
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the July 1, 2019 City Council Regular Meeting and has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, is available online at:

<https://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/Assets/ScottsdaleAZ/Council/current-agendas-minutes/2019-agendas/07-01-19-regular-agenda.pdf>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<http://www.Scottsdaleaz.gov/Scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2019-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:18]

Mayor Lane: Good afternoon everyone. Apologies for running a little late here with you. We had some things that we couldn't interrupt immediately. I would like to call to order our July 1st City Council Meeting.

ROLL CALL

[Time: 00:00:21]

Mayor Lane: And we'll begin with a roll call, please.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Mayor Jim Lane.

Mayor Lane: Present.

Carolyn Jagger: Vice Mayor Linda Milhaven.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Councilmembers Suzanne Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Virginia Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Guy Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: Solange Whitehead.

Councilwoman Whitehead: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Manager Jim Thompson.

Jim Thompson: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Attorney Bruce Washburn.

Bruce Washburn: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Treasurer Jeff Nichols.

Jeff Nichols: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: City Auditor Sharron Walker.

Sharron Walker: Here.

Carolyn Jagger: And the clerk is present.

[Time: 00:00:50]

Mayor Lane: Thank you. Some items of business here. We do have cards if you would like to speak on any of the subjects. The cards the City clerk is holding up over my head to my right and they are at the desk to assist you with that. There is a card for written comments and that's the card that she is holding over her head for any items on the agenda. And we are going to read them through the course of the meeting.

Police officers are here to assist you during the meeting if you need anything from them for assistance. And the areas are reserved for the Councilmembers and staff. And we have restroom facilities over to my left near the exit sign over here. If you have difficulty hearing any of the proceedings, there are headsets and you can check with the clerk to receive one.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

[Time: 00:02:13]

Mayor Lane: The Pledge of Allegiance. I would like to ask Councilwoman Klapp to lead us in the pledge, if you can please stand.

Councilwoman Klapp: I pledge allegiance to the Flag of the United States of America, and to the Republic for which it stands, one Nation under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

INVOCATION

[Time: 00:02:55]

Mayor Lane: Okay. This afternoon we have an invocation from Reverend Cathy Patterson from Fountain of Life church. Reverend, thank you for being here and welcome.

[Time: 00:03:10]

Pastor Cathy Patterson: Let us bow our heads. Dear Father God, we come thanking you were this day and thanking you for letting reside in this little piece of heaven on earth. We pause now to lift up our Mayor and the members of the City Council. Please bless them for you know the weight of the mantle of leadership. We ask that you strengthen them and guide them and let them use the gifts that you have bestowed on them to the benefit of the residents of this city. And to bless the United States of America. Amen.

Mayor Lane: Amen. Thank you, reverend. We have no report from myself or presentations or updates.

PUBLIC COMMENT

[Time: 00:04:15]

Mayor Lane: We'll move right to Public Comment, but we do not have any Public Comment at this time. Public Comment is reserved for citizen's comments for non-agendized comments. Comments are limited to issues within the jurisdiction of the City Council. There are no cards, as I said, for Public Comment now, but there will be a time at the end of the meeting if, in fact, there is a request.

ADDED ITEMS

[Time: 00:04:43]

Mayor Lane: Consent item was added to the Consent Agenda and aided to the August 29, 2019, City Council Meeting. Do I have a motion?

Councilmember Korte: So moved.

Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: We are now ready to vote on that acceptance. All those in favor, please indicate by aye. It is unanimous. That item will remain on the agenda as added.

MINUTES

[Time: 00:05:26]

Mayor Lane: And the next item is approve the Regular Meeting Minutes of June 11, 2019. And Special Meeting Minutes. I will accept a motion.

Councilwoman Klapp: Move to approve.

Councilmember Korte: Second.

Mayor Lane: Doing in reverse order, but we did have a motion to approve by Councilwoman Klapp and a second by Councilmember Korte. All those in favor, please indicate by aye and register your vote. It is unanimous.

CONSENT AGENDA

]Time: 00:06:05]

Mayor Lane: Moving on to Items 22A as it is now. We do have a request to pull Item 20. I presume this means to take it to regular.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: I do have questions, but yeah, let's do it for a regular vote. Yeah, move it to Regular.

Mayor Lane: Vice Mayor Milhaven requested to be pulled and moved to our regular agenda.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Mayor?

Mayor Lane: Yes.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I would like to pull number 16 and 19, please.

Mayor Lane: Is that 16 and 19, is that to pull them and remove them to regular agenda? Okay. All right. So, we have the remaining Consent items. I have no cards or requests to speak on any of the items on consent. To speak toward it. We have Consent Item 1 through 22A. Absent 16 and 19, and Item 20, which is moved to the Regular Agenda. I have a motion to approve the remaining Consent items.

Councilmember Korte: Mayor, I move to approve.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: The motion has been made by Councilmember Korte and seconded by Councilwoman Littlefield. We are going to move on to Regular Agenda. Oops, I'm sorry. It is added on acceptance of

those items minus those.

REGULAR AGENDA

ITEM 16 - WATER, SEWERS, AND SEWAGE DISPOSAL CODE AMENDMENT

[Time: 00:08:24]

Mayor Lane: And moving on to Regular Agenda, we have added Items 16, 19, and 20. If you have here for any of the other Regular Agenda Items, please stay with us or remove quietly. Okay. Item 16, for the record, is Water Sewers and Sewage Disposal Code Amendment and a request to adopt Ordinance 4409. Scottsdale Revised Code section 49-211, to address a needed correction effective August 1, 2019. And we do have Mr. Brian Biesemeyer, our Water Resource Director at the podium. Thank you, Brian.

[Time: 00:08:59]

Water Resources Director Brian Biesemeyer: Thank you, Mayor. Thank you, Council. This is a correction to clarify language that already existed in code and requested by the City Attorney to clarify language that the Council already passed earlier with the distinction between the line extensions for the sewer and pulling back the line extension to 550-feet for sewer. It was a clarification in the language. I have Eric Anderson here from the City Attorney's office to clarify that particular ordinance.

Mayor Lane: So, it is a clarification and not a new order of business.

Brian Biesemeyer: Clarification for an item that Council already approved on a Regular Agenda item.

Mayor Lane: Okay, that ordinance changed. Thank you for that explanation on it. And since Councilwoman Littlefield asked for it to be pulled, please.

[Time: 00:10:15]

Councilwoman Littlefield: The reason that I pulled it is because we have been receiving in our emails a number of people in the St. Patrick's church area, who are being asked to go off sewer and on to septic tank and from what I have been reading in the emails, it is extremely expensive. Especially, with one acre lots. I was reading this particular ordinance and there is a part that had my attention drawn to me. This establishes a parcel to the existing sewer line located within 500-feet of the regular property line. What reasonable should be is determined in the sole judgment of the City. That sentence is what I was looking at. I would like to ask you, Mr. Biesemeyer is that from what the City is going to going or the citizens are going to do?

Brian Biesemeyer: I apologize, I don't have that specific in front of me. In that area, reasonableness is in the design standards to make sure that it can reasonably, the sewer can reasonably drain.

Councilwoman Littlefield: So, it is a drainage with the City?

Brian Biesemeyer: Yes. Particularly for that in the sewer side.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Well, we have had a number of emails from a number of people and frankly,

for the several years that I have been on Council, I have had several people come and talk to me about doing this. I actually had Brian come with me on one occasion to talk to the citizens and the cost can be extremely expensive. The reasons that the City is going to come to you and ask you to do this, if you are on a septic tank, I think needs to be reviewed and I would like to do that.

[Time: 00:12:32]

Mayor Lane: Excuse me, Councilwoman. It is not on the agenda to discuss changes to it. I'm not disputing the fact that this is something that we were involved with years ago, but it is not on the agenda right now. To abide with what we have on the agenda, we are talking about the specifics for clarification. Certainly, the reasonableness of the drain age makes sense. We can't officially, since nobody has been noticed, that we can talk about this subject about changing the law or ordinance.

Councilwoman Littlefield: This is part of the ordinance and I'm a little concerned about this in approving it, because it has some problems.

Mayor Lane: Do you want to vote against this or....?

Councilwoman Littlefield: Yes.

Mayor Lane: Okay. All right. So, that's on Item 16. Since we have taken it to Regular Agenda, we do a motion either to, I don't know if you want to make a motion to that effect Councilwoman or not?

Councilwoman Littlefield: No, I will just vote against it.

Councilmember Korte: Mayor, I move to accept agenda Item number 16.

Councilman Phillips: I will second it. Can I speak towards the second or not?

Mayor Lane: You sure can.

[Time: 00:14:08]

Councilman Phillips: I'm part of this. I have a septic tank too and I believe the idea is if the septic tank fails and you are leasing as a groundwater system, you are going to have to connect to sewer. I will agree to go with this Ordinance 4409 and if we have to come back later and go back over what the City is doing, I think that's different issue.

Mayor Lane: I'm not in the course of making a recommendation but if there is a Mayor and Council item that you want to present at the end of the session, that's another.....

Councilwoman Littlefield: That would be great. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: So. Okay, that's on Item 16 and we have a motion and a second. I think that we're...

Councilwoman Whitehead: Clarify the question.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: Restate the motion, please.

Councilmember Korte: The motion was to accept Item 16, Ordinance 4409.

Mayor Lane: Motion was made by Councilmember Korte and seconded by Councilman Phillips. We are ready to vote. All in favor indicate by aye and nay. Motion passes 6-1 with Vice Mayor Milhaven opposing. That completes Item 16.

ITEM 19 – ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY COMMISSION

[Time: 00:15:41]

Mayor Lane: And next is Item 19 to adopt Ordinance 4402 amending Scottsdale Revised Code, Chapter 2, Article V, section one and several other sections to name the environmental quality advisory board to the environmental advisory commission and update their responsibilities. Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. Sorry to be such a pain. The reason that I wanted to pull this is because something that was in this letter that I received from the EQAB Board that bothered me a great deal. We are to advise the Council and staff to have the benefit of their judgment and expertise. From a letter that I received, they are being hindered by giving us recommendations they feel are important from the EQAB Board and I don't think that is correct. What he said to me was, we are prohibited, the EQAB initiated recommendations about everything with policy and the EQAB can only advise on policy, but other boards and commissions that we have do send recommendations and advice. It doesn't mean that the Council has to take those recommendations or advice, neither does staff. But they have the right to speak out on those issues.

I want to make sure that this ordinance that controls the working and recommendations of the EQAB Board is not going to stop them from talking to us and tell us their thoughts on these issues, which they have studied a great deal more than I have. That's what I'm saying. That we have the recommendations and the advice they have worked so hard to put together for us otherwise, there is no reason to have the EQAB Board.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Littlefield. Seeing there is no further comment on Item 19, I ask for a motion.

Councilmember Korte: Mayor move to accept Item 19, Ordinance No.4402.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: Second.

Mayor Lane: These are tough. In any case, a motion has been made by Councilmember Korte and seconded by Vice Mayor Milhaven. Do you have a question?

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yes, I was hoping to get feedback from staff on those concerns. I would like to hear from them but didn't recognize that being a concern.

Brent Stockwell: Mayor and members of the Council, to respond to the question that Councilwoman Littlefield raised and there is nothing in the ordinance that would prohibit the environmental advisory commission from making recommendations to the Council. If you go back and recall, back in February, when we had the work study, the reason this item was directed to staff is because the Council made a

recommendation and the Council directed us to advise it and analyze the recommendations that we had. So, that's what we did. We sent it out to EQAB for their comments and didn't receive any before we had to submit the report to the Council. We got some last-minute ones and submitted them to the members that raised their concerns. I went to the meeting and we got additional concerns and transmitted it all to you. The recommendation was 6-1 by the board to pass this ordinance.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Brent. Yes, we have a motion and a second. Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Yeah, is there anyone here from the EQAB Board that would like to speak before us on this? Okay. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Okay. We'll try again. I think that we're ready to vote. All those in favor, please indicate by aye and register your vote. The motion passes 6-1. Councilwoman Littlefield voted against.

ITEM 20 – BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

[Time: 00:21:19]

Mayor Lane: Okay, moving on the Item 20, this is to adopt Ordinance No. 4410 amending Scottsdale Revised Code, Chapter 2, Article V, Division 1, Section 2-241 and adding Section 2-244 relating to boards and commissions, including term limits; disqualification from membership; and staff support. Yes.

[Time: 00:21:45]

Management Assistant Megan Lynn: Good evening, Mayor and members of the City Council. I have a short presentation prepared if you would like or prefer to ask questions first, I'm happy to do that as well.

Mayor Lane: I'm sorry, Vice Mayor Milhaven did ask for this.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: I will save you, unless you really want to do a presentation and get some practice. The reason I pulled this is disqualification for membership and after the work study, there may have been confusion if there is 25% of the meetings or 25% of the items and I see that staff's recommendation is 25% of the meetings. That really affects the impact of a recusal and to say a recusal on a single item for a single day is distorting the impact of the recusal and that morphed into what is before us and rescuing themselves for a conflict of interest for 3-consecutive meetings. I don't think that it should be meetings, I think that it should be items. I see that the staff did this for ease, but it is important to recognize the impact. I think that it should be 25% of the items in a 6-month period. So, I'm not comfortable with what is presented. It is inconsistent with what my opinion is with the work study.

Mayor Lane: For the record, I think that I understood it as Vice Mayor Milhaven addresses it too. I don't know about the ease question, but as far as the impact and the number of items that you are rescuing yourself from would be more likely to demonstrate if somebody is excessive. Any comments with regard how we came to it? If it is just a matter of ease or whether it was something other than that?

Megan Lynn: Yes, I can speak to that a little bit. So, the recommendation that we had when we analyzed the data from the six board and commissions and after the study session, we did the

recommended language from the 25%. The reason that we came back and recommended the language similar to the absentee language, when you look at it from the 25% perspective, you can do it by item, total recusals by meeting, and also do it recusals within a meeting. Our thought that one area of that was we wanted to be able to make sure there isn't confusion and whoever would be recording those recusals and there is consistency between boards and commissions because when you dig into the data, there is more than one way to look at it. And that's one side of it. The other side is when you look at the absentee language, that you don't have to wait until the end of the year to tally that. If you someone rescuing six times within a 4-month period, you can address it then. That's the thought of the recommendation.

Mayor Lane: So, when we are talking about that, any recusal within a meeting would qualify for a recusal from that meeting, within that meeting. If there were ten items within a meeting and an individual recused themselves from one of them, that would count as one meeting there is a recusal.

Megan Lynn: If I'm understanding your question, Mayor, then yes.

Mayor Lane: I think you got the gist of that. If I have ten items on a meeting and recuse myself from one, that counts as one.

Megan Lynn: Yes.

Mayor Lane: All right.

Vice Mayor Milhaven: So, I would make a motion that we continue this item and ask the staff to rewrite the ordinance that you would be disqualified if you recused yourself on 25% of the items for a rolling six months.

Councilmember Korte: Second.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Motions have been made. No further comment on that indicated. So, we're then ready, we have a motion by Vice Mayor Milhaven and second by Councilmember Korte. All in favor indicate by aye and opposed with a nay. Done. I'm done. Okay. Motion passes 5-2. With Councilwoman Whitehead and Councilwoman Klapp opposing. All right. That takes care of Item 20.

ITEM 23 – DROUGHT CONTINGENCY PLAN

[Time: 00:27:31]

Mayor Lane: And we'll move on to on to the single item on our Regular Agenda and that's the Drought Contingency Plan. Brian Biesemeyer, good to see you back.

[Time: 00:27:55]

Water Resources Director Brian Biesemeyer: A good news story to tell tonight. I'm going to give a little background on the Drought Contingency Plan and the ask is for Council to approve four agreements for the City of Scottsdale. So, what is the Lower Basin Drought Contingency Plan? You're going to hear me talk about the Drought Contingency Plan and the Lower Basin Drought Contingency Plan and Arizona Drought Contingency Plan.

There is an overall Colorado River Drought Contingency Plan involving seven states. The component that affects Arizona is the lower basin component and involves Arizona, Colorado, and Nevada and the U.S. Government and the Mexican government. Lake Mead levels and you can see from this graph, in 1999 the lake was basically full and over the last nineteen years of drought, it continued to decline. We can note that in 2011 we had a bumper snowpack in the Rockies and created a nice bump in the lake levels. We had another good year this year and I expect some bump to be seen in the lake levels, but you can also see it quickly declining. How did we get here? The early forecast for the river and the runoff from Lake Mead was optimistic and didn't see a drought. And it put Arizona at the bottom of the priority list for Colorado river water. California has historically taken more than its allocation and 2007 interim guidelines were put in place to do the same thing that we are talking about today, but they weren't enough, and the river continued to decline.

When I talk about the 2007 guidelines and we had a slide after this to show the comparisons. The 2007 guidelines were basically trying to stop Lake Mead from declining. DCP is 1090, elevation 1090. The cuts are many dramatic and California starts to take cuts before Arizona and Nevada are completely cut off. I apologize, this is a very confusing slide. You'll note that, I guess it is the orange or beige color is a DCP and the green is a DCP and I want to point out on this thing, the far right, you can see the 2007 totals for reductions compared to the DCP totals for reductions.

If you look at the far-right column, you can see how the 2007 guidelines and the DCP differ and how faster those cuts are made and dramatic. Around in the center, you see the California portion. That's CA 2007 and at the level 1045, California starts to take significant cuts. For Arizona to adopt the DCP, we recognize the fact there is going to be cuts in allocations to CAP at much higher levels and they would affect different users of the CAP water. So, the state formed a steering committee and led by Ted Cooke. And composed by a number of members and the four municipalities were Phoenix, Scottsdale, Tucson and Goodyear. We met for over a dozen times between July 2018 and February 2019. That includes working groups, subcommittees and the committee as a whole. The goal was to identify equitable internal cuts in Arizona and determine necessary mitigation efforts.

[Time: 00:33:09]

The results were a success. The DCP Steering Committee had an implementation plan in January 2019 and in February, to shake hands and the deal had been made. And the Governor signed that bill on January 31st. And authorized the Director to assign the DCP for the State of Arizona, and on March 19, 2019, all seven states submitted the Drought Contingency Plan, and all seven states approved. And on April 16, 2019, the Governor signed the Drought Contingency Plan.

So, seeing the dramatic cuts and mitigation was assigned for at least, three years for the canal agricultural to allow for a bridge, for agricultural to go to more efficient mitigating systems and a backup supply for agriculture. A 105-acre-feet of water was pledged for a mitigating effort for CAP water. It involved a number of different measures and one of those involved Scottsdale and mitigating the water from existing underground storage facilities to groundwater storage facilities. We store the groundwater and we get credits for that. A groundwater storage facility is where surface water or CAP water is provided to an agricultural user and they turn off their wells and in turn, the water credits are given to the CAP water provider in exchange for the agriculture turning off the wells. That's a groundwater storage facility. That's typically done in all one county.

Here, we are talking about moving from Pinal County to Maricopa County. And the groundwater credits don't mean anything. One of these agreements is with the Water Banking Authority to swap out our canal credits to the groundwater storage credits and it is stored in Maricopa and Pinal County and we get those credits in exchange for the Maricopa County credits. This is for three years only. The next level impacts are to the non-Indian agricultural water. That's the next lower priority water. And one of the agreements that we have asked to sign tonight addresses those mitigation efforts. Scottsdale holds roughly 138-feet of water. We hold 1,000-feet of CAP water all together.

So, how does that impact us as far as Lake Mead? With the different tier elevens and at 1090 to 1075, there is no cuts in our mitigation. And after 1075, we could potentially see a cut of 100-acre feet and that would be our NAI water and below that, we would see some more. It is not until level 1075, that we see a cut of 11,000-acre feet. A pretty significant cut. I can say right now, as our current water usage is going, we recharge about 10,000 acres per year, so we can pump water should we need it. If we get above 10,000-acre feet, it gets significant for us as a water provider.

Mayor Lane: A question. I understand it is Tier 0.

Brian Biesemeyer: It is Tier 0.

Mayor Lane: So, the water mitigation for Pinal County AG, that's a 3-year term, but only starts with Tier 1 or does it start with Tier 0?

Brian Biesemeyer: I'm going to refer to Kathy Rall, my advisor, who is here with me. I think that you are correct.

[Time: 00:39:13]

Water Resources Advisor Kathy Rall: You are correct. There is 1090 reduction, but that's a cut that the state has already been doing.

Mayor Lane: It is DCP?

Kathy Rall: That is correct, Mayor.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Thank you.

Brian Biesemeyer: So, Scottsdale's role in the plan is to participate in the USFGF agreements and up to 4,000 acre feet for three years and this is water that we had already been recharging and that would go to Pinal County and there is one area and that agreement is up to 1,000 acre feet of water for USF to GSF in the valley. The valley is unique that we have wells in the valley as a backup water supply, so we don't need a swap in credits, we can direct pump those and put it in the CAP canal and wheel it to Scottsdale. In that particular agreement, there is no swap from the water bank.

Why participate? By participating we get a risk reduction. The whole agreement creates risk reduction for us in our MIA water. It reduces the risk of shortages or the reduction of Lake Mead to lower levels. The study shows that with the 2007 guidelines, there is a chance that Lake Mead could drop to below 1075 and it could accelerate rather quickly to the lower levels. With the DCP, the risk is only 8% to get down to 1025. No one can forecast the water correctly, but this is difference from doing something and

doing nothing.

Mayor Lane: If we did not participate, it would be left to the feds to decide our destiny.

Brian Biesemeyer: That is correct, Mayor. We would leave the destiny to others to decide. We don't lose any recharge credits. And then, we are part of a solution to a regional challenge. So, the contracts needed are four contracts. The AG agreement, the long-term exchange, which is needed for the bank to get Maricopa County credits in exchange for the Pinal County credits and the USF to GSF exchange with Pinal County and the NIA water. I know that I spit out a lot. It's a lot and I will welcome any questions. But that really concludes my presentation.

Mayor Lane: Well, thank you very much, Mr. Biesemeyer. It was very informative and well done. I will start with questions from Councilwoman Whitehead.

[Time: 00:46:36]

Councilwoman Whitehead: Do you see the water usage going down? Do we stay neutral or are we able to see significant reductions in case we get into one of those scenarios?

Brian Biesemeyer: I do have representation of that. If we can get the overhead going, I will show the results. This is the tracking of our potable water use since 2006. That's the first year that we came into safe yield, meaning that we put more water in the ground than we took out. The thin, black line is the continued decline of our potable water usage since 2006, yet our service connections are continuing to go up. On the right-hand side, you see the number of service connections and on the left-hand side, it is the potable delivery per acre feet. And our water consumption is flat or declining and yet our population is growing, and our connections are growing. Conservation works and we don't want to stop conservation, we want to continue it and you will continue to see increased conservation measures as we move forward. I'm sorry, Councilwoman, did I answer that or not?

Councilwoman Whitehead: Yes. There was a movement at one point to not only preserve water at Lake Mead and expand the flow to the delta. Does this have anything on that to make that requirement?

Brian Biesemeyer: I think yes, in an indirect way. The more water behind Lake Mead allows Mexico to take its allocations. Mexico has agreed to make cut-backs, as well. Again, if we can save the lake level and keep it high, then everybody gets more water in the end.

Councilwoman Whitehead: I meant as a wildlife designation. I just wonder if it took into account that?

Brian Biesemeyer: I'm sorry, I can't, I don't have that information.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman. Councilman Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Thank you, Mayor. One, I want to commend the Mayor and Brian Biesemeyer for working this out with the City, the state, and the federal government. I know it was a long, arduous process and you guys have done a great job. My question is should I move all of these at once or do we have to vote on them one at a time?

Brian Biesemeyer: I will leave that to the City Attorney.

Acting City Attorney Joe Padilla: You should be making a motion. If you want to make a motion to adopt, you should indicate each one individually in your motion.

Councilman Phillips: But I can do all in one motion?

Joe Padilla: As long as you indicate that you are moving to adopt Resolution No. 1,2 3, then 5, 6 7...

Councilman Phillips: I would like to move to adopt Resolution No. 11501, 11502, 11503, and 11504.

Councilwoman Klapp: Seconded.

Mayor Lane: So moved and seconded by Councilwoman Klapp.

[Time: 00:48:00]

Councilwoman Klapp: I'm glad that you put that chart up about water usage because I get asked this all of the time and people who are so concerned about the water usage in Scottsdale because of all of the development and thank you for putting that up and verifying that I'm speaking truthful information that is coming directly from you. So, thank you.

Brian Biesemeyer: You're welcome.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman. Councilmember Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Thank you, Mayor. I commend your work on this. I served on the board back in the '90s and I remember when the Wolf Springs Ranch was a huge visionary move for Scottsdale and we continue to make those visionary moves to conserve our water supply and continue to conserve and what a great message that is to our citizens and the rest of the valley. It is just good management, so thank you.

Brian Biesemeyer: You're welcome.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman. You know, Brian, I would have to say really thank you to yourself and the staff for not only what we have done together as a region, and a state, as it relates to the DCP for the lower basin and getting that all passed. It was a tremendous effort and a lot of good information and good work. It is exemplified what your department does every day. We just awarded four separate awards to different members of your crew for just this kind of thing and the activity levels that you lead and show every day that the City of Scottsdale is in a premiere position as we go forward. So, it was a tremendous effort and I want to thank you personally for everything that you have done. Not only in this effort, but your effort for the state and with AMWUA and all of the technical information.

Brian Biesemeyer: Thank you, Mayor. I have a tremendous staff and just blessed with a bunch of people who work really hard.

Mayor Lane: I'm sure you do, but you are recognized as one of the premier people in the water side of things and the public should know. So, thank you. I might just say that I have taken to watching our

watershed activity during the winter months and although, we don't want to get too ahead of ourselves, but it has been a great year.

Brian Biesemeyer: It has.

Mayor Lane: And hopefully, prevent Tier 1 from showing its ugly face next year.

Brian Biesemeyer: And possibly 2021 and 2022.

Mayor Lane: And that's why we live in the desert. We have the motion and the second and no further comment. I'm ready to vote. All those in favor indicate by aye and register your vote. It is unanimous. Thank you for the presentation too. Okay.

So, we umm, we have completed our Regular Agenda items. There is no further Public Comment. There is no citizen petition.

MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEM

[Time: 00:51:59]

Mayor Lane: I think there might be a Mayor or a Council item. I ask if that's something that you want to put forward now? Okay.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you, Mayor. I would like to ask for staff to bring back in the fall for us the statistics involving the cost to the homeowners to install the residential lines opposed to using the septic and the cost has been before the past 5 to 10-years and the reasonable maximum cost for the connection to a line like that for an individual's home. Thank you.

Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: Thank you and that was a motion, yes. Seconded by Councilwoman Klapp. Would you like to speak to that at all? Very good. We have a motion that has been described by Councilwoman Littlefield. We are then ready to vote. There is no further comment. All in favor, please indicate by aye. Motion passes 6-1 with Vice Mayor Linda Milhaven dissenting. All right. Unless is there is any other item? Seeing none.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 00:53:26]

Mayor Lane: I want to thank everyone for participation and input. I would like to ask for a motion to adjourn?

Councilmember Korte: So moved.

Councilwoman Klapp: Seconded.

Mayor Lane: Motion made and second. All those in favor, please indicate by aye. We are adjourned.