

Scottsdale, AZ

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2016



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Scottsdale's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Scottsdale's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Broadly, ratings for all facets across the three pillars of community livability tended to be strong and similar to or higher than the benchmark comparisons. In Community Characteristics, the facets of Built Environment, Economy and Recreation and Wellness, as well as general aspects of Community Characteristics received ratings that were tended to be higher than ratings in comparison communities. This was also true of General Governance, Mobility, Economy, Recreation and Wellness and Education and Enrichment within the pillar of Governance. Finally, reported participation rates within Built Environment in the pillar of Participation were also higher than the national benchmark. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

| | Comm | unity Characte | ristics | | Governance | | Participation | | | |
|--------------------------|--------|----------------|---------|--------|------------|-------|---------------|---------|-------|--|
| | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | Higher | Similar | Lower | |
| Overall | 28 | 24 | 0 | 19 | 23 | 1 | 3 | 31 | 2 | |
| General | 6 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | |
| Safety | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | |
| Mobility | 1 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | |
| Natural Environment | 1 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | |
| Built Environment | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | |
| Economy | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | |
| Recreation and Wellness | 7 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | |
| Education and Enrichment | 2 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | |
| Community Engagement | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | |

| Legend | |
|--------|---------|
| | Higher |
| | Similar |
| | Lower |

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Overall appearance | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 93% | Customer service | 1 | 1 | 90% | Recommend Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 94% |
| | Overall quality of life | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 96% | Services provided by Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | <u> </u> | 89% | Remain in Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | ↑ | 94% |
| neral | Place to retire | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 92% | Services provided by the Federal Government | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 40% | Contacted Scottsdale employees | 1 | \leftrightarrow | 54% |
| ge | Place to raise children | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 89% | | | | | | | | |
| Built Environment Natural Mobility Safety General General | Place to live | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 98% | | | | | | | | |
| | Neighborhood | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 93% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall image | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 89% | | | | | | | ← | |
| | Overall feeling of safety | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 92% | Police | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 91% | Was NOT the victim of a crime | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 86% |
| | Safe in neighborhood | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 97% | Crime prevention | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 85% | Did NOT report a crime | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 85% |
| sty | Safe downtown/commercial area | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 94% | Fire | \leftrightarrow | ↔ | 98% | Stocked supplies for an emergency | \leftrightarrow | ↓ ↓ | 26% |
| Safe | | | | | Fire prevention | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 87% | <u> </u> | | | |
| 0) | | | | | Ambulance/EMS | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 98% | | | | |
| | | | | | Emergency preparedness | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 71% | | | | |
| | | | | | Animal control | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 80% | | | | |
| | Traffic flow | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 55% | Traffic enforcement | \leftrightarrow | ↔ | 73% | Carpooled instead of driving alone | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 50% |
| | Travel by car | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 70% | Street repair | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 69% | Walked or biked instead of driving | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 59% |
| bility | Travel by bicycle | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 65% | Street cleaning | 1 | 1 | 85% | Used public transportation instead of driving | \leftrightarrow | ↓ | 13% |
| Mo | Ease of walking | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 78% | Street lighting | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 77% | 3 | | | |
| | Travel by public transportation | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 39% | Sidewalk maintenance | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 80% | | | | |
| | Overall ease travel | 1 | \leftrightarrow | 76% | Traffic signal timing | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 62% | | | | |
| | Public parking | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 65% | Bus or transit services | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 64% | | | | |
| | Paths and walking trails | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 84% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall natural environment | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 88% | Garbage collection | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 95% | Recycled at home | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 94% |
| ۲ | Air quality | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 69% | Recycling | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 87% | Conserved water | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 83% |
| tural | Cleanliness | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 92% | Yard waste pick-up | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 76% | Made home more energy efficient | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 78% |
| ≅ ≅ | | | | | Drinking water | \leftrightarrow | ↓ | 56% | | | | |
| 딢 | | | | | Open space | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 89% | | | | |
| | | | | | Natural areas preservation | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 77% | | | | |
| vironment | New development in Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 71% | Sewer services | \leftrightarrow | ↔ | 83% | NOT experiencing housing cost stress | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 71% |
| | Affordable quality housing | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 45% | Storm drainage | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 69% | Did NOT observe a code violation | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 67% |
| | Housing options | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 72% | Utility billing | 1 | \leftrightarrow | 70% | | | | |
| iit En | Overall built environment | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 81% | Land use, planning and zoning | Ì | \leftrightarrow | 61% | | | | |
| Bn | Public places | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 88% | Code enforcement | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 68% | | | | |
| | | | · | | Cable television | \leftrightarrow | ↔ | 58% | | | | |



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher}\qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher}\qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar}\qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower}\qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower}\qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

| | Community Characteristics | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Governance | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive | Participation | Trend | Benchmark | Percent positive |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | Overall economic health | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 85% | Economic development | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 79% | Economy will have positive impact on income | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 38% |
| _ | Shopping opportunities | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 94% | | | | | Purchased goods or services in Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 97% |
| Economy | Employment opportunities | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 66% | | | | | Work in Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 36% |
| 2 | Place to visit | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 95% | | | | | | | | |
| ы Ш | Cost of living | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 48% | | | | | | | | |
| | Vibrant downtown/commercial area | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 86% | | | | | | | | |
| | Place to work | \leftrightarrow | ↑ ↑ | 92% | | | | | | | | |
| | Business and services | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 88% | | | | | | | | |
| - (0 | Fitness opportunities | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 90% | City parks | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 90% | In very good to excellent health | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 78% |
| ellness | Recreational opportunities | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 89% | Recreation centers | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 87% | Used Scottsdale recreation centers | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 63% |
| Education and Recreation and Wellness | Health care | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 80% | Recreation programs | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 89% | Visited a City park | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 86% |
| ם ת | Food | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 84% | , , | | | | Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 87% |
| eation | Mental health care | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 68% | | | | | Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 89% |
| Sec | Health and wellness | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 92% | | | | | | | | |
| | Preventive health services | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 85% | | | | | | | | |
| | K-12 education | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 81% | Public libraries | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 95% | Used Scottsdale public libraries | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 62% |
| r d | Cultural/arts/music activities | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 85% | Special events | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 80% | Participated in religious or spiritual activities | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 44% |
| n a nen | Child care/preschool | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 65% | | | | | Attended a City-sponsored event | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 46% |
| ucatio urichm | Religious or spiritual events and activities | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 90% | | | | | | | | |
| ᇗᇤ | Adult education | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 77% | | | | | | | | |
| | Overall education and enrichment | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 86% | | | | | | | | |
| | Opportunities to participate in community matters | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 66% | Public information | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 80% | Sense of community | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 62% |
| | Opportunities to volunteer | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 77% | Overall direction | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 70% | Voted in local elections | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 89% |
| ب | Openness and acceptance | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 58% | Value of services for taxes paid | \leftrightarrow | 1 | 71% | Talked to or visited with neighbors | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 93% |
| Community Engagement | Social events and activities | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 76% | Welcoming citizen involvement | 1 | \leftrightarrow | 61% | Attended a local public meeting | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 17% |
| | Neighborliness | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 57% | Confidence in City government | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 63% | Watched a local public meeting | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 21% |
| ınity E | | | | | Acting in the best interest of Scottsdale | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 64% | Volunteered | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 36% |
| Commur | | | | | Being honest | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 59% | Participated in a club | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 30% |
| | | | | | Treating all residents fairly | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 63% | Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 29% |
| | | | | | | | | | Contacted Scottsdale elected officials | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 19% |
| | | | | | | | | | Read or watched local news | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 86% |
| | | | | | | | | | Done a favor for a neighbor | \leftrightarrow | \leftrightarrow | 82% |



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$