea Davis, Michal Ann Joyner and Peter Koliopoulos have been nominated for this board.

#### HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION

[Time: 01:56:01]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Our next commission is the Human Relations Commission. There is one opening. The Human Relations Commission advocates and promotes all dimensions of diversity. The Commission acts as an advisory body to the Mayor, City Council and staff to make recommendations on ways to encourage mutual respect and understanding among people, to discourage prejudice and discrimination, and to work towards cultural awareness and unity.

Marie Mendoza's term expires on February 21, 2020. Ms. Mendoza is eligible for reappointment and has submitted an application for consideration. There is 1 vacancy and 4 applicants. The applicants are Courtney Armstrong, Muhammad Babur, Marie Mendoza, and Jeffrie Story. I will now entertain nominations for the Human Relations Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate 1 applicant. Councilmember Virginia Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Thank you Vice Mayor. Jeffrie Story.

Councilman Phillips: Muhammad Babur

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Marie Mendoza.

Councilwoman Whitehead: No additional.

Councilwoman Milhaven: No additional.

Councilwoman Klapp: No additional nominations.

Mayor Lane: No additional nominations.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: We have three nominees: Muhammad Babur, Marie Mendoza and Jeffrie Story.

#### INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

[Time: 01:57:42]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Our next nomination group is the Industrial Development Authority. The Industrial Development Authority's main function is to issue tax-exempt bonds for certain types of private developments for the purpose of attracting new economic activity to the community. There are special qualifications: There are no formal requirements but given the nature of the work that the IDA conducts, it is suggested members be comfortable working with detailed financial pro forma, feasibility studies and financial projections.

Marcus Mountford, Eric Sloan and John Bradley resigned in 2019. There are 3 vacancies and 2 applicants. The applicants are David Hyde and Evelyn Racette. I will now entertain nominations for the Industrial Development Authority. Each Councilmember can nominate 2 applicants.

Councilman Phillips: David Hyde, Evelyn Racette.

Vice Mayor Littlefield. No additional. Since there are no additional...

Councilwoman Whitehead: It's Racette. I happen to know.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Okay. The applicants have been nominated.

#### NEIGHBORHOOD ADVISORY COMMISSION

[Time: 01:59:08]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Next we have the Neighborhood Advisory Commission. There is one opening. The Neighborhood Advisory Commission advises and makes recommendations to the City Council on policies, plans, strategies and programs for the preservation, improvement and revitalization of Scottsdale's housing and neighborhoods. Rachel Putman's term expires on February 21, 2020 and is

eligible for reappointment. Ms. Putman has submitted an application for consideration. There is 1 vacancy and 4 applicants. The applicants are Jonathan Budwig, Laurie Coe, Alexandra Duemer, and Rachel Putman. I now will start the nominations for the Neighborhood Advisory Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate 1 applicant.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: I appoint Rachel Putman.

Councilwoman Whitehead: No additional

Councilwoman Whitehead: No additional

Councilwoman Klapp: No additional.

Mayor Lane: No additional.

Councilmember Korte: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: Alexandra Duemer.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Thank you. Nominees have been selected.

#### TOURISM DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION

[Time: 02:03:31]

Vice Mayor Littlefield: Next we'll go to the Tourism Development Commission. There is one opening. The Tourism Development Commission advises the City Council on matters concerning the expenditure of revenues from the Transaction Privilege Tax on transient lodging (bed tax) designated for tourism development. Special Qualifications: As specified in the Scottsdale City Code, the Tourism Development Commission shall consist of representatives of the tourism industry in Scottsdale, including a minimum of four Scottsdale hoteliers, one member of the Scottsdale Convention and Visitors Bureau, and a balance from elements of the tourism industry.

Camille Hill's term expires on February 20, 2020 and is not eligible for reappointment. Ms. Hill represented a Scottsdale Convention Business Bureau position. There is 1 vacancy and 6 applicants. The applicants are Anna Mineer, Cody Newport, Monica Nicodemus, George Spatola, Krista Welch, and David Woods. I will now entertain nominations for the Tourism Development Commission. Each Councilmember can nominate 1 applicant. We'll begin with Councilwoman Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Cody Newport.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Monica Nicodemus.

Councilwoman Klapp: Anna Mineer.

Mayor Lane: David Woods.



Councilmember Korte: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: Krista Welch.

Vice Mayor Littlefield: No additional. Five applicants have been nominated.

This concludes our nomination process. City staff will provide those nominated with additional information about the interview process. I would like to take this opportunity to sincerely thank all who have applied to serve on a citizen advisory board or commission. Even if you were not nominated, your application will remain on file for one year for consideration at a future date if there are any additional vacancies. Thank you very much. I turn the meeting over to the Mayor.

Mayor Lane: Thank you very much Vice Mayor. A good run through that. That does complete the rest of our work for our Regular meeting. With that, I just bid by you all a good evening. Thanks for being here and thanks for the presentation and the work.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

[Time: 02:03:12]

Mayor Lane: So do I have a motion to adjourn?

Councilmember Korte: So moved.

Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion made and seconded. We are adjourned.