The National Citizen Survey™

Scottsdale, AZ

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2014

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community, Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation, across eight central facets of community, Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement. This report summarizes Scottsdale's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Scottsdale's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it — Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

In general, across each facet and within each pillar, Scottsdale's ratings tended to be a mix of similar or higher when compared to other communities across the nation. Ratings for facets within Participation were similar while a majority of facets within Community Characteristics were higher than in comparison communities. Governance received a majority of similar ratings for facets with a few receiving higher ratings (Mobility, Economy and Recreation and Wellness). This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Community Characteristics Governance				Participation				
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower
Overall	36	17	0	14	29	0	3	26	6
General	6	1	0	0	3	0	2	0	1
Safety	1	2	0	1	6	0	0	2	1
Mobility	3	5	0	4	3	0	0	2	1
Natural Environment	2	1	0	2	4	0	0	3	0
Built Environment	4	1	0	2	4	0	0	2	0
Economy	7	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0
Recreation and Wellness	7	0	0	3	0	0	0	5	1
Education and Enrichment	5	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0
Community Engagement	1	5	0	1	7	0	0	9	2

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower
	Not available

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	1	96%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%	Recommend Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	96%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	1	98%	Services provided by Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	87%	Remain in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	94%
Built Environment Natural Mobility Safety General G	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	91%	Services provided by the Federal Government	1	\leftrightarrow	34%	Contacted Scottsdale employees	1	+	39%
eg	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	92%			$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	1	98%								
	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	1	93%								
	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	91%							↑ ↑ ↑ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓	
	Overall feeling of safety	*	1	94%	Police	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	90%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Crime prevention	\leftrightarrow	1	87%	Did NOT report a crime	*	\leftrightarrow	85%
ety	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	97%		*	+	18%
Saf					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow			* \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
. [Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow					
					Emergency preparedness	1	\leftrightarrow					
					Animal control	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%				
	Traffic flow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	62%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%		*	\leftrightarrow	45%
	Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	76%	Street repair	\leftrightarrow	1	66%		*	\leftrightarrow	55%
bility	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	1	69%	Street cleaning	\leftrightarrow	1	76%		*	+	18%
§	Ease of walking	1	1	85%	Street lighting	\leftrightarrow	1					
ĺ	Travel by public transportation	1	\leftrightarrow	40%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	1	75%				
	Overall ease travel	*	\leftrightarrow	87%	Traffic signal timing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	60%				
ĺ	Public parking	*	\leftrightarrow	65%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	56%				
ĺ	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	89%						*		
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	1	89%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	89%
Natural Mobility Safety Environment	Air quality	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Conserved water	*	\leftrightarrow	85%
tural	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	1	91%	Yard waste pick-up	1	\leftrightarrow	76%			\leftrightarrow	79%
Β₽					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%				
ᇤ					Open space	*	$\uparrow \uparrow$	95%				
ĺ					Natural areas preservation	\leftrightarrow	1	78%				
	New development in Scottsdale	\leftrightarrow	1	78%	Sewer services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%		\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	74%
ment	Affordable quality housing	*	\leftrightarrow	49%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%		*	\leftrightarrow	58%
io	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	1	71%	Utility billing	*	\leftrightarrow	79%				
t Envi	Overall built environment	*	1	81%	Land use, planning and zoning	1	1	70%				
Jing	Public places	*	1	88%	Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	<u> </u>	74%				
	·				Cable television	→	\longleftrightarrow					



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	*	1	82%	Economic development	1	1	72%	Economy will have positive impact on income	1	1	34%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	96%					Purchased goods or services in Scottsdale	*	\leftrightarrow	100%
Economy	Employment opportunities	1	$\uparrow \uparrow$	67%					Work in Scottsdale	*	\leftrightarrow	41%
2	Place to visit	*	11	94%								
Eco	Cost of living	*	\leftrightarrow	52%								
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	*	↑ ↑	85%							↑ ↔	
	Place to work	\leftrightarrow	<u></u>	Dositive Governance Trend Benchmark Participation								
	Business and services	\leftrightarrow		89%								
	Fitness opportunities	*	1	89%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	1	95%	In very good to excellent health	*	\leftrightarrow	72%
allness	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1			\leftrightarrow	1		Used Scottsdale recreation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%
×	Health care	*	1	78%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	91%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%
ם ח	Food	*	1	81%	. 0				Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	*	\leftrightarrow	86%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	*	1	61%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	*	\leftrightarrow	90%
jeci	Health and wellness	*	1	92%								
œ	Preventive health services	*	1	83%								
	K-12 education	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	77%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	94%	Used Scottsdale public libraries	1		60%
nd	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	↑ ↑	88%	Special events	*	\leftrightarrow	81%	Participated in religious or spiritual activities	1	\leftrightarrow	42%
n a nen	Child care/preschool	*	1	63%								
Education and Enrichment	Religious or spiritual events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	92%								
Ed	Adult education	*	1	76%								
	Overall education and enrichment	*	1	82%							 ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↔ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ ↓ 	
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	66%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	84%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%	Overall direction	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	72%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	\leftrightarrow	88%
nt	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	54%		\leftrightarrow	1	70%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	1	15%
geme	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%	, ,	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Watched a local public meeting	1	1	20%
Community Engagement	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	81%		*	\longleftrightarrow	59%	Volunteered	1	\leftrightarrow	37%
nunity	Neighborliness	*	\leftrightarrow	58%			\leftrightarrow	60%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	31%
Comr					Being honest		\leftrightarrow	53%	Campaigned for an issue, cause or candidate	*	\leftrightarrow	21%
					Treating all residents fairly	*	\leftrightarrow	57%		*	\leftrightarrow	11%
									Attended a City-sponsored event	*	\leftrightarrow	46%
									Read or watched local news	*	\leftrightarrow	82%
									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	\leftrightarrow	76%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$