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## Appendix A: Complete Survey Responses

The following pages contain a complete set of responses to each question on the survey. For questions that included a "don't know" response option, two tables for that question are provided: the first that excludes the "don't know" responses, and the second that includes those responses. The percent of respondents giving a particular response is shown followed by the number of respondents (denoted with " $\mathrm{N}=$ ").

Table 1: Question 1 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scottsdale as a place to live | 62\% | $N=204$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=327$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 55\% | $N=177$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 5\% | $N=18$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to raise children | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 40\% | $N=98$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $N=244$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to work | 48\% | $N=123$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=258$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to visit | 71\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 23\% | $N=73$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=312$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to retire | 60\% | $N=174$ | 33\% | $N=98$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=293$ |
| The overall quality of life in Scottsdale | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=169$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ |
| Sense of community | 22\% | $N=67$ | 44\% | $N=136$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=81$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $N=307$ |

Table 2: Question 1 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scottsdale as a place to live | 62\% | $\mathrm{N}=204$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=327$ |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=326$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to raise children | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 30\% | $N=98$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=20$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 24\% | $N=79$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to work | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to visit | 69\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 23\% | $N=73$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Scottsdale as a place to retire | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=174$ | 30\% | $N=98$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 9\% | $N=31$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| The overall quality of life in Scottsdale | 52\% | $N=169$ | 45\% | $N=146$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |
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| Please rate each of the following aspects of quality of life in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sense of community | 21\% | $N=67$ | 42\% | $N=136$ | 25\% | $N=81$ | 7\% | $N=23$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |

Table 3: Question 2 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Scottsdale | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 67\% | $\mathrm{N}=201$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=301$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Scottsdale | 21\% | $N=63$ | 51\% | $N=155$ | 20\% | $N=61$ | 8\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=301$ |
| Overall design or layout of Scottsdale's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 1\% | N=3 | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Scottsdale (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale | 40\% | $N=130$ | 49\% | $N=160$ | 10\% | $N=32$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Scottsdale | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=310$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 13\% | $N=41$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=307$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 16\% | $N=51$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=309$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=76$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=291$ |

Table 4: Question 2 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Scottsdale | 22\% | $N=69$ | 63\% | $N=201$ | 9\% | $N=28$ | 1\% | $N=3$ | 6\% | $N=19$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Scottsdale | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 19\% | $N=61$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 6\% | $N=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Overall design or layout of Scottsdale's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=175$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Scottsdale (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=177$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale | 40\% | $N=130$ | 49\% | $N=160$ | 10\% | $N=32$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Scottsdale | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
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| Please rate each of the following characteristics as they relate to Scottsdale as a whole. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't <br> know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=165$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale | 44\% | $N=140$ | 36\% | $N=116$ | 13\% | $N=41$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 4\% | $N=14$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=51$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 18\% | $N=58$ | 39\% | $N=123$ | 24\% | $N=76$ | 10\% | $N=32$ | 9\% | $N=28$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Table 5: Question 3 without "don't know" responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following. | Very likely |  | Somewhat likely |  | Somewhat unlikely |  |  | Very unlikely |  |  | Total |  |
| Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks | 70\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 27\% | $N=87$ |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |  | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years | 76\% | $N=239$ | 20\% | $N=63$ |  | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ |  | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $N=313$ |

Table 6: Question 3 with "don't know" responses

| Please indicate how likely or unlikely you are to do each of the following. | Very likely |  | Somewhat likely |  | Somewhat unlikely |  | Very unlikely |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Recommend living in Scottsdale to someone who asks | 69\% | $\mathrm{N}=225$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=87$ | 1\% | $N=4$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Remain in Scottsdale for the next five years | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=239$ | 19\% | $N=63$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |

Table 7: Question 4 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Neither safe nor unsafe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 16\% | $N=53$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ |
| In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day | 59\% | $N=178$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=301$ |
| From property crime | 40\% | $N=126$ | 45\% | $N=143$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=19$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| From violent crime | 57\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=193$ | 31\% | $N=98$ | 6\% | $N=18$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |

Table 8: Question 4 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Neither safe nor unsafe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In your neighborhood during the day | 81\% | $\mathrm{N}=265$ | 16\% | $N=53$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=328$ |
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| Please rate how safe or unsafe you feel: | Very safe |  | Somewhat safe |  | Neither safe nor unsafe |  | Somewhat unsafe |  | Very unsafe |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area during the day | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=178$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 4\% | N=14 | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=326$ |
| From property crime | 39\% | $N=126$ | 44\% | $N=143$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 6\% | $N=19$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| From violent crime | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=182$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=326$ |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=193$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 2\% | $N=5$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |

Table 9: Question 5 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the job you feel the Scottsdale community does at each of the following. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome | 33\% | $N=95$ | 47\% | $N=134$ | 16\% | $N=46$ | 4\% | $N=11$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=286$ |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | 27\% | $N=72$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 25\% | $N=65$ | 14\% | $N=38$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=263$ |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 32\% | $N=82$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 21\% | $N=54$ | 11\% | $N=29$ | 100\% | $N=254$ |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | 27\% | $N=67$ | 35\% | $N=87$ | 28\% | $N=69$ | 10\% | $N=25$ | 100\% | $N=248$ |

Table 10: Question 5 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate the job you feel the Scottsdale community does at each of the following. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Making all residents feel welcome | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=95$ | 41\% | $N=134$ | 14\% | $N=46$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | 22\% | $N=72$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=89$ | 20\% | $N=65$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 18\% | $N=58$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse backgrounds | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=82$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 17\% | $N=54$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=66$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents (elderly, disabled, homeless, etc.) | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 27\% | $N=87$ | 21\% | $N=69$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 23\% | $N=76$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |

Table 11: Question 6 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=148$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Scottsdale | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 41\% | $N=132$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial area | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 51\% | $N=152$ | 7\% | $N=21$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $N=299$ |
| Employment opportunities | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 7\% | $N=18$ | 100\% | $N=242$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 60\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 3\% | $N=11$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
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| Please rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of living in Scottsdale | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 36\% | $N=119$ | 17\% | $N=54$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale | 57\% | $N=185$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 6\% | $N=19$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=326$ |

Table 12: Question 6 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of business and service establishments in Scottsdale | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 46\% | $N=148$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Variety of business and service establishments in Scottsdale | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=155$ | 41\% | $N=132$ | 9\% | $N=28$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial area | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 47\% | $N=152$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 8\% | $N=25$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Employment opportunities | 16\% | $N=52$ | 39\% | $N=128$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 6\% | $N=18$ | 25\% | $N=83$ | 100\% | $N=325$ |
| Shopping opportunities | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=192$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Cost of living in Scottsdale | 7\% | $N=23$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 17\% | $N=54$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=326$ |
| Overall image or reputation of Scottsdale | 57\% | $N=185$ | 37\% | $N=121$ | 6\% | $N=19$ | 0\% | $N=0$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=327$ |

Table 13: Question 7 without "don't know" responses

| Please also rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 54\% | $N=173$ | 25\% | $N=81$ | 8\% | $N=26$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Ease of public parking | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 53\% | $N=169$ | 27\% | $N=85$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 53\% | $N=169$ | 12\% | $N=40$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 25\% | $N=42$ | 35\% | N=61 | 28\% | $N=48$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 46\% | $N=100$ | 26\% | $N=57$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 100\% | $N=216$ |
| Ease of walking in Scottsdale | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 42\% | $N=127$ | 20\% | $N=60$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $N=305$ |
| Well-planned residential growth | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 37\% | $N=100$ | 21\% | $N=59$ | 14\% | $N=39$ | 100\% | $N=274$ |
| Well-planned commercial growth | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 40\% | $N=103$ | 25\% | $N=65$ | 13\% | $N=34$ | 100\% | $N=261$ |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 43\% | $N=127$ | 18\% | $N=52$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 100\% | $N=294$ |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 45\% | $N=129$ | 16\% | $N=45$ | 10\% | $N=29$ | 100\% | $N=283$ |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 45\% | $N=138$ | 16\% | $N=47$ | 1\% | $N=4$ | 100\% | $N=305$ |
| Variety of housing options | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=81$ | 36\% | $N=105$ | 28\% | $N=79$ | 8\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=289$ |
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| Please also rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 12\% | $N=32$ | 20\% | $N=54$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 26\% | $N=70$ | 100\% | $N=273$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale | 26\% | $N=77$ | 42\% | $N=123$ | 22\% | $N=64$ | 10\% | $N=31$ | 100\% | $N=295$ |
| Overall appearance of Scottsdale | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 38\% | $N=123$ | 10\% | $N=32$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Cleanliness of Scottsdale | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=164$ | 40\% | $N=129$ | 8\% | $N=27$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | 26\% | $N=73$ | 39\% | $N=111$ | 26\% | $N=74$ | 9\% | $N=25$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ |
| Air quality | 20\% | $N=65$ | 42\% | $N=135$ | 28\% | $N=90$ | 9\% | $N=30$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=159$ | 33\% | $N=101$ | 12\% | $N=37$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=303$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=157$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 10\% | $N=30$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $N=304$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 39\% | $N=117$ | 12\% | $N=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=297$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 17\% | $N=54$ | 5\% | $N=15$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 41\% | $N=122$ | 13\% | $N=38$ | 9\% | $N=26$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 11\% | $N=30$ | 5\% | $N=13$ | 100\% | $N=278$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 28\% | $N=50$ | 38\% | $N=69$ | 22\% | $N=40$ | 13\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=181$ |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 41\% | $N=125$ | 15\% | $N=47$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $N=308$ |
| Community support for the arts | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 13\% | $N=36$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $N=274$ |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 29\% | $N=33$ | 30\% | $N=34$ | 28\% | $N=31$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=113$ |
| K-12 education | 30\% | $N=56$ | 40\% | $N=75$ | 25\% | $N=47$ | 6\% | $N=12$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=189$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 30\% | $N=59$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 23\% | $N=46$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=198$ |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=82$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=138$ | 20\% | $N=58$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $N=294$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 43\% | $N=132$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=307$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=86$ | 47\% | $N=136$ | 18\% | $N=52$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=290$ |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 16\% | $N=48$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=295$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=82$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 14\% | $N=36$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=261$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 45\% | $N=109$ | 22\% | $N=53$ | 8\% | $N=20$ | 100\% | $N=243$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 21\% | $N=53$ | 15\% | $N=37$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ |

Table 14: Question 7 with "don't know" responses

| Please also rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. <br> Traffic flow on major streets | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12\% | $N=39$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=173$ | 25\% | $N=81$ | 8\% | $N=26$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Ease of public parking | 14\% | $N=47$ | 52\% | $N=169$ | 26\% | $N=85$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale | 31\% | $N=99$ | 52\% | $N=169$ | 12\% | $N=40$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 1\% | $N=2$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale | 7\% | $N=21$ | 13\% | $N=42$ | 19\% | $N=61$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 46\% | $N=144$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale | 16\% | $N=49$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ | 18\% | $N=57$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 32\% | $N=101$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| Ease of walking in Scottsdale | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 40\% | $N=127$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=60$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Well-planned residential growth | 24\% | $N=75$ | 32\% | $N=100$ | 19\% | $N=59$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 13\% | $N=43$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Well-planned commercial growth | 18\% | $N=58$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 19\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 100\% | $N=315$ |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural character of the community | 25\% | $N=80$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Public places where people want to spend time | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=138$ | 15\% | $N=47$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 4\% | $N=13$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Variety of housing options | 26\% | $N=81$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=79$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 9\% | $N=30$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | 10\% | $N=32$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=54$ | 37\% | $N=117$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 14\% | $N=46$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Overall quality of new development in Scottsdale | 24\% | $N=77$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=31$ | 8\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Overall appearance of Scottsdale | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Cleanliness of Scottsdale | 51\% | $\mathrm{N}=164$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 8\% | $N=27$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Water resources (beaches, lakes, ponds, riverways, etc.) | 23\% | $N=73$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=111$ | 23\% | $N=74$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 12\% | $N=38$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Air quality | 20\% | $N=65$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 9\% | $N=30$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=2$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | 50\% | $N=159$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=37$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 4\% | $N=13$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Fitness opportunities (including exercise classes and paths or trails, etc.) | 49\% | $N=157$ | 34\% | $N=108$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 5\% | $N=16$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Recreational opportunities | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 7\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Availability of affordable quality food | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 17\% | $N=54$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Availability of affordable quality health care | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 9\% | $N=28$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Availability of preventive health services | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=117$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ | 14\% | $N=45$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Availability of affordable quality mental health care | 16\% | $N=50$ | 22\% | $N=69$ | 12\% | $N=40$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 43\% | $N=137$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
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| Please also rate each of the following in the Scottsdale community. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Opportunities to attend cultural/arts/music activities | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ |
| Community support for the arts | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 37\% | $N=116$ | 11\% | $N=36$ | 2\% | $N=8$ | 14\% | $N=44$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Availability of affordable quality childcare/preschool | 10\% | $N=33$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 10\% | $N=31$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 64\% | $N=202$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| K-12 education | 18\% | $N=56$ | 24\% | $N=75$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 40\% | $N=127$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Adult educational opportunities | 19\% | $N=59$ | 27\% | $N=85$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=46$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 38\% | $N=119$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| Sense of civic/community pride | 26\% | $N=82$ | 43\% | $N=138$ | 18\% | $N=58$ | 5\% | $N=16$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Neighborliness of residents in Scottsdale | 19\% | $N=61$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and activities | 27\% | $N=86$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=136$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Opportunities to attend special events and festivals | 31\% | $N=100$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=133$ | 15\% | $N=48$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Opportunities to volunteer | 26\% | $N=82$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 18\% | $\mathrm{N}=58$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Opportunities to participate in community matters | 19\% | $N=60$ | 35\% | $N=109$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=53$ | 6\% | $N=20$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=74$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Openness and acceptance of the community toward people of diverse backgrounds | 21\% | $N=68$ | 30\% | N=96 | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=53$ | 12\% | $N=37$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ |

Table 15: Question 8

| Please indicate whether or not you have done each of the following in the last 12 months. | No |  | Yes |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted the City of Scottsdale (in-person, phone, email, or web) for help or information | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=163$ | 50\% | $N=160$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Contacted Scottsdale elected officials (in-person, phone, email, or web) to express your opinion | 82\% | $N=264$ | 18\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Attended a local public meeting (of local elected officials like City Council or County Commissioners, advisory boards, town halls, HOA, neighborhood watch, etc.) | 82\% | $\mathrm{N}=264$ | 18\% | $N=57$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Watched (online or on television) a local public meeting | 71\% | $N=229$ | 29\% | $N=92$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity in Scottsdale | 72\% | $N=231$ | 28\% | $N=91$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Campaigned or advocated for a local issue, cause, or candidate | 78\% | $\mathrm{N}=254$ | 22\% | $N=70$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Voted in your most recent local election | 14\% | $N=46$ | 86\% | $N=278$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 87\% | $\mathrm{N}=283$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 69\% | $\mathrm{N}=222$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 41\% | $N=132$ | 59\% | $N=192$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |

Table 16: Question 9 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public information services | 24\% | $N=60$ | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=255$ |
| Economic development | 25\% | $N=60$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $N=242$ |
| Traffic enforcement | 22\% | $N=62$ | 52\% | $N=146$ | 17\% | $N=47$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 100\% | $N=283$ |
| Traffic signal timing | 14\% | $N=45$ | 46\% | $N=146$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Street repair | 16\% | $N=53$ | 53\% | $N=172$ | 24\% | $N=77$ | 7\% | $N=21$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Street cleaning | 33\% | $N=104$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 4\% | $N=11$ | 100\% | $N=314$ |
| Street lighting | 28\% | $N=90$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 13\% | $N=41$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=82$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 100\% | $N=304$ |
| Bus or transit services | 20\% | $N=32$ | 42\% | $N=68$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 16\% | $N=26$ | 100\% | $N=159$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 18\% | $N=43$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 14\% | $N=34$ | 100\% | $N=239$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 26\% | $N=62$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 100\% | $N=240$ |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 100\% | $N=295$ |
| Garbage collection | 51\% | $N=160$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=316$ |
| Drinking water | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=99$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 100\% | $N=313$ |
| Sewer services | 40\% | $N=119$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $N=295$ |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | 37\% | $N=102$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $N=278$ |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | 35\% | $N=112$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Utility billing | 31\% | $N=96$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 22\% | $N=68$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=307$ |
| Police services | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=289$ |
| Crime prevention | 35\% | $N=97$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $N=281$ |
| Animal control | 36\% | $N=84$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=233$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 51\% | $N=121$ | 44\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=236$ |
| Fire services | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=145$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=258$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 43\% | $N=85$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $N=196$ |
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| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale. |  |  | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) |  |  | 30\% | $N=55$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=185$ |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) |  |  | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 36\% | $N=104$ | 11\% | $N=33$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $N=288$ |
| Scottsdale open space |  |  | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 17\% | $N=49$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 100\% | $N=293$ |
| Recycling |  |  | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 46\% | $N=138$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $N=302$ |
| Yard waste pick-up |  |  | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 10\% | $N=24$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $N=238$ |
| City parks |  |  | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 52\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=294$ |
| Recreation programs or classes |  |  | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 55\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=202$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities |  |  | 37\% | $N=75$ | 52\% | $N=107$ | 11\% | $N=23$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=205$ |
| Health services |  |  | 36\% | $N=87$ | 50\% | $N=122$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 100\% | $N=243$ |
| Public library services |  |  | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 5\% | $N=12$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=247$ |
| Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) |  |  | 39\% | $N=98$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 14\% | $N=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=253$ |
| Water services provided by the Scottsdale Water Department |  |  | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 46\% | $N=128$ | 14\% | $N=38$ | 2\% | $N=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=280$ |
| Table 17: Question 9 with "don't know" responses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| Public information services | 19\% | $N=60$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=62$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ |
| Economic development | 19\% | $N=60$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=72$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=314$ |
| Traffic enforcement | 20\% | $N=62$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 15\% | $N=47$ | 9\% | $N=28$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=312$ |
| Traffic signal timing | 14\% | $N=45$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=93$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Street repair | 16\% | $N=53$ | 53\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 24\% | $N=77$ | 7\% | $N=21$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Street cleaning | 32\% | $N=104$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=151$ | 15\% | $N=48$ | 4\% | $N=11$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Street lighting | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 54\% | $\mathrm{N}=172$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 25\% | $N=82$ | 49\% | $\mathrm{N}=158$ | 16\% | $N=50$ | 4\% | $N=14$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Bus or transit services | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 21\% | $N=68$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 50\% | $\mathrm{N}=162$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ |
| Land use, planning and zoning | 13\% | $N=43$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=82$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Code enforcement (weeds, abandoned buildings, etc.) | 20\% | $N=62$ | 31\% | $N=98$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=48$ | 10\% | $N=32$ | 24\% | $N=78$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ |

The National Community Survey ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ - Technical Appendices

| Please rate the quality of each of the following services in Scottsdale. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | 20\% | $N=65$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 24\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=47$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Garbage collection | 49\% | $N=160$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ |
| Drinking water | 31\% | $N=99$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=63$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Sewer services | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 44\% | $N=140$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Storm water management (storm drainage, dams, levees, etc.) | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=135$ | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=4$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Utility billing | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Police services | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=118$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=128$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 10\% | N=33 | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=321$ |
| Crime prevention | 30\% | $N=97$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Animal control | 26\% | $N=84$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=90$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=121$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=105$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 27\% | N=85 | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Fire services | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=145$ | 32\% | $N=103$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 20\% | N=63 | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Fire prevention and education | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=91$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 39\% | $N=124$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Emergency preparedness (services that prepare the community for natural disasters or other emergency situations) | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=55$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=134$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Preservation of natural areas (open space, farmlands, and greenbelts) | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Scottsdale open space | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ | 30\% | $\mathrm{N}=96$ | 16\% | $N=49$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=26$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Recycling | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=103$ | 43\% | $\mathrm{N}=138$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=33$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Yard waste pick-up | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| City parks | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=120$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Recreation programs or classes | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 37\% | $N=117$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Recreation centers or facilities | 24\% | $N=75$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=107$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=313$ |
| Health services | 27\% | $N=87$ | 38\% | $N=122$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 24\% | $N=76$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Public library services | 42\% | $\mathrm{N}=132$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=102$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 0\% | N=1 | 22\% | N=69 | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees (police, receptionists, planners, etc.) | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=115$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 20\% | $N=64$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=318$ |
| Water services provided by the Scottsdale Water Department | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 41\% | $N=128$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 11\% | $N=36$ | 100\% | $N=315$ |

Table 18: Question 10 without "don't know" responses

| Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance. The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 21\% | $N=61$ | 51\% | $N=146$ | 23\% | $N=67$ | 4\% | $N=11$ | 100\% | $N=286$ |
| The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking | 17\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 48\% | $\mathrm{N}=140$ | 27\% | $N=78$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 100\% | $N=290$ |
| The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming resident involvement | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 24\% | $N=52$ | 14\% | $N=29$ | 100\% | $N=214$ |
| Overall confidence in Scottsdale government | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=129$ | 30\% | $N=86$ | 9\% | $N=27$ | 100\% | $N=286$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 18\% | $N=49$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=131$ | 22\% | $N=61$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 100\% | $N=276$ |
| Being honest | 18\% | $N=44$ | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 23\% | $N=58$ | 18\% | $N=46$ | 100\% | $N=249$ |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 21\% | $N=52$ | 25\% | $N=64$ | 100\% | $N=253$ |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 18\% | $N=52$ | 35\% | $N=99$ | 25\% | $N=71$ | 21\% | $N=59$ | 100\% | $N=280$ |
| Treating all residents fairly | 22\% | $N=50$ | 41\% | $N=94$ | 18\% | $N=40$ | 19\% | $N=42$ | 100\% | $N=227$ |
| Treating residents with respect | 26\% | $N=64$ | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 18\% | $N=44$ | 9\% | $N=23$ | 100\% | $N=244$ |
| Law enforcement treating all residents fairly | 30\% | $N=72$ | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=108$ | 10\% | $N=23$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 100\% | $N=237$ |

Table 19: Question 10 with "don't know" responses

| Please rate the following categories of Scottsdale government performance. | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale | 19\% | $\mathrm{N}=61$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=146$ | 21\% | $N=67$ | 4\% | $N=11$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=36$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ |
| The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking | 16\% | $N=50$ | 44\% | $N=140$ | 24\% | $N=78$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=30$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming resident involvement | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 16\% | $N=52$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=29$ | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=106$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=320$ |
| Overall confidence in Scottsdale government | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=45$ | 40\% | $N=129$ | 27\% | $N=86$ | 8\% | $N=27$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 41\% | $N=131$ | 19\% | $N=61$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=43$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Being honest | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 18\% | $N=58$ | 14\% | $N=46$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=69$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=35$ | 32\% | $N=101$ | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 20\% | $N=64$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=67$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 16\% | $N=52$ | 31\% | $N=99$ | 22\% | $N=71$ | 19\% | $N=59$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Treating all residents fairly | 16\% | $N=50$ | 29\% | $N=94$ | 13\% | $N=40$ | 13\% | $N=42$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=320$ |
| Treating residents with respect | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=114$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=70$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ |
| Law enforcement treating all residents fairly | 22\% | $N=72$ | 34\% | $N=108$ | 7\% | $N=23$ | 11\% | $N=35$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |

Table 20: Question 11 without "don't know" responses

| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The City of Scottsdale | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 48\% | $N=147$ | 19\% | $N=58$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 100\% | $N=305$ |
| The Federal Government | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 41\% | $N=119$ | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 18\% | $N=52$ | 100\% | $N=287$ |

Table 21: Question 11 with "don't know" responses

| Overall, how would you rate the quality of the services provided by each of the following? | Excellent |  | Good |  | Fair |  | Poor |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The City of Scottsdale | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 45\% | $N=147$ | 18\% | $N=58$ | 2\% | $N=6$ | 6\% | $N=19$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| The Federal Government | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=119$ | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=104$ | 16\% | $N=52$ | 11\% | $N=36$ | 100\% | $N=323$ |

Table 22: Question 12

| Please rate how important, if at all, you think it is for the Scottsdale community to focus on each of the following in the coming two years. | Essential |  | Very important |  | Somewhat important |  | Not at all important |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Scottsdale | 59\% | $N=186$ | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ | 6\% | $N=20$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=314$ |
| Overall quality of the transportation system (auto, bicycle, foot, bus) in Scottsdale | 29\% | $N=94$ | 45\% | $N=144$ | 22\% | $N=70$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Overall design or layout of Scottsdale's residential and commercial areas (e.g., homes, buildings, streets, parks, etc.) | 41\% | $\mathrm{N}=130$ | 38\% | $\mathrm{N}=123$ | 21\% | $N=68$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Overall quality of the utility infrastructure in Scottsdale (water, sewer, storm water, electric, gas) | 62\% | $\mathrm{N}=195$ | 29\% | $N=93$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=23$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=5$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=317$ |
| Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale | 66\% | $N=210$ | 27\% | $N=86$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 2\% | $N=5$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Overall quality of natural environment in Scottsdale | 48\% | $N=152$ | 41\% | $N=131$ | 9\% | $N=30$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=6$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation opportunities | 31\% | $\mathrm{N}=98$ | 56\% | $\mathrm{N}=180$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=319$ |
| Overall health and wellness opportunities in Scottsdale | 43\% | $N=139$ | 40\% | $N=127$ | 14\% | $N=44$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Overall opportunities for education, culture, and the arts | 35\% | $\mathrm{N}=112$ | 40\% | $\mathrm{N}=127$ | 22\% | $N=70$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Residents' connection and engagement with their community | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 47\% | $N=150$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=80$ | 6\% | $N=18$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |

Table 23: Question 13 without "don't know" responses

| We know the COVID-19 pandemic is challenging in many ways. Please rate how much of a problem, if at all, the following are for your household CURRENTLY. | Major problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Minor problem |  | Not a problem |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loss of employment income | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=42$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=41$ | 64\% | $N=204$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Trouble paying for food or housing | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 77\% | $N=247$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Feeling alone/isolated, not being able to socialize with people | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=52$ | 24\% | $N=77$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 31\% | $N=99$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Not knowing when pandemic will end/not feeling in control | 23\% | $\mathrm{N}=75$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 22\% | $\mathrm{N}=71$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Lack of technology to perform online work | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 79\% | $N=246$ | 100\% | $N=310$ |
| Lack of technology to perform online schooling | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 79\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 100\% | $N=247$ |
| Helping my children with online schooling | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ |
| Lack of child care/supervision | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 75\% | $N=146$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=194$ |

Table 24: Question 13 with "don't know" responses

| We know the COVID-19 pandemic is challenging in many ways. Please rate how much of a problem, if at all, the following are for your household CURRENTLY. <br> Loss of employment income | Major problem |  | Moderate problem |  | Minor problem |  | Not a problem |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 13\% | $N=42$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 13\% | $N=41$ | 63\% | $N=204$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Trouble paying for food or housing | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 9\% | $\mathrm{N}=28$ | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=22$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=247$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=322$ |
| Feeling alone/isolated, not being able to socialize with people | 16\% | $N=52$ | 24\% | $N=77$ | 29\% | $N=92$ | 31\% | $N=99$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Not knowing when pandemic will end/not feeling in control | 23\% | $N=75$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ | 26\% | $\mathrm{N}=83$ | 22\% | $N=71$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Lack of technology to perform online work | 4\% | $N=14$ | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=25$ | 8\% | $N=24$ | 77\% | $\mathrm{N}=246$ | 3\% | $N=10$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Lack of technology to perform online schooling | 5\% | $N=17$ | 6\% | $\mathrm{N}=18$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=196$ | 22\% | $N=71$ | 100\% | $N=319$ |
| Helping my children with online schooling | 8\% | $\mathrm{N}=24$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=17$ | 45\% | $\mathrm{N}=144$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=126$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| Lack of child care/supervision | 5\% | $N=16$ | 6\% | $N=21$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 46\% | $N=146$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ | 100\% | $N=318$ |

Table 25: Question 14

| Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities: | Major source |  | Minor source |  | Not a source |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scottsdale Update utility bill newsletter | 16\% | $N=53$ | 37\% | $N=119$ | 47\% | $N=153$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
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| Please indicate if each of the following is a major source, minor source or not a source of information for you about the City of Scottsdale and its activities: | Major source |  | Minor source |  | Not a source |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scottsdale Life (Parks, Recreation, Library and Human Services newsletter) | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ | 48\% | $N=154$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| City website (ScottsdaleAZ.gov) | 36\% | $\mathrm{N}=116$ | 37\% | $N=120$ | 27\% | $N=85$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Scottsdale Update weekly email newsletter (or other City email newsletters) | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 28\% | $N=89$ | 58\% | $N=181$ | 100\% | $N=314$ |
| City Cable Channel 11 or Scottsdale Video Network | 5\% | $N=17$ | 20\% | $N=65$ | 74\% | $\mathrm{N}=235$ | 100\% | $N=317$ |
| Local newspapers, television or radio news | 43\% | $N=139$ | 33\% | $N=106$ | 24\% | $N=78$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Social media (e.g., Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, You Tube, etc.) | 23\% | $N=73$ | 40\% | $N=128$ | 37\% | $N=120$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |
| Word of mouth | 22\% | $N=70$ | 54\% | $N=173$ | 25\% | $N=79$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |

Table 26: Question 15 without "don't know" responses

| To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements? | Strongly agree |  | Somewhat agree |  | Neither agree nor disagree |  | Somewhat disagree |  | Strongly disagree |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The City should do more to promote water conservation | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 31\% | $N=96$ | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=85$ | 5\% | $N=16$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=305$ |
| My household could do more to conserve water | 14\% | $N=44$ | 35\% | $N=110$ | 23\% | $N=72$ | 16\% | $N=49$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=39$ | 100\% | $N=314$ |
| My neighbors could do more to conserve water | 19\% | $N=44$ | 36\% | $N=84$ | 32\% | $N=75$ | 9\% | $N=22$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 100\% | $N=237$ |

Table 27: Question 15 with "don't know" responses

| To what extent do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements? | Strongly agree |  | Somewhat agree |  | Neither agree nor disagree |  | Somewhat disagree |  | Strongly disagree |  | Don't know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The City should do more to promote water conservation | 32\% | $\mathrm{N}=101$ | 30\% | N=96 | 27\% | $N=85$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 100\% | $N=320$ |
| My household could do more to conserve water | 14\% | $N=44$ | 34\% | $\mathrm{N}=110$ | 23\% | $N=72$ | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ | 12\% | N=39 | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=320$ |
| My neighbors could do more to conserve water | 14\% | $N=44$ | 27\% | $\mathrm{N}=84$ | 24\% | $N=75$ | 7\% | $N=22$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 25\% | $\mathrm{N}=78$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=315$ |

Table 28: Question D1 without "don't know" responses

| Thinking about a typical week, how many times do you: | Several times a day |  | Once a day |  | A few times a week |  | Every few weeks |  | Less often or never |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 88\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=16$ | 4\% | $N=14$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 82\% | $N=264$ | 5\% | $N=17$ | 5\% | $N=15$ | 1\% | $\mathrm{N}=3$ | 7\% | $N=24$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc. | 47\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 29\% | $\mathrm{N}=94$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Use or check email | 79\% | $N=254$ | 14\% | $N=46$ | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ | 1\% | $N=4$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |
| Share your opinions online | 11\% | $N=34$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ | 12\% | $N=38$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 61\% | $\mathrm{N}=191$ | 100\% | $N=316$ |
| Shop online | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 39\% | $N=125$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 11\% | $N=34$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=320$ |

Table 29: Question D1 with "don't know" responses

| Thinking about a typical week, how many times do you: | Several times a day |  | Once a day |  | A few times a week |  | Every few weeks |  | Less often or never |  | Don't <br> know |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access the internet from your home using a computer, laptop, or tablet computer | 87\% | $\mathrm{N}=284$ | 5\% | N=16 | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=14$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=325$ |
| Access the internet from your cell phone | 82\% | $N=264$ | 5\% | $N=17$ | 5\% | $\mathrm{N}=15$ | 1\% | $N=3$ | 7\% | $N=24$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Visit social media sites such as Facebook, Twitter, Nextdoor, etc. | 47\% | N=152 | 10\% | $N=34$ | 10\% | $\mathrm{N}=32$ | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=10$ | 29\% | N=94 | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=324$ |
| Use or check email | 78\% | $N=254$ | 14\% | $N=46$ | 4\% | $N=12$ | 1\% | $N=4$ | 2\% | $N=7$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | 100\% | $N=324$ |
| Share your opinions online | 11\% | $\mathrm{N}=34$ | 3\% | $N=8$ | 12\% | $\mathrm{N}=38$ | 14\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ | 59\% | $\mathrm{N}=191$ | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ | 100\% | $N=322$ |
| Shop online | 16\% | $\mathrm{N}=50$ | 14\% | $N=44$ | 39\% | $N=125$ | 21\% | $\mathrm{N}=68$ | 10\% | $N=34$ | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=1$ | 100\% | $N=321$ |

Table 30: Question D2

| Please rate your overall health. | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Excellent | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=125$ |
| Very good | 39\% | $\mathrm{N}=124$ |
| Good | 16\% | $N=52$ |
| Fair | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=13$ |
| Poor | 2\% | $N=7$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=321$ |

Table 31: Question D3

| What impact, if any, do you think the economy will have on your family income in the next 6 months? Do you think the impact will be: | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very positive | 4\% | $N=14$ |
| Somewhat positive | 21\% | $N=70$ |
| Neutral | 46\% | $\mathrm{N}=152$ |
| Somewhat negative | 23\% | $N=75$ |
| Very negative | 5\% | $N=17$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=327$ |

Table 32: Question D4

| How many years have you lived in Scottsdale? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 2 years | 15\% | $\mathrm{N}=49$ |
| 2 to 5 years | 20\% | $N=67$ |
| 6 to 10 years | 16\% | $N=52$ |
| 11 to 20 years | 16\% | $N=51$ |
| More than 20 years | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=109$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=328$ |

Table 33: Question D5

| Which best describes the building you live in? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| One family house detached from any other houses | 60\% | $N=198$ |
| Building with two or more homes (duplex, townhome, apartment or condominium) | 37\% | $\mathrm{N}=122$ |
| Mobile home | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| Other | 2\% | $\mathrm{N}=7$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=327$ |

Table 34: Question D6

| Do you rent or own your home? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rent | 32\% | $N=106$ |
| Own | 68\% | $N=221$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=327$ |

Table 35: Question D7

| About how much is your monthly housing cost for the place you live (including rent, mortgage payment, property tax, property insurance and homeowners' association (HOA) fees)? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$500 per month | 4\% | $\mathrm{N}=12$ |
| \$500 to \$999 per month | 11\% | $N=35$ |
| \$1,000 to \$1,499 per month | 18\% | $N=56$ |
| \$1,500 to \$1,999 per month | 24\% | $N=75$ |
| \$2,000 to \$2,499 per month | 19\% | $N=60$ |
| \$2,500 to \$2,999 per month | 7\% | $N=22$ |
| \$3,000 to \$3,499 per month | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=11$ |
| \$3,500 or more per month | 14\% | $N=44$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=314$ |

## Table 36: Question D8

| Do any children 17 or under live in your household? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 79\% | $\mathrm{N}=255$ |
| Yes | 21\% | $N=66$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=321$ |

Table 37: Question D9

| Are you or any other members of your household aged 65 or older? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 58\% | $\mathrm{N}=188$ |
| Yes | 42\% | $N=135$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=322$ |

Table 38: Question D10

| How much do you anticipate your household's total income before taxes will be for the current year? (Please include in your total income money from all sources for all persons living in your household.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than \$25,000 | 10\% | $N=31$ |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999 | 13\% | $N=38$ |
| \$50,000 to \$74,999 | 13\% | $N=40$ |
| \$75,000 to \$99,999 | 11\% | $N=32$ |
| \$100,000 to \$149,999 | 21\% | $N=63$ |
| \$150,000 or more | 33\% | $\mathrm{N}=100$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=305$ |

Table 39: Question D11

| Are you Spanish, Hispanic or Latino? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No, not Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 92\% | $\mathrm{N}=299$ |
| Yes, I consider myself to be Spanish, Hispanic or Latino | 8\% | $N=25$ |
| Total | 100\% | $N=323$ |

Table 40: Question D12

| What is your race? (Mark one or more races to indicate what race(s) you consider yourself to be.) | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| American Indian or Alaskan Native | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=9$ |
| Asian, Asian Indian, or Pacific Islander | 4\% | $N=12$ |
| Black or African American | 0\% | $\mathrm{N}=0$ |
| White | 94\% | $\mathrm{N}=303$ |
| Other | 3\% | $\mathrm{N}=8$ |

Total may exceed 100\% as respondents could select more than one option.

Table 41: Question D13

| In which category is your age? | Percent | Number |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 to 24 years | 5\% | $N=15$ |
| 25 to 34 years | 11\% | $N=35$ |
| 35 to 44 years | 7\% | $\mathrm{N}=21$ |
| 45 to 54 years | 28\% | $\mathrm{N}=92$ |
| 55 to 64 years | 16\% | $N=51$ |
| 65 to 74 years | 13\% | $\mathrm{N}=44$ |
| 75 years or older | 20\% | $\mathrm{N}=65$ |
| Total | 100\% | $\mathrm{N}=323$ |


| Table 42: Question D14 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| What is your gender? | Percent |  |
| Female | $57 \%$ |  |
| Male | $43 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=186$ |
| Identify in another way | $0 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N}=142$ |
| Total | $\mathrm{N}=0$ | $\mathrm{~N}=328$ |

## Appendix B: Benchmark Comparisons

## Comparison Data

NRC's database of comparative resident opinion is comprised of resident perspectives gathered in surveys from over 600 communities whose residents evaluated the same kinds of topics on The National Community Survey. The comparison evaluations are from the most recent survey completed in each community; most communities conduct surveys every year or in alternating years. NRC adds the latest results quickly upon survey completion, keeping the benchmark data fresh and relevant. The communities in the database represent a wide geographic and population range. The City of Scottsdale chose to have comparisons made to the entire database.

## Interpreting the Results

Ratings are compared when there are at least five communities in which a similar question was asked. Where comparisons are available, four columns are provided in the table. The first column is Scottsdale's "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month. The second column is the rank assigned to Scottsdale's rating among communities where a similar question was asked. The third column is the number of communities that asked a similar question. The final column shows the comparison of Scottsdale's rating to the benchmark.

In that final column, Scottsdale's results are noted as being "higher" than the benchmark, "lower" than the benchmark or "similar" to the benchmark, meaning that the average rating given by Scottsdale residents is statistically similar to or different (greater or lesser) than the benchmark. Being rated as "higher" or "lower" than the benchmark means that Scottsdale's average rating for a particular item was more than 10 points different than the benchmark. If a rating was "much higher" or "much lower," then Scottsdale's average rating was more than 20 points different when compared to the benchmark.

## National Benchmark Comparisons

Table 43: Quality of Life

| Quality of Life Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall image or reputation of <br> Scottsdale | $94 \%$ | 11 | 336 | Much higher |
| The overall quality of life in Scottsdale | $97 \%$ | 18 | 396 | Higher |
| Scottsdale as a place to live | $96 \%$ | 30 | 359 | Higher |
| Recommend living in Scottsdale to <br> someone who asks | $97 \%$ | 12 | 285 | Higher |
| Remain in Scottsdale for the next five <br> years | $96 \%$ | 2 | 278 | Higher |

Table 44: Governance

| Governance Items | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall confidence in Scottsdale government | 61\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| The overall direction that Scottsdale is taking | 65\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| The value of services for the taxes paid to Scottsdale | 73\% | Higher | Higher | Higher |
| Generally acting in the best interest of the community | 65\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Being honest | 58\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Being open and transparent to the public | 54\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Informing residents about issues facing the community | 54\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| The job Scottsdale government does at welcoming resident involvement | 62\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Treating all residents fairly | 63\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Treating residents with respect | 73\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Overall customer service by Scottsdale employees | 84\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Public information services | 83\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the City of Scottsdale | 79\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |
| Quality of services provided by the Federal Government | 45\% | Similar | Similar | Similar |

Table 45: Economy

| Economy Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Scottsdale | $90 \%$ | 46 | 273 | Higher |
| Economic development | $80 \%$ | 12 | 279 | Higher |
| Overall quality of business and service <br> establishments in Scottsdale | $95 \%$ | 1 | 276 | Much higher |
| Variety of business and service <br> establishments in Scottsdale | $90 \%$ | 1 | 53 | Much higher |
| Vibrancy of downtown/commercial area | $90 \%$ | 8 | 254 | Much higher |
| Shopping opportunities | $95 \%$ | 3 | 289 | Much higher |
| Scottsdale as a place to visit | $95 \%$ | 5 | 288 | Much higher |
| Scottsdale as a place to work | $92 \%$ | 4 | 344 | Much higher |
| Employment opportunities | $74 \%$ | 16 | 301 | Higher |
| Cost of living in Scottsdale | $47 \%$ | 134 | 271 | Similar |
| Economy will have positive impact on income | $25 \%$ | 190 | 261 | Similar |

Table 46: Mobility

| Mobility Items | Percent positive | Rank | Number of communities in comparison | Comparison to benchmark |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the transportation system in Scottsdale | 72\% | 152 | 275 | Similar |
| Traffic flow on major streets | 66\% | 61 | 315 | Higher |
| Ease of travel by car in Scottsdale | 84\% | 47 | 301 | Higher |
| Ease of travel by public transportation in Scottsdale | 37\% | 108 | 246 | Similar |
| Ease of travel by bicycle in Scottsdale | 69\% | 53 | 302 | Higher |
| Ease of walking in Scottsdale | 76\% | 67 | 302 | Higher |
| Ease of public parking | 68\% | 71 | 239 | Similar |
| Bus or transit services | 62\% | 68 | 238 | Similar |
| Traffic enforcement | 73\% | 92 | 338 | Similar |
| Traffic signal timing | 60\% | 60 | 264 | Similar |
| Street repair | 69\% | 24 | 325 | Higher |
| Street cleaning | 81\% | 18 | 289 | Higher |
| Street lighting | 83\% | 12 | 319 | Higher |
| Sidewalk maintenance | 79\% | 10 | 290 | Higher |
| Used bus, rail, subway, or other public transportation instead of driving | 13\% | 134 | 227 | Lower |
| Carpooled with other adults or children instead of driving alone | 31\% | 247 | 257 | Lower |
| Walked or biked instead of driving | 59\% | 111 | 263 | Similar |

Table 47: Community Design

| Community Design Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall design or layout of Scottsdale's <br> residential and commercial areas | $85 \%$ | 7 | 268 | Higher |
| Overall appearance of Scottsdale | $88 \%$ | 30 | 330 | Higher |
| Your neighborhood as a place to live | $94 \%$ | 31 | 300 | Similar |
| Overall quality of new development in <br> Scottsdale | $68 \%$ | 40 | 289 | Similar |
| Well-planned residential growth | $64 \%$ | 12 | 56 | Higher |
| Well-planned commercial growth | $62 \%$ | 10 | 56 | Higher |
| Well-designed neighborhoods | $78 \%$ | 4 | 56 | Higher |
| Preservation of the historical or cultural <br> character of the community | $74 \%$ | 8 | 52 | Similar |
| Public places where people want to spend <br> time | $83 \%$ | 23 | 263 | Higher |
| Variety of housing options | $64 \%$ | 45 | 279 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality housing | $32 \%$ | 155 | 298 | Similar |
| Land use, planning, and zoning | $60 \%$ | 54 | 290 | Similar |
| Code enforcement | $67 \%$ | 34 | 343 | Higher |

Table 48: Utilities

| Utilities Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of the utility <br> infrastructure in Scottsdale | $84 \%$ | 12 | 54 | Similar |
| Affordable high-speed internet access | $59 \%$ | 15 | 51 | Similar |
| Power (electric and/or gas) utility | $78 \%$ | 56 | 195 | Similar |
| Garbage collection | $91 \%$ | 22 | 316 | Similar |
| Drinking water | $69 \%$ | 145 | 284 | Similar |
| Sewer services | $88 \%$ | 22 | 287 | Similar |
| Storm water management | $84 \%$ | 12 | 315 | Higher |
| Utility billing | $73 \%$ | 63 | 240 | Similar |

Table 49: Safety

| Safety Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale | $89 \%$ | 118 | 341 | Similar |
| Police services | $85 \%$ | 131 | 385 | Similar |
| Crime prevention | $81 \%$ | 79 | 340 | Similar |
| Animal control | $86 \%$ | 6 | 303 | Higher |
| Ambulance or emergency medical services | $96 \%$ | 71 | 305 | Similar |
| Fire services | $96 \%$ | 61 | 333 | Similar |
| Fire prevention and education | $89 \%$ | 30 | 278 | Similar |
| Emergency preparedness | $75 \%$ | 64 | 279 | Similar |
| In your neighborhood during the day | $99 \%$ | 47 | 328 | Similar |
| In Scottsdale's downtown/commercial area | $93 \%$ | 151 | 307 | Similar |
| during the day |  | 66 | Similar |  |
| From property crime | $85 \%$ | 20 | 66 | Similar |
| From violent crime | $91 \%$ | 29 | 54 | Similar |
| From fire, flood, or other natural disaster | $91 \%$ | 8 |  |  |

Table 50: Natural Environment

| Natural Environment Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of natural environment <br> in Scottsdale | $87 \%$ | 65 | 278 | Similar |
| Cleanliness of Scottsdale | $91 \%$ | 29 | 302 | Higher |
| Water resources | $65 \%$ | 22 | 50 | Similar |
| Air quality | $63 \%$ | 207 | 255 | Similar |
| Preservation of natural areas | $79 \%$ | 20 | 258 | Higher |
| Scottsdale open space | $74 \%$ | 24 | 249 | Higher |
| Recycling | $80 \%$ | 160 | 323 | Similar |
| Yard waste pick-up | $86 \%$ | 36 | 262 | Higher |

Table 51: Parks and Recreation

| Parks and Recreation Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation <br> opportunities | $90 \%$ | 10 | 54 | Similar |
| Availability of paths and walking trails | $86 \%$ | 22 | 298 | Higher |
| City parks | $92 \%$ | 68 | 298 | Similar |
| Recreational opportunities | $86 \%$ | 18 | 288 | Higher |
| Recreation programs or classes | $88 \%$ | 27 | 296 | Higher |
| Recreation centers or facilities | $89 \%$ | 26 | 271 | Higher |
| Fitness opportunities | $87 \%$ | 12 | 259 | Higher |

Table 52: Health and Wellness

| Health and Wellness Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall health and wellness <br> opportunities in Scottsdale | $83 \%$ | 25 | 270 | Higher |
| Health services | $86 \%$ | 26 | 234 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality health <br> care | $78 \%$ | 26 | 268 | Higher |
| Availability of preventive health services | $85 \%$ | 6 | 249 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality mental <br> health care | $65 \%$ | 18 | 246 | Higher |
| Availability of affordable quality food | $78 \%$ | 16 | 254 | Higher |
| In very good to excellent health | $94 \%$ | 10 | 258 | Similar |

Table 53: Education, Arts, and Culture

| Education, Arts, and Culture Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities in <br> comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall opportunities for education, <br> culture, and the arts | $82 \%$ | 48 | 269 | Higher |
| Opportunities to attend <br> cultural/arts/music activities | $82 \%$ | 18 | 287 | Higher |
| Opportunities to attend special events <br> and festivals | $79 \%$ | 36 | 277 | Similar |
| Community support for the arts | $84 \%$ | 4 | 54 | Much higher |
| Public library services | $95 \%$ | 31 | 297 | Similar |
| Availability of affordable quality child <br> care/preschool | $59 \%$ | 52 | 264 | Similar |
| K-12 education | $69 \%$ | 141 | 264 | Similar |
| Adult educational opportunities | $73 \%$ | 31 | 254 | Higher |

Table 54: Inclusivity and Engagement

| Inclusivity and Engagement Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residents' connection and engagement with <br> their community | $63 \%$ | 22 | 54 | Similar |
| Sense of community | $66 \%$ | 124 | 299 | Similar |
| Sense of civic/community pride | $75 \%$ | 14 | 54 | Similar |
| Neighborliness of Scottsdale | $63 \%$ | 128 | 265 | Similar |
| Scottsdale as a place to raise children | $89 \%$ | 112 | 359 | Similar |
| Scottsdale as a place to retire | $93 \%$ | 3 | 346 | Much higher |
| Openness and acceptance of the community <br> toward people of diverse backgrounds | $64 \%$ | 97 | 295 | Similar |
| Making all residents feel welcome | $80 \%$ | 7 | 54 | Similar |
| Attracting people from diverse backgrounds | $61 \%$ | 17 | 54 | Similar |
| Valuing/respecting residents from diverse <br> backgrounds | $68 \%$ | 15 | 54 | Similar |
| Taking care of vulnerable residents | $62 \%$ | 19 | 54 | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in social events and <br> activities | $76 \%$ | 39 | 269 | Similar |
| Opportunities to volunteer | $80 \%$ | 52 | 269 | Similar |
| Opportunities to participate in community <br> matters | $70 \%$ | 79 | 274 | Similar |

Table 55: Participation

| Participation Items | Percent <br> positive | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contacted Scottsdale for help or information | $50 \%$ | 99 | 323 | Similar |
| Contacted Scottsdale elected officials to <br> express your opinion | $18 \%$ | 105 | 262 | Similar |
| Attended a local public meeting <br> Watched (online or on television) a local public <br> meeting | $29 \%$ | 54 | 266 | Similar |
| Volunteered your time to some group/activity <br> in Scottsdale | $28 \%$ | 206 | 241 | Similar |
| Campaigned or advocated for an issue, cause <br> or candidate | $22 \%$ | 128 | 270 | Similar |
| Voted in your most recent local election | $86 \%$ | 12 | 252 | 54 |
| Access the internet from your home using a |  |  |  | Similar |
| computer, laptop or tablet computer |  |  |  |  |

Table 56: Focus Areas

| Importance Items | Percent essential or <br> very important | Rank | Number of communities <br> in comparison | Comparison to <br> benchmark |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Overall economic health of Scottsdale | $94 \%$ | 7 | 249 | Higher |
| Overall quality of the transportation <br> system in Scottsdale | $74 \%$ | 159 | 249 | Similar |
| Overall design or layout of Scottsdale's <br> residential and commercial areas | $79 \%$ | 35 | 249 | Similar |
| Overall quality of the utility <br> infrastructure in Scottsdale | $91 \%$ | 4 | 54 | Higher |
| Overall feeling of safety in Scottsdale | $93 \%$ | 52 | 249 | Similar |
| Overall quality of natural environment <br> in Scottsdale | $89 \%$ | 29 | 249 | Higher |
| Overall quality of parks and recreation <br> opportunities | $87 \%$ | 16 | 54 | Similar |
| Overall health and wellness <br> opportunities in Scottsdale | $83 \%$ | 18 | 248 | Higher |
| Overall opportunities for education, <br> culture, and the arts | $75 \%$ | 249 | 250 | Similar |
| Residents' connection and engagement <br> with their community | $69 \%$ | 231 |  |  |

## Communities included in national comparisons

The communities included in Scottsdale's comparisons are listed on the following pages along with their population according to the American Community Survey (ACS) 2017 5-year estimates.

Adams County, CO................................................ 487,850
Airway Heights city, WA............................................... 8, 017
Albemarle County, VA........................................... 105,105
Albert Lea city, MN ................................................... 17,716
Alexandria city, VA ..................................................... 154,710
Allegan County, MI ................................................ 114,145
American Canyon city, CA ....................................... 20,341
Ankeny city, IA ......................................................... 56,237
Ann Arbor city, MI.................................................. 119,303
Apache Junction city, AZ......................................... 38,452
Arapahoe County, CO............................................ 626,612
Arlington city, TX ................................................... 388,225
Arvada city, CO....................................................... 115,320
Asheville city, NC.................................................... 89,318
Ashland city, OR ...................................................... 20,733
Ashland town, MA..................................................... 17,478
Ashland town, VA ...................................................... 7,554
Aspen city, CO .......................................................... 7,097
Athens-Clarke County, GA ..................................... 122,292
Auburn city, AL ........................................................ 61,462
Aurora city, CO ...................................................... 357,323
Austin city, TX....................................................... 916,906
Avon town, CO........................................................... 6,503
Avon town, IN.......................................................... 16,479
Avondale city, AZ..................................................... 81,590
Azusa city, CA......................................................... 49,029

Bainbridge Island city, WA....................................... 23,689
Baltimore city, MD.................................................. 619,796
Baltimore County, MD .......................................... 828,637
Basehor city, KS......................................................... 5,401
Batavia city, IL ......................................................... 26,499
Battle Creek city, MI ................................................ 51,505
Bay Village city, OH .................................................. 15,426
Baytown city, TX ...................................................... 76,205
Beaumont city, CA................................................... 43,641
Bellingham city, WA................................................. 85,388
Bend city, OR........................................................... 87,167
Bethlehem township, PA .......................................... 23,800
Bettendorf city, IA ..................................................... 35,293
Billings city, MT ..................................................... 109,082
Bloomington city, IN.................................................. 83,636
Bloomington city, MN .................................................. 85,417
Boise City city, ID.................................................. 220,859
Bonner Springs city, KS ............................................ 7,644
Boulder city, CO..................................................... 106,271
Bowling Green city, KY............................................. 64,302
Bozeman city, MT .................................................... 43,132
Brookline CDP, MA.................................................. 59,246
Brooklyn Center city, MN......................................... 30,885
Brooklyn city, OH ....................................................... 10,891
Broomfield city, CO.................................................. 64,283
Brownsburg town, IN................................................ 24,625
Buffalo Grove village, IL ..... 41,551
Burlingame city, CA ..... 30,401
Cabarrus County, NC ..... 196,716
Cambridge city, MA. ..... 110,893
Canandaigua city, NY. ..... 10,402
Cannon Beach city, OR ..... 1,517
Cañon City city, CO ..... 16,298
Cape Coral city, FL ..... 173,679
Carlsbad city, CA ..... 113,147
Cartersville city, GA ..... 20,235
Cary town, NC ..... 159,715
Castle Rock town, CO ..... 57,274
Cedar Hill city, TX ..... 48,149
Cedar Park city, TX ..... 70,010
Cedar Rapids city, IA ..... 130,330
Celina city, TX ..... 7,910
Centennial city, CO ..... 108,448
Chandler city, TX ..... 2,896
Chanhassen city, MN ..... 25,108
Chapel Hill town, NC ..... 59,234
Chardon city, OH ..... 5,166
Charles County, MD ..... 156,021
Charlotte County, FL ..... 173,236
Charlottesville city, VA ..... 46,487
Chattanooga city, TN. ..... 176,291
Chautauqua town, NY ..... 4,362
Chesterfield County, VA ..... 335,594
Clayton city, MO ..... 16,214
Clearwater city, FL ..... 112,794
Clinton city, SC ..... 8,538
Clive city, IA ..... 17,134
Clovis city, CA ..... 104,411
College Park city, MD ..... 32,186
College Station city, TX ..... 107,445
Colleyville city, TX ..... 25,557
Collinsville city, IL ..... 24,767
Columbia city, MO ..... 118,620
Commerce City city, CO ..... 52,905
Conshohocken borough, PA ..... 7,985
Coolidge city, AZ ..... 12,221
Coon Rapids city, MN ..... 62,342
Coral Springs city, FL ..... 130,110
Coronado city, CA ..... 24,053
Corvallis city, OR ..... 56,224
Cottonwood Heights city, UT ..... 34,214
Coventry Lake CDP, CT. ..... 2,932
Cupertino city, CA ..... 60,687
Dacono city, CO. ..... 4,929
Dakota County, MN ..... 414,655
Dallas city, OR ..... 15,413
Dallas city, TX ..... 1,300,122
Danvers town, MA ..... 27,527
Danville city, KY ..... 16,657
Darien city, IL ..... 22,206
Davidson town, NC ..... 12,325
Dayton city, OH ..... 140,939
Dayton town, WY ..... 815
Dearborn city, MI ..... 95,295
Decatur city, GA. ..... 22,022
DeLand city, FL ..... 30,315
Delaware city, OH ..... 38,193
Denison city, TX ..... 23,342
Denton city, TX ..... 131,097
Denver city, CO ..... 678,467
Des Moines city, IA ..... 214,778
Des Peres city, MO ..... 8,536
Destin city, FL ..... 13,421
Dothan city, AL ..... 67,784
Dover city, NH ..... 30,901
Dublin city, CA ..... 57,022
Dublin city, OH ..... 44,442
Duluth city, MN ..... 86,066
Durham city, NC ..... 257,232
Durham County, NC ..... 300,865
Dyer town, IN. ..... 16,077
Eagan city, MN ..... 66,102
Eagle Mountain city, UT ..... 27,773
Eau Claire city, WI ..... 67,945
Eden Prairie city, MN ..... 63,660
Eden town, VT ..... 1,254
Edgewater city, CO ..... 5,299
Edina city, MN ..... 50,603
Edmond city, OK ..... 89,769
Edmonds city, WA ..... 41,309
El Cerrito city, CA ..... 24,982
El Paso de Robles (Paso Robles) city, CA ..... 31,409
Elgin city, IL ..... 112,628
Elk Grove city, CA ..... 166,228
Elmhurst city, IL ..... 46,139
Englewood city, CO ..... 33,155
Erie town, CO ..... 22,019
Escambia County, FL ..... 309,924
Estes Park town, CO. ..... 6,248
Euclid city, OH ..... 47,698
Farmers Branch city, TX ..... 33,808
Farmersville city, TX ..... 3,440
Farmington Hills city, MI. ..... 81,235
Fate city, TX ..... 10,339
Fayetteville city, GA ..... 17,069
Fayetteville city, NC ..... 210,324
Ferguson township, PA ..... 18,837
Fernandina Beach city, FL ..... 11,957
Flower Mound town, TX ..... 71,575
Forest Grove city, OR ..... 23,554
Fort Collins city, CO ..... 159,150
Franklin city, TN ..... 72,990
Frederick town, CO ..... 11,397
Fremont city, CA ..... 230,964
Frisco town, CO ..... 2,977
Fruita city, CO ..... 13,039
Gahanna city, OH ..... 34,691
Gaithersburg city, MD ..... 67,417
Galveston city, TX ..... 49,706
Gardner city, KS ..... 21,059
Germantown city, TN ..... 39,230
Gilbert town, AZ ..... 232,176
Gillette city, WY ..... 31,783
Glen Ellyn village, IL ..... 27,983
Glendora city, CA ..... 51,891
Glenview village, IL ..... 47,066
Golden city, CO ..... 20,365
Golden Valley city, MN ..... 21,208
Goodyear city, AZ ..... 74,953
Grafton village, WI ..... 11,576
Grand Rapids city, MI ..... 195,355
Grand Traverse County, MI ..... 91,222
Greeley city, CO ..... 100,760
Greenville city, NC ..... 90,347
Greer city, SC ..... 28,587
Gunnison County, CO ..... 16,215
Haltom City city, TX ..... 44,059

Livermore city, CA ..... 88,232
Lombard village, IL. ..... 43,776
Lone Tree city, CO ..... 13,430
Long Grove village, IL ..... 7,980
Longmont city, CO ..... 91,730
Lonsdale city, MN ..... 3,850
Los Alamos County, NM. ..... 18,031
Los Altos Hills town, CA .....  8,490
Loudoun County, VA ..... 374,558
Louisville city, CO ..... 20,319
Lower Merion township, PA ..... 58,500
Lynchburg city, VA. ..... 79,237
Lynnwood city, WA ..... 37,242
Manassas city, VA ..... 41,379
Manhattan Beach city, CA ..... 35,698
Manhattan city, KS ..... 55,427
Mankato city, MN ..... 41,241
Maple Grove city, MN ..... 68,362
Maplewood city, MN ..... 40,127
Maricopa County, AZ ..... 4,155,501
Marin County, CA. ..... 260,814
Marion city, IA. ..... 38,014
Mariposa County, CA ..... 17,658
Marshalltown city, IA. ..... 27,440
Marshfield city, WI ..... 18,326
Martinez city, CA ..... 37,902
Marysville city, WA. ..... 66,178
Maui County, HI. ..... 164,094
McKinney city, TX ..... 164,760
McMinnville city, OR ..... 33,211
Mecklenburg County, NC ..... 1,034,290
Menlo Park city, CA ..... 33,661
Menomonee Falls village, WI ..... 36,411
Mercer Island city, WA ..... 24,768
Meridian charter township, MI ..... 41,903
Merriam city, KS ..... 11,259
Mesa city, AZ ..... 479,317
Mesquite city, TX. ..... 144,118
Miami city, FL ..... 443,007
Middleton city, WI ..... 18,951
Middletown town, RI ..... 16,100
Milford city, DE ..... 10,645
Milton city, GA ..... 37,556
Minneapolis city, MN ..... 411,452
Minnetrista city, MN ..... 7,187
Missoula County, MT ..... 114,231
Missouri City city, TX ..... 72,688
Moline city, IL. ..... 42,644
Monroe city, MI ..... 20,128
Montgomery city, MN ..... 2,921
Montgomery County, MD ..... 1,039,198
Monticello city, UT ..... 2,599
Montrose city, CO ..... 18,918
Moorpark city, CA ..... 36,060
Moraga town, CA ..... 17,231
Morristown city, TN ..... 29,446
Morrisville town, NC ..... 23,873
Morro Bay city, CA. ..... 10,568
Moscow city, ID ..... 24,833
Mountlake Terrace city, WA ..... 20,922
Murphy city, TX ..... 20,361
Naperville city, IL ..... 146,431
Napoleon city, OH .....  8,646
Needham CDP, MA ..... 30,429
Nevada City city, CA ..... 3,112
Nevada County, CA ..... 98,838
New Braunfels city, TX.................................................. 70,317
New Brighton city, MN ..... 22,440
Redmond city, WA ..... 60,712
Redwood City city, CA. ..... 84,368
New Concord village, OH ..... 2,561
New Hope city, MN ..... 20,909
Newport city, RI ..... 24,745
Newport News city, VA ..... 180,775
Newton city, IA ..... 15,085
Niles village, IL ..... 29,823
Noblesville city, IN ..... 59,807
Norcross city, GA. ..... 16,474
Norfolk city, NE ..... 24,352
North Mankato city, MN ..... 13,583
North Port city, FL. ..... 62,542
North Yarmouth town, ME ..... 3,714
Northglenn city, CO. ..... 38,473
Novato city, CA ..... 55,378
Novi city, MI ..... 58,835
Oak Park village, IL ..... 52,229
Oakdale city, MN ..... 27,972
O'Fallon city, IL ..... 29,095
Oklahoma City city, OK ..... 629,191
Olmsted County, MN ..... 151,685
Orland Park village, IL ..... 59,161
Orleans Parish, LA ..... 388,182
Oshkosh city, WI ..... 66,649
Oswego village, IL ..... 33,759
Overland Park city, KS ..... 186,147
Paducah city, KY ..... 24,879
Palm Beach Gardens city, FL ..... 53,119
Palm Coast city, FL ..... 82,356
Palo Alto city, CA ..... 67,082
Palos Verdes Estates city, CA ..... 13,591
Panama City Beach city, FL ..... 12,461
Papillion city, NE ..... 19,478
Paradise Valley town, AZ ..... 13,961
Park City city, UT ..... 8,167
Parker town, CO ..... 51,125
Pasco city, WA ..... 70,607
Pasco County, FL ..... 498,136
Payette city, ID ..... 7,366
Pearland city, TX ..... 113,693
Peoria city, IL ..... 115,424
Pflugerville city, TX ..... 58,013
Philadelphia city, PA ..... 1,569,657
Pinehurst village, NC ..... 15,580
Piqua city, OH ..... 20,793
Pitkin County, CO ..... 17,747
Plano city, TX ..... 281,566
Platte City city, MO ..... 4,867
Pleasant Hill city, IA ..... 9,608
Pleasanton city, CA ..... 79,341
Plymouth city, MN. ..... 76,258
Port Orange city, FL ..... 60,315
Port St. Lucie city, FL ..... 178,778
Portage city, MI ..... 48,072
Portland city, OR ..... 630,331
Powell city, OH ..... 12,658
Powhatan County, VA ..... 28,364
Prairie Village city, KS ..... 21,932
Pueblo city, CO ..... 109,122
Purcellville town, VA ..... 9,217
Queen Creek town, AZ ..... 33,298
Raleigh city, NC ..... 449,477
Ramsey city, MN ..... 25,853
Raymore city, MO ..... 20,358
Redmond city, OR. ..... 28,492
Reno city, NV ..... 239,732
Richfield city, MN ..... 35,993
Richland city, WA ..... 53,991
Richmond city, CA ..... 108,853
Richmond Heights city, MO ..... 8,466
Rio Rancho city, NM ..... 93,317
River Falls city, WI ..... 15,256
Riverside city, CA ..... 321,570
Roanoke city, VA ..... 99,572
Roanoke County, VA ..... 93,419
Rochester city, NY ..... 209,463
Rock Hill city, SC ..... 70,764
Rockville city, MD ..... 66,420
Roeland Park city, KS .....  6,810
Rohnert Park city, CA ..... 42,305
Rolla city, MO ..... 20,013
Rosemount city, MN ..... 23,474
Rosenberg city, TX ..... 35,867
Roseville city, MN. ..... 35,624
Round Rock city, TX ..... 116,369
Royal Palm Beach village, FL ..... 37,665
Sacramento city, CA. ..... 489,650
Sahuarita town, AZ ..... 28,257
Sammamish city, WA ..... 62,877
San Carlos city, CA ..... 29,954
San Diego city, CA. ..... 1,390,966
San Francisco city, CA ..... 864,263
San Jose city, CA ..... 1,023,031
San Marcos city, TX ..... 59,935
Sangamon County, IL. ..... 198,134
Santa Fe city, NM ..... 82,980
Santa Fe County, NM ..... 147,514
Savage city, MN ..... 30,011
Schaumburg village, IL ..... 74,427
Schertz city, TX ..... 38,199
Scott County, MN ..... 141,463
Scottsdale city, AZ ..... 239,283
Sedona city, AZ ..... 10,246
Sevierville city, TN ..... 16,387
Shakopee city, MN ..... 40,024
Shawnee city, KS ..... 64,840
Shawnee city, OK ..... 30,974
Shoreline city, WA ..... 55,431
Shoreview city, MN ..... 26,432
Shorewood village, IL ..... 16,809
Sierra Vista city, AZ ..... 43,585
Silverton city, OR ..... 9,757
Sioux Falls city, SD ..... 170,401
Skokie village, IL ..... 64,773
Snoqualmie city, WA ..... 12,944
Snowmass Village town, CO ..... 2,827
Somerset town, MA ..... 18,257
South Bend city, IN ..... 101,928
South Jordan city, UT. ..... 65,523
South Portland city, ME ..... 25,431
Southlake city, TX ..... 30,090
Spearfish city, SD ..... 11,300
Springville city, UT ..... 32,319
St. Augustine city, FL ..... 13,952
St. Charles city, IL ..... 32,730
St. Cloud city, MN ..... 67,093
St. Croix County, WI ..... 87,142
St. Joseph city, MO ..... 76,819
St. Louis County, MN
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St. Lucie County, FL ..... 298,763
State College borough, PA ..... 42,224
Steamboat Springs city, CO ..... 12,520
Sugar Land city, TX. ..... 86,886
Suisun City city, CA. ..... 29,280
Summit County, UT ..... 39,731
Sunnyvale city, CA ..... 151,565
Surprise city, AZ ..... 129,534
Suwanee city, GA ..... 18,655
Tacoma city, WA ..... 207,280
Takoma Park city, MD ..... 17,643
Tempe city, AZ ..... 178,339
Temple city, TX ..... 71,795
Texarkana city, TX ..... 37,222
The Woodlands CDP, TX ..... 109,608
Thousand Oaks city, CA ..... 128,909
Tigard city, OR ..... 51,355
Tinley Park village, IL ..... 57,107
Tracy city, CA. ..... 87,613
Trinidad CCD, CO. ..... 10,819
Tualatin city, OR. ..... 27,135
Tulsa city, OK ..... 401,352
Tustin city, CA ..... 80,007
Twin Falls city, ID ..... 47,340
Unalaska city, AK ..... 4,809
University Heights city, OH ..... 13,201
University Park city, TX ..... 24,692
Urbandale city, IA ..... 42,222
Vail town, CO ..... 5,425
Vernon Hills village, IL ..... 26,084
Victoria city, MN. ..... 8,679
Vienna town, VA. ..... 16,474
Virginia Beach city, VA. ..... 450,057
Walnut Creek city, CA. ..... 68,516
Warrensburg city, MO ..... 19,890
Washington County, MN ..... 250,979
Washoe County, NV. ..... 445,551
Waunakee village, WI ..... 13,284
Wauwatosa city, WI. ..... 47,687
Wentzville city, MO ..... 35,768
West Carrollton city, OH. ..... 12,963
West Chester township, OH ..... 62,804
West Des Moines city, IA ..... 62,999
Western Springs village, IL ..... 13,187
Westerville city, OH ..... 38,604
Westlake town, TX ..... 1,006
Westminster city, CO ..... 111,895
Westminster city, MD ..... 18,557
Wheat Ridge city, CO ..... 31,162
White House city, TN ..... 11,107
Wichita city, KS ..... 389,054
Williamsburg city, VA ..... 14,817
Willowbrook village, IL .....  8,598
Wilmington city, NC ..... 115,261
Wilsonville city, OR ..... 22,789
Windsor town, CO ..... 23,386
Windsor town, CT ..... 29,037
Winter Garden city, FL ..... 40,799
Woodbury city, MN ..... 67,648
Woodinville city, WA ..... 11,675
Wyandotte County, KS ..... 163,227
Wyoming city, MI ..... 75,124
Yakima city, WA ..... 93,182
York County, VA. ..... 67,196
Yorktown town, IN. ..... 11,200
Yorkville city, IL ..... 18,691
Yountville city, CA. ..... 2,978

## Appendix C: Detailed Survey Methods

The National Community Survey ${ }^{T M}$ (The NCS ${ }^{T M}$ ), conducted by National Research Center, Inc., was developed to provide communities an accurate, affordable and easy way to assess and interpret resident opinion about important local topics. Standardization of common questions and survey methods provide the rigor to assure valid results, and each community has enough flexibility to construct a customized version of The NCS.

Results offer insight into residents' perspectives about the community as a whole, including local amenities, services, public trust, resident participation and other aspects of the community in order to support budgeting, land use and strategic planning and communication with residents. Resident demographic characteristics permit comparison to the Census as well as comparison of results for different subgroups of residents. The City of Scottsdale funded this research. Please contact Brent Stockwell of the City of Scottsdale at BStockwell@scottsdaleaz.gov if you have any questions about the survey.

## Survey Validity

The question of survey validity has two parts: 1) how can a community be confident that the results from those who completed the questionnaire are representative of the results that would have been obtained had the survey been administered to the entire population? and 2) how closely do the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do?

To answer the first question, the best survey research practices were used for the resources spent to ensure that the results from the survey respondents reflect the opinions of residents in the entire community. These practices include:

- Using a mail-out/mail-back methodology, which typically gets a higher response rate than phone for the same dollars spent. A higher response rate lessens the worry that those who did not respond are different than those who did respond.
- Selecting households at random within the community to receive the survey to ensure that the households selected to receive the survey are representative of the larger community.
- Over-sampling multi-family housing units to improve response from hard-to-reach, lower income or younger apartment dwellers.
- Selecting the respondent within the household using an unbiased sampling procedure; in this case, the "birthday method." The cover letter included an instruction requesting that the respondent in the household be the adult (18 years old or older) who most recently had a birthday, irrespective of year of birth.
- Contacting potential respondents three times to encourage response from people who may have different opinions or habits than those who would respond with only a single prompt.
- Inviting response in a compelling manner (using appropriate letterhead/logos and a signature of a visible leader) to appeal to recipients' sense of civic responsibility.
- Providing a pre-addressed, postage-paid return envelope.
- Offering the survey in Spanish or other language when requested by a given community.
- Weighting the results to reflect the demographics of the population.

The answer to the second question about how closely the perspectives recorded on the survey reflect what residents really believe or do is more complex. Resident responses to surveys are influenced by a variety of factors. For questions about service quality, residents' expectations for service quality play a role as well as the "objective" quality of the service provided, the way the resident perceives the entire community (that is, the context in which the service is provided), the scale on which the resident is asked to record his or her opinion and, of course, the opinion, itself, that a resident holds about the service. Similarly a resident's report of certain behaviors is colored by what he or she believes is the socially desirable response (e.g., reporting tolerant behaviors toward "oppressed groups," likelihood of voting for a tax increase for services to poor people, use of alternative modes of travel to work besides the single occupancy vehicle), his or her memory of the actual behavior (if it is not a question speculating about future actions, like a vote), his or her confidence that he or she can be honest without suffering any negative consequences (thus the need for anonymity) as well as the actual behavior itself.

How closely survey results come to recording the way a person really feels or behaves often is measured by the coincidence of reported behavior with observed current behavior (e.g., driving habits), reported intentions to behave with observed future behavior (e.g., voting choices) or reported opinions about current community quality with objective characteristics of the community (e.g., feelings of safety correlated with rates of crime). There is a body of scientific literature that has investigated the relationship between reported behaviors and actual behaviors. Well-conducted surveys, by and large, do capture true respondent behaviors or intentions to act with great accuracy. Predictions of voting outcomes tend to be quite accurate using survey research, as do reported behaviors that are not about highly sensitive issues (e.g., family abuse or other illegal or morally sanctioned activities). For self-reports about highly sensitive issues, statistical adjustments can be made to correct for the respondents' tendency to report what they think the "correct" response should be.

Research on the correlation of resident opinion about service quality and "objective" ratings of service quality vary, with some showing stronger relationships than others. NRC's own research has demonstrated that residents who report the lowest ratings of street repair live in communities with objectively worse street conditions than those who report high ratings of street repair (based on road quality, delay in street repair, number of road repair employees). Similarly, the lowest rated fire services appear to be "objectively" worse than the highest rated fire services (expenditures per capita, response time, "professional" status of firefighters, breadth of services and training provided). Resident opinion commonly reflects objective performance data but is an important measure on its own. NRC principals have written, "If you collect trash three times a day but residents think that your trash haul is lousy, you still have a problem."

## Selecting Survey Recipients

"Sampling" refers to the method by which households were chosen to receive the survey. All households within the City of Scottsdale were eligible to participate in the survey. A list of all households within the zip codes serving Scottsdale was purchased from Go-Dog Direct based on updated listings from the United States Postal Service. Since some of the zip codes that serve the City of Scottsdale households may also serve addresses that lie outside of the community, the exact geographic location of each housing unit was compared to community boundaries using the most current municipal boundary file (updated on a quarterly basis) and addresses located outside of the City of Scottsdale boundaries were removed from consideration. Each address
identified as being within City boundaries was further identified as being within one of the three geographic subareas.

To choose the 1,700 survey recipients, a systematic sampling method was applied to the list of households previously screened for geographic location. Systematic sampling is a procedure whereby a complete list of all possible households is culled, selecting every Nth one, giving each eligible household a known probability of selection, until the appropriate number of households is selected. Multi-family housing units were selected at a higher rate as residents of this type of housing typically respond at lower rates to surveys than do those in single-family housing units. Figure 1 displays a map of the households selected to receive the survey. In general, because of the random sampling techniques used, the displayed sampling density will closely mirror the overall housing unit density (which may be different from the population density). While the theory of probability assumes no bias in selection, there may be some minor variations in practice (meaning, an area with only 15\% of the housing units might be selected at an actual rate that is slightly above or below that).

An individual within each household was selected using the birthday method. The birthday method selects a person within the household by asking the "person whose birthday has most recently passed" to complete the questionnaire. The underlying assumption in this method is that day of birth has no relationship to the way people respond to surveys. This instruction was contained in the cover letter accompanying the questionnaire.

Figure 1: Location of Survey Recipients


## Survey Administration and Response

Selected households received three mailings, one week apart, beginning on November 24, 2020. The first mailing was a prenotification postcard announcing the upcoming survey. The next mailing contained a letter from the Mayor inviting the household to participate, a questionnaire and a postage-paid return envelope. The final mailing contained a reminder letter, another survey and a postage-paid return envelope. The second cover letter asked those who had not completed the survey to do so and those who had already done so to refrain from turning in another survey. The survey was available in English. The prenotification postcard and both cover letters included a URL through which the residents selected for the mail survey could choose respond online rather than by mail. The City of Scottsdale chose to augment their administration of The NCS with several additional services, including demographic subgroup comparisons and geographic subgroup comparisons. The results of these additional services have been provided under separate cover. Completed surveys were collected over the following seven weeks.

About $9 \%$ of the 1,700 surveys mailed were returned because the housing unit was vacant or the postal service was unable to deliver the survey as addressed. Of the remaining 1,549 households that received the survey, 328 completed the survey, providing an overall response rate of $21 \%$. Of the 328 completed surveys, 157 were completed online. Additionally, responses were tracked by geographic subarea; response rates by geographic subarea ranged from $18 \%$ to $25 \%$. The response rates were calculated using AAPOR's response rate \#2 ${ }^{1}$ for mailed surveys of unnamed persons.

Table 57: Survey Response Rates by Area

|  | South of Indian <br> Bend Road | North of Indian Bend Road and <br> South or West of CAP Canal | North or East of <br> CAP Canal | Overall |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample used | 700 | 564 | 436 | 1,700 |
| I=Complete Interviews | 114 | 112 | 99 | 325 |
| P=Partial Interviews | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| R=Refusal and break off | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| NC=Non Contact | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| O=Other | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| UH=Unknown household | 0 | 395 | 293 | 0 |
| UO=Unknown other | 532 | 55 | 43 | 1,220 |
| NE=Not eligible | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $25 \%$ | 151 |
| Response rate: (I+P)/(I+P)+ |  |  | $21 \%$ |  |
| (R+NC+O) + (UH+UO) |  |  |  | 0 |

1 See AAPOR's Standard Definitions for more information:
http://www.aapor.org/Standards-Ethics/Standard-Definitions-(1).aspx

## Confidence Intervals

It is customary to describe the precision of estimates made from surveys by a "level of confidence" and accompanying "confidence interval" (or margin of error). A traditional level of confidence, and the one used here, is $95 \%$. The $95 \%$ confidence interval can be any size and quantifies the sampling error or imprecision of the survey results because some residents' opinions are relied on to estimate all residents' opinions. ${ }^{2}$

The margin of error for the City of Scottsdale survey is no greater than plus or minus five percentage points around any given percent reported for all respondents (329 completed surveys).

For subgroups of responses, the margin of error increases because the number of respondents for the subgroup is smaller.

## Survey Processing (Data Entry)

Upon receipt, completed surveys were assigned a unique identification number. Additionally, each survey was reviewed and "cleaned" as necessary. For example, a question may have asked a respondent to pick two items out of a list of five, but the respondent checked three; in this case, NRC would use protocols to randomly choose two of the three selected items for inclusion in the dataset.

All surveys then were entered twice into an electronic dataset; any discrepancies were resolved in comparison to the original survey form. Range checks as well as other forms of quality control were also performed.

NRC uses Polco, an online public engagement tool designed primarily for local governments, to collect online survey data. The Polco platform includes many features of online survey tools, but also includes elements tailored to the civic environment. For example, like NRC's mailed surveys, surveys on Polco are presented with the City name, logo (or other image) and a description, so residents understand who is asking for input and why. Optionally, Polco can also verify respondents with local public data to ensure respondents are residents or voters. More generally, an advantage of online programming and data gathering is that it allows for more rigid control of the data format, making extensive data cleaning unnecessary.

[^0]
## Survey Data Weighting

The demographic characteristics of the survey respondents were compared to those found in the 2010 Census and American Community Survey estimates for adults in the City of Scottsdale. The primary objective of weighting survey data is to make the survey respondents reflective of the larger population of the community. The characteristics used for weighting were age, gender, housing type, housing tenure (rent or own), race, ethnicity and geographic subarea. No adjustments were made for design effects. The results of the weighting scheme are presented in the following table.

Table 58: Scottsdale, AZ 2020 Weighting Table

| Characteristic | Population Norm | Unweighted Data | Weighted Data |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Housing |  |  |  |
| Rent home | 34\% | 12\% | 32\% |
| Own home | 66\% | 88\% | 68\% |
| Detached unit | 58\% | 63\% | 61\% |
| Attached unit | 42\% | 37\% | 39\% |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |
| White | 91\% | 92\% | 91\% |
| Not white | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% |
| Not Hispanic | 93\% | 97\% | 92\% |
| Hispanic | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |
| Female | 52\% | 53\% | 57\% |
| Male | 48\% | 47\% | 43\% |
| 18-34 years of age | 23\% | 4\% | 16\% |
| 35-54 years of age | 35\% | 21\% | 35\% |
| $55+$ years of age | 42\% | 76\% | 50\% |
| Females 18-34 | 11\% | 3\% | 12\% |
| Females 35-54 | 18\% | 10\% | 18\% |
| Females 55+ | 23\% | 40\% | 26\% |
| Males 18-34 | 12\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| Males 35-54 | 17\% | 10\% | 17\% |
| Males 55+ | 19\% | 36\% | 23\% |
| Geographic Area |  |  |  |
| South of Indian Bend Road | 37\% | 35\% | 31\% |
| North of Indian Bend Road and South or West of CAP Canal | 32\% | 34\% | 36\% |
| North or East of CAP Canal | 30\% | 30\% | 33\% |

[^1]
## Survey Data Analysis and Reporting

The survey dataset was analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS). For the most part, the percentages presented in the reports represent the "percent positive." The percent positive is the combination of the top two most positive response options (i.e., "excellent" and "good," "very safe" and "somewhat safe," "essential" and "very important," etc.), or, in the case of resident behaviors/participation, the percent positive represents the proportion of respondents indicating "yes" or participating in an activity at least once a month.

On many of the questions in the survey respondents may answer "don't know." The proportion of respondents giving this reply is shown in the full set of responses included in Appendix A. However, these responses have been removed from the analyses presented in the reports. In other words, the tables and graphs display the responses from respondents who had an opinion about a specific item. When a table for a question that only permitted a single response does not total to exactly $100 \%$, it is due to the common practice of percentages being rounded to the nearest whole number.

## Appendix D: Survey Materials


[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ A 95\% confidence interval indicates that for every 100 random samples of this many residents, 95 of the confidence intervals created will include the "true" population response. This theory is applied in practice to mean that the "true" perspective of the target population lies within the confidence interval created for a single survey. For example, if $75 \%$ of residents rate a service as "excellent" or "good," then the $4 \%$ margin of error (for the $95 \%$ confidence interval) indicates that the range of likely responses for the entire community is between $71 \%$ and $79 \%$. This source of uncertainty is called sampling error. In addition to sampling error, other sources of error may affect any survey, including the non-response of residents with opinions different from survey responders. Though standardized on The NCS, on other surveys, differences in question wording, order, translation and data entry, as examples, can lead to somewhat varying results.

[^1]:    * U.S. Census Bureau ACS 2017 5-year estimates

