
This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the July 1, 2013 Regular City Council Meeting and **has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.**

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, is available online at:

<http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/Assets/Public+Website/council/Council+Documents/2013+Agendas/070113RegularAgenda.pdf>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at: <http://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/citycable11/channels/council13>. For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

CALL TO ORDER

[Time: 00:00:011]

Mayor Lane: GOOD AFTERNOON AGAIN, WE'RE READY TO START OUR 5 P.M. REGULAR MEETING AND THIS IS JULY 1ST 2013. AND I'D LIKE TO CALL TO ORDER THAT MEETING.

ROLL CALL

[Time: 00:00:12]

Mayor Lane: AND I'LL START WITH THE ROLL CALL, PLEASE.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: MAYOR JIM LANE.

Mayor Lane: PRESENT.

Carolyn Jagger: VICE MAYOR SUZANNE KLAPP.

Vice Mayor Klapp: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: COUNCILMEMBERS VIRGINIA KORTE.

Councilmember Korte: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: BOB LITTLEFIELD.

Councilman Littlefield: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: Linda MILHAVEN.

Councilwoman Milhaven: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: GUY PHILLIPS.

Councilmember Phillips: PRESENT.

Carolyn Jagger: AND DENNIS ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: CITY MANAGER FRITZ BEHRING.

Fritz Behring: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: CITY ATTORNEY BRUCE WASHBURN.

Bruce Washburn: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: CITY TREASURER DAVID SMITH.

David Smith: PRESENT.

Carolyn Jagger: CITY AUDITOR SHARRON WALKER.

Sharron Walker: HERE.

Carolyn Jagger: AND THE CLERK IS PRESENT.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU. JUST SOME BUSINESS ITEMS, WE DO HAVE CARDS IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK ON ANY AGENDA ITEM. THEY ARE WHITE CARDS THAT THE CITY CLERK HAS HERE AT HER DESK TO MY RIGHT, ON ANY AGENDA ITEM OR FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. THERE ARE YELLOW CARDS FOR ANY WRITTEN COMMENTS YOU WOULD LIKE TO HAVE US READ FOR ANY OF THE ITEMS THAT ARE ON THE AGENDA AND WE WILL READ THOSE IN THE COURSE OF THE MEETING. AND WE HAVE GOT SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS DAVE PUBINS AND GREG CARLIN WITH US JUST OFF TO 11:00 RIGHT HERE. AND THEY ARE HERE FOR ANY NEED YOU MIGHT HAVE FOR THEIR ASSISTANCE. THE AREA BEHIND THE DAIS IS RESERVED FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS AND STAFF ONLY BUT WE DO HAVE FACILITIES OVER HERE TO MY LEFT UNDER THE EXIT SIGN YOU SEE THERE AND THE RESTROOM SIGN FOR YOUR CONVENIENCE. WE WILL START WITH A PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE AND ASK COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS TO LEAD US IN THE PLEDGE. IF YOU ALL WILL RISE, PLEASE.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

[Time: 00:01:29]

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. AND TO THE REPUBLICAN FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD INDIVISIBLE WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. THIS EVENING'S INVOCATION IS REVEREND JOHN BOSIC FROM THE FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH OF SCOTTSDALE. HE IS HERE TONIGHT TO GIVE US A PRAYER.

INVOCATION

[Time: 00:01:57]

REVEREND JOHN BOSIC: LET'S PRAY. FATHER WE THANK YOU SO VERY MUCH FOR HOW YOU TAKE CARE OF US. WE THANK YOU THAT YOU ARE WITH US. WE THANK YOU THAT YOU GIVE US WISDOM. BUT FATHER WE PRAY RIGHT NOW THAT YOU WOULD GIVE MERCY AND COMPASSION TO THE FAMILIES OF THOSE WHO LOST LOVED ONES YESTERDAY IN THE WILDFIRE. WE PRAY THAT IN THE MIDST OF THEIR PAIN THAT YOU WOULD MINISTER TO THEM IN WAYS THAT FRANKLY I DON'T UNDERSTAND, BUT YOU CAN DO THAT. WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD DO THAT. YOU ARE THE ONE THAT WALKS PEOPLE THROUGH SUCH HARDSHIP. WE PRAY FOR THE LITTLE KIDS. WE PRAY FOR THE EXPECTED ONES THAT ARE COMING SOON TO THOSE FAMILIES. WE PRAY FOR THOSE WIDOWS THAT IN THE MIDST OF THIS HARDSHIP THEY WOULD EXPERIENCE YOUR GREAT PEACE. AND YOUR GREAT COMFORT. MAY THEY KNOW THAT YOU ARE THERE WITH THEM. AND, LORD, WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD PROTECT THOSE THAT WHO ARE CONTINUING TO FIGHT THE FIRES. WE PRAY THAT THERE BE NO MORE TRAGEDY. AND WE PRAY THAT THROUGH THIS EXPERIENCE, THROUGH THIS TRAGEDY THAT YOU WOULD BRING ABOUT SOME GOOD OUT OF IT. THE MORE WE PRAY ABOUT TONIGHT HERE IN SCOTTSDALE WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD GIVE THE LEADERSHIP WISDOM, DISCERNMENT, UNDERSTANDING, A LISTENING EAR. THERE ARE HEARTS THAT ARE MORE CONCERNED FOR THE BETTERMENT OF THIS COMMUNITY THAN FOR ANY TYPE OF AGENDA THEY MAY HAVE. WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD GRANT DIRECTION. PRAY THAT YOU WOULD GRANT THEM A UNIFIED SPIRIT THAT IS WORKING TOGETHER. AND, FATHER, WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD CONTINUE TO GUIDE AND DIRECT, PRAY THAT YOU WOULD HELP US BE A PEOPLE THAT LOOKS TO SERVE ONE ANOTHER. THAT LOOKS TO CARE FOR ONE ANOTHER AND LOOKS OUT FOR THE BEST IN ONE ANOTHER. SO WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD DO THAT AND THAT YOU WOULD CONTINUE TO DO THAT. AND WE PRAY THAT, THAT WE WOULD ENJOY YOU. AND ENJOY WHAT YOU'RE DOING. THE PRIVILEGE IT IS TO LIVE HERE IN THIS GREAT CITY. WE PRAY THAT YOU WOULD GUIDE US AND LEAD US. THAT'S IN JESUS' NAME WE PRAY. AMEN.

MAYOR'S REPORT

[Time: 00:05:41]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU REVEREND. AND YOU KNOW JUST TO ADD TO THE TRAGEDY AND THE FOLKS IN PRESCOTT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU ALL KNOW THAT YOUR CITY IS DOING EVERYTHING WE CAN TO BE WORKING WITH THEM IN WAY OF RESOURCES THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO HELP THEM WITH, AS WELL AS THE AFTERMATH AND WE ARE WORKING ON THAT. WE DO HAVE SOME NICE

NEWS TO REPORT, I SUPPOSE. WE SHOULD, RECOGNITION OF PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH. AND I WOULD LIKE TO JUST READ A PROCLAMATION. IT'S ON A NATIONAL LEVEL AS WELL AS LOCALLY. WHEREAS, PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THIS COUNTRY, INCLUDING THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA; AND WHEREAS, OUR PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS ARE VITALLY IMPORTANT TO ESTABLISHING AND MAINTAINING THE QUALITY OF LIFE IN OUR COMMUNITIES, ENSURING THE HEALTH OF ALL CITIZENS, AND CONTRIBUTING TO THE ECONOMIC AND ENVIRONMENTAL WELL-BEING OF A COMMUNITY AND REGION; AND WHEREAS, PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS BUILD HEALTHY, ACTIVE COMMUNITIES THAT AID IN THE PREVENTION OF CHRONIC DISEASE, PROVIDE THERAPEUTIC RECREATION SERVICES FOR THOSE WHO ARE MENTALLY OR PHYSICALLY DISABLED, AND ALSO IMPROVE THE MENTAL AND EMOTIONAL HEALTH OF ALL CITIZENS; AND WHEREAS, PARKS AND RECREATION PROGRAMS INCREASE A COMMUNITY'S ECONOMIC PROSPERITY THROUGH INCREASED PROPERTY VALUES, EXPANSION OF THE LOCAL TAX BASE, INCREASED TOURISM AND THE ATTRACTION AND RETENTION OF BUSINESSES, AND CRIME REDUCTION; AND WHEREAS, PARKS AND RECREATION AREAS ARE FUNDAMENTAL TO THE ENVIRONMENTAL WELL-BEING OF OUR COMMUNITY; AND WHEREAS, PARKS AND NATURAL RECREATION AREAS IMPROVE WATER QUALITY, PROTECT GROUNDWATER, PREVENT FLOODING, IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF THE AIR WE BREATHE, PROVIDE VEGETATIVE BUFFERS TO DEVELOPMENT, AND PRODUCE HABITAT FOR WILDLIFE; AND WHEREAS, OUR PARKS AND NATURAL RECREATION AREAS ENSURE THE ECOLOGICAL BEAUTY OF OUR COMMUNITY AND PROVIDE A PLACE FOR CHILDREN AND ADULTS TO CONNECT WITH NATURE AND THE OUTDOORS; AND WHEREAS, THE U.S. HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES HAS DESIGNATED JULY AS PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH; AND WHEREAS, THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE RECOGNIZES THE BENEFITS DERIVED FROM PARKS AND RECREATION RESOURCES; AND NOW THEREFORE, I, W. J. "JIM" LANE, MAYOR OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA, DO HEREBY PROCLAIM THE MONTH OF JULY, AS PARKS AND RECREATION MONTH IN SCOTTSDALE AND URGE MY FELLOW CITIZENS TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE ENJOYMENT OF OUR MANY BEAUTIFUL PARKS. IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND CAUSED TO BE AFFIXED THE SEAL OF THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA, THIS 1ST DAY OF JULY, 2013.

[Time: 00:07:54]

AND DO WE HAVE JAN CAMERON TO COME FORWARD? I SHOULD SAY, IN ADDITION TO THIS PROCLAMATION, WE HAVE ONE OF THE FINEST PARKS AND RECREATION SYSTEMS I BELIEVE IN THE VALLEY, CERTAINLY IN THE STATE AND MAYBE ACROSS THE COUNTRY. IT'S PROBABLY ACROSS THE WORLD. IT'S WONDERFUL GREAT STAFF THAT DOES MAINTAIN AND KEEP THAT. NOT ONLY OUR PARK SYSTEM AND THE BEST OF FORM BUT ALSO RECREATION ACTIVITIES. SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH, JAN. I KNOW YOU ARE RECEIVING THIS ON BEHALF OF THE ENTIRE CREW.

I UNDERSTAND MR. BEHRING THERE IS NO CITY MANAGER'S REPORT, IS THAT CORRECT?

City Manager Fritz Behring: NO REPORT OTHER THAN I'M HAPPY TO FINALLY BE HERE.

Mayor Lane: WELL, ON THAT NOTE I AGAIN WELCOME YOU HERE. IT'S GOOD TO HAVE YOU HERE. AND WE MAY HAVE AN EXCITING MEETING FOR YOU TONIGHT. NO, I THINK WE'LL BE IN GOOD STANDING. WE HAVE NO PRESENTATIONS OR ANNOUNCEMENTS. WE DO HAVE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD WHICH IS RESERVED FOR CITIZEN COMMENTS REGARDING NONAGENDIZED ITEMS OF WHICH

NO OFFICIAL ACTION WILL BE TAKEN ON THESE ITEMS. SPEAKERS WILL HAVE THREE MINUTES. AND UP TO FIVE SPEAKERS. WE ONLY HAVE ONE CARD AT THIS POINT IN TIME AND IT IS MIKE MAYER.

PUBLIC COMMENT

[Time: 00:09:49]

Mike Mayer: THANK YOU, MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL FOR A FEW MINUTES OF MY TIME. MY NAME IS MIKE MAYER, I AM A RESIDENT OF SCOTTSDALE. 15 MONTHS AGO I BEGAN AN EFFORT TO RAISE AWARENESS THAT TIME WAS CRITICAL TO REVIEW MANAGEMENT OF THE MCDOWELL SONORAN PRESERVE. WILDLIFE HAD ALREADY DECLINED DRAMATICALLY BY THE DROUGHT AND URBAN CROWDING. AND CONDITIONS HAVE WORSENERED IN THE LAST YEAR. WITH THE HELP OF DOZENS OF SCOTTSDALE CITIZENS, STATE SENATORS, CAREFREE'S MAYOR AND RESIDENTS AND INCLUDING ONE OF YOU, GAME AND FISH HAS REVISED 2013 HUNTING REGULATIONS IN THE PRESERVE. FROM THIS POINT RIFLE AND SHOTGUN HUNTING IS NOW ILLEGAL IN THE PRESERVE. GAME AND FISH ALSO APPROVED A NEW QUARTER-MILE BOW HUNTING RULE. THE FIRST PRESERVE AERIAL SURVEY ANIMAL COUNT WAS PERFORMED IN JANUARY OF THIS YEAR. THEY FOUND LESS THAN 12 HUNTABLE DEER IN THE ENTIRE PRESERVE. THAT REMAINS A PROBLEM. I'M WORKING TO CORRECT THIS IN THROUGH A PARTNERSHIP WITH THE SCOTTSDALE PRESERVE DIRECTOR AND GAME AND FISH FIELD SUPERVISORS. TONIGHT I WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THE NEW 2013 PRESERVE HUNTING REGULATIONS DOES INVOLVE YOU AND SOMETHING YOU CAN DO. I LEFT A COPY WITH EACH OF YOU WITH A PAGE MARKED FOR THE NEW REGULATION. IT IS R-12-4-321. AND IT GIVES THE CITY THE RIGHT TO REQUIRE HUNTERS PRE-REGISTER WITH THE CITY BEFORE A HUNT. AND ONLY ENTER THE PRESERVE AT DESIGNATED LOCATIONS. I URGE THE COUNCIL TO DIRECT CITY STAFF TO REPORT ON REGISTRATION OF PRESERVE HUNTERS. 400,000 ANNUAL PASSIVE USERS AND MOST SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS WOULD WANT THE COUNCIL TO REVIEW THE NEW AUTHORITY. ACTUALLY THE CITY ALREADY HAS THE REGISTRATION FORM, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS SHOWS UP ANYWHERE, ON THE WEBSITE. SO WE'VE ALREADY TAKEN THE FIRST STEP. SO GAME AND FISH HAVE TOLD SCOTTSDALE THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO REGULATE HUNTING AND I ENCOURAGE THE CITY COUNCIL TO TAKE ACTION. IF I COULD SAY ONE OTHER THING, TOO. 4th OF JULY IS COMING UP AND WITH THE ATTENDANCE HERE AND THE PEOPLE WATCHING ON TV. FIREWORKS, THOSE LEGAL TO BUY IN SCOTTSDALE, ARE NOT ALLOWED TO BE EXPLODED IN SCOTTSDALE. EVERY YEAR THE PRESERVE STEWARD FINDS FIREWORKS ON THE 4th. AND AGAIN I ENCOURAGE THE CITIZENS OF SCOTTSDALE NOT TO EXPLODE FIREWORKS WITHIN THE CITY LIMITS. THANK YOU.

ADDED ITEMS

[Time: 00:13:36]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, MR. MAYER. THAT COMPLETES OUR PUBLIC COMMENT. WE HAVE A REQUEST FOR THE APPLICANT OF ITEM NO. 30 THE SCOTTSDALE MOUNTAIN VILLAS AND THEY HAVE ASKED FOR A CONTINUANCE TO AUGUST 20th. SO UNTIL THERE IS ANY CONCERN WITH THAT WE WILL GO AHEAD AND GRANT THAT REQUEST. SO THAT ITEM, ITEM 30 WILL BE CONTINUED UNTIL AUGUST 20th. SO IT WILL NOT BE HEARD THIS EVENING. IF YOU ARE HERE FOR THAT ITEM IT WILL NOT BE THIS EVENING.

WE DO HAVE SOME ITEMS THAT ARE ADDED; 12A, 29A AND 29B WERE ADDED TO THE AGENDA ON JUNE 27th. THIS IS OUTSIDE THE PERIOD OF TIME THAT WE NORMALLY ADD ITEMS TO THE AGENDA. SO I MOTION TO ACCEPT THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED OR TO MOVE THE ITEMS TO THE AUGUST 19th DATE. MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED TO ACCEPT THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED. WE'RE READY THEN TO VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE INDICATE BY AYE, IT'S UNANIMOUS, THEN. THOSE ITEMS WILL BE ON THE AGENDA AS PRESENTED.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

[Time: 00:14:24]

Mayor Lane: NEXT ITEM OF BUSINESS IS THE APPROVAL OF MINUTES AND I WOULD ASK FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE SPECIAL MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 4, 2013, REGULAR MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 4, 2013 AND A SPECIAL MEETING OF JUNE 11th 2013. MOTION MADE, YES. AND SECONDED. NO COMMENT ON THAT. SO WE ARE READY TO VOTE. ALL IN FAVOR OF MOTION PLEASE INDICATE BY AYE, OPPOSED WITH A NAY. THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. THE APPROVAL OF MINUTES.

CONSENT AGENDA

[Time: 00:15:04]

Mayor Lane: NEXT ORDER OF BUSINESS IS OUR AGENDA CONSENT ITEMS 1-29C AND ITEM 24 HAS BEEN MOVED TO THE REGULAR AGENDA. AND ITEM 24 IS A TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE. IT HAS BEEN REMOVED FROM CONSENT AND TAKEN TO OUR REGULAR AGENDA. SO DO I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CONSENT ITEMS ABSENT 24.

Councilwoman Milhaven: I MOVE WE APPROVE CONSENT ITEMS 14 THROUGH 29C, NOT 24.

Mayor Lane: MOTION MADE AND SECONDED. WE ARE READY TO VOTE. ALL OF THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE INDICATE BY AYE. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. IF YOU'RE HERE FOR ANY OF THOSE ITEMS 1 THROUGH 29C YOU ARE CERTAINLY WELCOME TO STAY WITH US. REMEMBERING THAT ITEM 24 HAS BEEN MOVE TO THE REGULAR AGENDA.

REGULAR AGENDA

[Time: 00:18:13]

Mayor Lane: ALL RIGHT WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS. WE WILL GO OVER 24. BUT START WITH PRESENTATION ON THE COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE. WE'RE GOING TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE WITH THAT ONE.

ITEM 31 – COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE

[Time: 00:18:31]

City Attorney Bruce Washburn: THANK YOU, MAYOR. THE COUNCIL ADOPTED ON MAY 24th 2011, ACTUALLY DISCUSSED MAY24th 2011 THE COUNCIL RULES WHICH WERE ADOPTED AND THE CITY CLERK AND I COMMITTED TO BRING BACK THE RULES FOR THE COUNCIL REVIEW PERIODICALLY WHICH WE DID EARLIER THIS YEAR. AT THAT TIME THE COUNCIL GAVE US DIRECTION WITH RESPECT TO THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGES. AND MOST OF WHICH HAS BEEN INCORPORATED IN THE DOCUMENTS THAT HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO YOU. THERE ARE TWO NEW RULES OR I'M SORRY, ONE NEW RULE AND ONE CHANGED RULE THAT WE HAVE PREPARED IN RESPONSE TO THE COUNCIL'S DIRECTION. I JUST WANT TO DISCUSS THOSE BRIEFLY. BRIAN, IF WE COULD HAVE THE ELMO UP, PLEASE. ALL RIGHT. ONE OF THE DIRECTIONS WE GOT FROM COUNCIL WAS TO CHANGE THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SIGNING OFF ON DOCUMENTS. AS YOU KNOW ALL ORDINANCES, RESOLUTIONS AND CONTRACTS HAVE TO BE SIGNED BY THE CITY ATTORNEY OR DESIGNEE. AND I BELIEVE I TRIED TO CAPTURE THE GIST OF WHAT I UNDERSTOOD THE COUNCIL'S DIRECTION TO BE BY BASICALLY STATING THAT THE SIGNATURE OF MYSELF OR DESIGNEE CONSTITUTES THE STATEMENT OR OPINION THE DOCUMENT IS PROPER TO BOTH FORM AND LEGALITY. OBVIOUSLY, IT'S JUST THE OPINION OF THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND CITY ATTORNEY'S DESIGNEES. AND IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THE COUNCILMEMBERS WANTED TO MAKE THAT EXTANT IN THE RULE. SO THIS IS IN THE RULES WE HAVE BROUGHT FORWARD FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION. THE SECOND ONE WAS DIRECTION HAVING TO DO WITH BIENNIAL UPDATES ON MEMBERSHIPS AND COMMITTEES FOR COUNCIL MEMBERS. AND SO THIS IS THE NEW RULE THAT WE'VE PREPARED. AND THIS IS ALSO IN THE RULES THAT WE BROUGHT FORWARD FOR YOUR ADOPTION TONIGHT. SO THESE ARE THE 2 ADDITIONAL, I'M SORRY THE ONE CHANGED RULE AND THE ONE ADDITIONAL RULE WE BROUGHT FORWARD IN RESPONSE TO THE COUNCIL'S DIRECTION. WE INCLUDED ALL THE CHANGES THAT YOU GAVE US DIRECTION TO INCLUDE AT THE EARLIER MEETING AND I WILL BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS. OR IF YOU'RE NOT SATISFIED WITH THE ONES BROUGHT FORWARD GIVE ME FURTHER DIRECTION AND WE WILL COME BACK AND TRY AGAIN.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, MR. WASHBURN. COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

[Time: 00:21:16]

Councilman Robbins: THANK YOU, MAYOR. SO CAN YOU EXPLAIN ON 6.9, HOW IS THAT DIFFERENT FROM WHAT WE HAD BEFORE?

Bruce Washburn: THE DIFFERENCE IS THAT IT STATES THAT IT'S IN THE OPINION OF THE CITY ATTORNEY OR DESIGNEE. IT USED TO BE, THE WORD USED TO SAY THE CITY ATTORNEY'S DESIGNEE SIGNATURE, I CAN'T REMEMBER THE EXACT LANGUAGE, BASICALLY BUT ESTABLISHED THAT IT WAS CORRECT IN FORMAL LEGALITY. SO WE JUST PUT THE WORD OPINION IN THERE.

Councilman Robbins: OKAY, THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

Councilman Littlefield: I'M GOING TO BE A NO ON THIS. I'M GOING TO RENEW MY OBJECTION TO CHANGING THAT. THE PREVIOUS LANGUAGE WAS FINE AND THE NEW LANGUAGE WHICH IS CLEARLY DESIGNED TO MINIMIZE THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OPINION IS BOTH UNNECESSARY AND IT'S BAD FOR US. SO I'M GOING TO OPPOSE THIS SINCE I OPPOSED IT WHEN WE GAVE THE DIRECTION.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. AND I WOULD HAVE TO SAY THAT I WAS ALSO ONE THAT WAS FINE WITH THE PREVIOUS LANGUAGE. BUT I UNDERSTAND THE CONCERNS OF A STATEMENT OF FACT VERSUS AN OPINION. I THINK IT'S REASONABLE GROUNDS FOR US BECAUSE WE ARE TECHNICALLY ALWAYS LOOKING FOR OUR CITY ATTORNEY'S AND HIS OFFICE FOR THEIR OPINION ON ANY KIND OF ORDINANCE CHANGE THAT WE'RE MAKING. THAT IS WITHIN STATE AND FEDERAL STATUTES AND LAWS AS WELL AS THE CONSTITUTIONAL ISSUE. SO WE ARE LOOKING FOR THAT OPINION AND I THINK IT'S WELL EXPRESSED THERE AND I THINK IT'S A REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION. YES, VICE MAYOR.

Vice Mayor Klapp: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 9433 APPROVING THE AMENDED RULES OF COUNCIL PROCEDURE.

[Time: 00:23:07]

Councilmember Korte: SECOND.

Mayor Lane: MOTION MADE AND SECONDED. WOULD THE SECOND LIKE TO SPEAK TO IT AT ALL?

Councilmember Korte: NO.

Mayor Lane: COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN.

Councilwoman Milhaven: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I KNOW THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA WAS THE RULES OF CIVILITY. I WAS WONDERING IF WE MIGHT WANT TO HEAR THAT ITEM. IT MIGHT BE NICE TO INCLUDE THAT AS A PREAMBLE TO THESE RULES OF PROCEDURE. THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO CONSIDER. QUESTION TO THE MOTION MAKER.

Vice Mayor Klapp: COULD YOU EXPLAIN THAT FURTHER?

Councilwoman Milhaven: INASMUCH OF THESE RULES OF PROCEDURE ARE ABOUT WHO GETS TO SPEAK FOR HOW LONG, THERE'S ALSO A SECTION IN OUR RULES OF PROCEDURE ON DECORUM, AND THE RULES OF CIVILITY SPEAK TO DECORUM AND I THOUGHT THAT SINCE THAT'S THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA, IF WE CHOOSE TO ADOPT THE RULES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE THAT IT WOULD BE IN MY THINKING IN KEEPING TO MAKE IT SORT OF AN INTRODUCTION OR PREAMBLE TO OUR RULES OF PROCEDURE TO SAY HERE'S HOW WE CHOOSE TO CONDUCT OURSELVES. SO PERHAPS WITHDRAW THE MOTION HERE THE NEXT ITEM AND MAYBE COMBINE THE TWO OR I CAN MAKE AN ALTERNATIVE MOTION.

Vice Mayor Klapp: LET ME ASK A QUESTION. WHEN WE GET TO THAT NEXT ITEM, IS THERE A WAY TO ACCOMMODATE THAT IN THE DISCUSSION ON THE NEXT ITEM REGARDING CIVIL DIALOGUE WITHOUT HAVING TO CHANGE THE WORDING OF THIS PARTICULAR AGENDA ITEM?

Bruce Washburn: THE RULES OF PROCEDURE ARE, ADOPTION, AGENDIZED UNDER THIS ITEM, SO IF YOU'RE GOING TO MAKE ANY CHANGES TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE AS PRESENTED, I THINK IT'S COMPREHENDED BY THE AGENDA THAT YOU COULD DO WHAT THE COUNCILWOMAN IS SUGGESTING.

YOU NEED TO DO IT BEFORE YOU VOTE ON THIS ITEM AND RESOLVE THIS ITEM. YOU'D HAVE TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION TO ADD THE CIVIL DIALOGUE IN. SO IF YOU WANT TO DO THAT WE CAN DO THAT. AND CONTINUE IT ITEM UNTIL AFTER THE CIVIL DIALOGUE ITEM IS HEARD AND COME BACK TO IT. THAT WOULD BE ANOTHER WAY TO DO IT. BUT WHAT YOU PROBABLY COULDN'T DO IS ADD THE CIVIL DIALOGUE TO THE RULES OF PROCEDURE IN THE CIVIL DIALOGUE AGENDA ITEM, BECAUSE IT'S NOT AGENDIZED TO CHANGE THE RULES OF PROCEDURE. SORRY I HAVE TO BE TECHNICAL BUT I THINK THAT'S THE CORRECT ANSWER.

[Time: 00:25:26]

Vice Mayor Klapp: OKAY, WELL, WE'LL CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL AFTER THE DISCUSSION ON THE CIVIL DIALOGUE.

Mayor Lane: WELL, YOU'RE CHANGING THE MOTION?

Vice Mayor Klapp: I WOULD CHANGE MY MOTION TO CONTINUE THIS UNTIL AFTER THE CIVIL DIALOGUE DISCUSSION.

Mayor Lane: MOTION TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL AFTER THE CIVIL DISCUSSION ITEM IS HEARD. AND HAS BEEN SECONDED. OKAY. AND THAT IS A CHANGE OF MOTION OR IS THAT AN ALTERNATIVE MOTION?

Vice Mayor Klapp: THAT'S AN ALTERNATIVE. YEAH, I'M JUST CHANGING MY MOTION TO AN ALTERNATIVE.

Mayor Lane: STRIKE THE MOST MOTION ENTIRELY AND IT'S BEEN CHANGED BY THE MAKER AND THE SECOND. NO, I SHOULD SAY, OKAY, AND THE SECOND. OKAY. MOTION IS MADE TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM UNTIL AFTER THE DISCUSSION ON THE PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE WHICH REVOLVE AROUND A RESOLUTION WE WERE TALKING ABOUT. ALL IN FAVOR AYE, THOSE OPPOSED SAY NAY. MOTION PASSES 5-2. MYSELF AND COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD OPPOSING IT. ALL RIGHT, SO THIS ITEM HAS BEEN CONTINUED ON. WHICH ESSENTIALLY MEANS WE MOVE IT TO THE END OF THE LIST.

ITEM 24 – TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE

[Time: 00:26:58]

Mayor Lane: ALL RIGHT NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 24 WHICH IS THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE. WE DO HAVE MR. KATSENES TO DISCUSS THAT ITEM.

Community and Economic Development Executive Director Paul Katsenes: MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS, AS YOU KNOW THE TOURISM PROGRAM IS MANAGED BY STAFF IN THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO ASK STEVE GEIOGAMAH THE MANAGER TO COME FORWARD AND MAKE A PRESENTATION AND WE'LL REMAIN AVAILABLE FOR YOUR QUESTIONS. STEVE?

Tourism Development Coordinator Steve Geiogamah: GOOD EVENING MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS. THIS EVENING WE'RE PRESENTING TO YOU THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE, MORE SPECIFICALLY RESOLUTION NO. 9448. IF THE CITY COUNCIL SO CHOOSES TO ADOPT THIS RESOLUTION THIS WILL ESTABLISH THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE, AS WELL AS APPOINT 9 TASK FORCE MEMBERS AND FIVE ALTERNATES. ON MAY 14, CITY STAFF BROUGHT FORWARD THE FIVE YEAR TOURISM DEVELOPMENT MARKETING STRATEGIC PLAN. WE SPENT QUITE A BIT OF TIME GOING OVER THE PLAN, THE DIFFERENT ELEMENTS, THE IMPLEMENTATION PROCESS, AND I JUST WANT TO TAKE A MOMENT ONCE AGAIN TO GO OVER THE OBJECTIVES OF THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE. IT'S VERY IMPORTANT AS WE MOVE FORWARD.

NUMBER ONE, THEY ARE CUSTODIANS OF THE PLAN'S VISION AND STRATEGIES. THEY COMMUNICATE WITH STRATEGIC LEADS AND CITY COUNCIL. THEY ENSURE THE PLAN IS ACHIEVING OBJECTIVES AND MILESTONES. THEY PROVIDE A COMMUNICATION STRUCTURE. AND THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT IN THIS PLAN. THERE ARE MANY ELEMENTS IN THIS PLAN THAT ARE BROAD, COMPREHENSIVE, IT IS A TOURISM PLAN. THERE WAS A LOT OF INVOLVEMENT IN THE COMMUNITY OVER THE LAST 12 MONTHS IN PUTTING THIS PLAN TOGETHER. THIS TASK FORCE WILL CONTINUE THAT PROCESS. THIS GIVES A VISUAL REPRESENTATION OF WHAT I JUST DESCRIBED. ON THE RIGHT HAND SIDE OF THE SCREEN IS THE PLAN ADVISORY TASK FORCE. ONCE AGAIN, STEERING THE OBJECTIVES AND THE COMMUNICATION VISION OF THE PLAN. ON THE OTHER SIDE IS THE TOURISM DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. THEY STILL WILL PROVIDE THAT VALUABLE RESOURCE IN TERMS OF PROVIDING FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS TO CITY COUNCIL, WORKING WITH THE PLAN ADVISORY TASK FORCE, CITY STAFF, PLACES CONSULTING AND OF COURSE THE SCOTTSDALE CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU STAFF. AND YOU SEE CITY COUNCIL ALSO NOTED THERE AS THE AUTHORITATIVE FUNDING MECHANISM. ALSO NOTE AT THE BOTTOM THERE, CITY COMMISSIONS AND TASK FORCE WILL CONTINUE TO SERVE THAT ROLE WORKING WITH THE TASK FORCE THROUGH CITY STAFF.

[Time: 00:29:15]

IN REGARD TO THE SELECTION PROCESS, AND WHAT WE WENT THROUGH TO IDENTIFY. WE UTILIZED THE APPLICATION THAT WAS APPROVED BY CITY COUNCIL ON MAY 14 ALONG WITH THE PLAN. SOON AFTER THAT DATE WE PUBLICIZED THE APPLICATION AND WE RECEIVED QUITE A FEW APPLICATIONS; WE RECEIVED A TOTAL OF 27. WE WERE VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE QUALITY OF ALL 27 APPLICANTS. THESE ARE SOME OF THE CRITERIA WE LOOKED AT THAT WERE NOTED IN THE APPLICATION. WE WERE LOOKING FOR EXPERTISE IN TOURISM, BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, ARTS AND CULTURE, NEXT GENERATION, ENVIRONMENTAL/TRANSPORTATION, AND CIVIC ENGAGEMENT. ALL OF THIS WAS VERY IMPORTANT AS WE BEGAN THE PROCESS OF EVALUATING EACH OF THESE INDIVIDUALS. EACH PANEL MEMBER WHO WAS IN THE SELECTION PROCESS WAS TASKED TO LOOK AT EACH OF THE 27 APPLICATIONS USING A QUANTIFIABLE CRITERIA MEANS TO IDENTIFY EACH OF THESE ELEMENTS. WE RE-GROUPED AS WELL IN THE SECOND MEETING LOOKING AT ADDITIONAL OBJECTIVES THAT WERE VERY IMPORTANT TO MOVING THE STRATEGIC PLAN FORWARD. WE WERE ABLE TO IDENTIFY NINE RECOMMENDATIONS AND FIVE ALTERNATES WHICH ARE IDENTIFIED IN THIS PARTICULAR SLIDE HERE. YOU'LL SEE TO THE FAR RIGHT, ONE THROUGH NINE, I KNOW IT'S TOUGH VISUALLY TO SEE, BUT THOSE NINE INDIVIDUALS ARE IDENTIFIED IN THE RESOLUTION 9448 AS WELL AS THE FIVE ALTERNATES NOTATED AT THE BOTTOM. THE IMPORTANCE TO TAKE FROM THIS PARTICULAR SLIDE IS AS YOU MOVE FROM LEFT TO RIGHT YOU'LL SEE THE NUMBER OF YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN EACH OF THE CRITERIA I JUST WENT THROUGH. THERE ARE OVER 800 YEARS OF EXPERIENCE IN REGARDS TO

OUR ALTERNATES AND OUR TOURISM PANEL THAT WE'VE IDENTIFIED. QUITE A BIT, ENOUGH TO MOVE THIS PLAN FORWARD. THE TWO COLUMNS TO THE FAR RIGHT, ONE IDENTIFIES IF THEY'RE A SCOTTSDALE STAKEHOLDER OR OWNER. THAT WAS VERY IMPORTANT AS WE WENT THROUGH THIS SELECTION PROCESS; THAT THEY HAD SOME STAKE IN THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE, THE TOURISM INDUSTRY, THEY WERE A BUSINESS OWNER, THEY WERE INVOLVED IN THE COMMUNITY. WE LOOKED AT EVERY SINGLE ASPECT. EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THOSE NINE INDIVIDUALS HAVE THAT PARTICULAR ELEMENT OR CRITERIA.

[Time: 00:31:12]

TO THE FAR RIGHT, YOU'LL SEE IF THEY'RE SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS. OUR CURRENT RATIO ON OUR TASK FORCE THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING IS FOUR SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS, FIVE NON-RESIDENTS. IN REGARDS TO ANOTHER OPTION TO CONSIDER HERE, STAFF, AT THE DIRECTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL ECONOMIC SUBCOMMITTEE, WE WERE ASKED TO LOOK AT ANOTHER CONSIDERATION, WHETHER WE COULD IDENTIFY A MAJORITY OF SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS AS AN OPTION TO CONSIDER HERE THIS EVENING. STAFF LOOKED AT A POTENTIAL OPTION. WITH THAT DIRECTION IN MIND, AND THIS IS THE OPTION THAT KEEPS THE ELEMENTS OF THE SELECTION PROCESS IN PLAY, IN TERMS OF WHAT THE PANEL SELECTED. THE SYNERGY, THE ENERGY THAT WAS CREATED IN TERMS OF THE INITIAL SELECTION. ONE OPTION FOR CONSIDERATION IS TO SELECT TWO SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS FROM THE LIST OF FIVE ALTERNATES THAT WERE IDENTIFIED A LITTLE BIT EARLIER, THEREBY INCREASING THE NUMBER OF TASK FORCE MEMBERS FROM NINE TO ELEVEN, ENSURING A SCOTTSDALE RESIDENT MAJORITY SERVE ON THE TASK FORCE. THIS IS ONE POTENTIAL OPTION FOR CONSIDERATION THIS EVENING. THIS LAST SLIDE WILL CONCLUDE MY PRESENTATION. IT'S ACTIONS TO CONSIDER. ONE IS TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 9448 AS PRESENTED AS WELL AS CONSIDER THE OTHER OPTION THAT I JUST REVIEWED, IDENTIFYING TWO SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS FROM THE LIST OF ALTERNATES. THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. I'M HERE TO ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS YOU MIGHT HAVE.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU MR. GEIOGAMAH. WE HAVE IN FRONT OF US THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE AND ANY AMENDMENT THAT WE MIGHT WANT TO CONSIDER FOR IT. THE OPTION THAT WAS PRESENTED IS TO INCREASE THE PERCENTAGE OF SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS WITHIN THE OVERALL GROUP. I THINK WE HAVE THE DETAILED INFORMATION ON HOW THAT MIGHT BE ACCOMPLISHED IN FRONT OF US. ARE THERE ANY COMMENTS FROM ANY MEMBER OF THE COUNCIL WITH REGARD TO THAT CHANGE, OR CONSIDERED CHANGE. COUNCILMEMBER KORTE.

Councilmember Korte: THANK YOU MAYOR. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO ADOPT RESOLUTION 9448 ESTABLISHING THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE AND APPOINT NINE MEMBERS AND FIVE ALTERNATES OF THE TASK FORCE AS PRESENTED IN THE ORIGINAL OPTION.

Mayor Lane: MOTION IS MADE TO ACCEPT IT AS HAS BEEN INDICATED. IS THERE A SECOND FOR THAT? MOTION DIES FOR LACK OF A SECOND. COUNCILMAN ROBBINS?

Councilman Robbins: THANK YOU MAYOR. I'D LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE INCREASE THE MEMBERSHIP FROM NINE TO ELEVEN MEMBERS AND THEN WE CAN DISCUSS AFTER THAT PASSES WHICH OF THE TWO WE WOULD SELECT, BUT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE ADOPT THE TOURISM ADVISORY TASK FORCE AND MAKE IT ELEVEN MEMBERS.

Councilman Littlefield: SECOND.

Mayor Lane: MOTION MADE AND SECONDED TO ADD TWO ADDITIONAL MEMBERS TO THE NINE THAT ARE INDICATED AS PROPOSED MEMBERS AND TO SELECT THEM FROM THE ALTERNATES, NOT NAMED. MOTION'S BEEN MADE AND SECONDED. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SPEAK TO IT? OK, COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN?

Councilwoman Milhaven: I WAS JUST WONDERING, COUNCILMAN ROBBINS, IF YOU'D MODIFY THAT TO SAY SO THAT A MAJORITY OF THE TASK FORCE MEMBERS ARE SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS?

Councilman Robbins: THAT WAS MY INTENTION.

Councilwoman Milhaven: THANK YOU.

[Time: 00:34:49]

Mayor Lane: SO WHOEVER DID THE SECOND, DID THEY AGREE WITH THAT INTENTION? I PRESUME THAT IT WAS ACCEPTED IN THAT FORM. THE MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED, TO SELECT TWO ADDITIONAL SCOTTSDALE RESIDENTS FROM THE LIST OF ALTERNATES UNNAMED AT THIS POINT IN TIME. I THINK WE'RE READY TO VOTE ON THAT MOTION. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR BY AYE, OPPOSED NAY. IT'S UNANIMOUS TO ACCEPT THAT MOTION, WHICH LEAVES US NOW WITH THE TASK OF DISCUSSING WHO THOSE ADDITIONAL MEMBERS MIGHT BE. IF I WERE JUST TO SAY THAT I THINK ONE THAT WOULD BE GOOD TO HAVE WOULD BE DICK HAYSLIP. THAT WOULD BE A CHOICE THAT I WOULD PUT OUT THERE FOR CONSIDERATION. I'LL MAKE THAT A MOTION. MOTION HAS BEEN MADE TO ADD DICK HAYSLIP TO THAT LIST AND HAS BEEN SECONDED. WE'RE READY TO VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF DICK HAYSLIP AS AN ADDED MEMBER INDICATE BY AYE, IT'S UNANIMOUS THAT DICK HAYSLIP WOULD BE ADDED TO THE LIST. WE DO HAVE AN ADDITIONAL OPENING UNDER THE MOTION, ARE THERE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS? COUNCILMAN ROBBINS?

Councilman Robbins: MAYOR, I'LL MOVE THAT THE COUNCIL PUT KATE BIRCHLER ON AS THE FINAL MEMBER.

Vice Mayor Klapp: SECOND.

Mayor Lane: MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED FOR KATE BIRCHLER TO BE ADDED AS A SECOND SCOTTSDALE RESIDENT TO THE LIST OF REGULAR MEMBERS. MOTION HAS BEEN MADE AND SECONDED. ALL IN FAVOR OF THAT NAME, INDICATE BY AYE AND REGISTER YOUR VOTE. SHE'S ACCEPTED UNANIMOUSLY. WE HAVE THOSE TWO ADDITIONAL POSITIONS FILLED. I THINK THAT COMPLETES ITEM 24.

ITEM 31A – SECURITY ENHANCEMENT ORDINANCE UPDATE

[Time: 00:37:16]

Mayor Lane: MOVE ON NEXT TO ITEM 31A, SECURITY ENHANCEMENT ORDINANCE UPDATE. MR. TWIST FROM MY OFFICE WILL BE MAKING A PRESENTATION FOR THAT UPDATE.

CHIEF OF STAFF J.P. Twist: THANK YOU MAYOR. FOR THE RECORD, MY NAME IS J.P. Twist AND I WORK FOR THE MAYOR'S OFFICE. I'M HERE TONIGHT TO PRESENT AN OVERVIEW OF THE ORDINANCE THAT THE MAYOR'S OFFICE WITH THE HELP OF ALMOST EVERY CITY I THINK ACROSS HELPED IN DRAFTING AN ORDINANCE TO DEAL WITH SOME OF THE RECENT STABBINGS AT MARTINI RANCH AND SOME OF THE SAFETY CONCERNS NOT JUST IN DOWNTOWN BUT PERHAPS IN ALL OF OUR BARS AND NIGHTCLUBS ACROSS THE CITY. TONIGHT IS THE PUBLIC SAFETY ORDINANCE THAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON. WE'VE HAD PUBLIC OUTREACH, AND WE'LL GO OVER THAT, AT THE REQUEST OF MAYOR LANE, VICE MAYOR KLAPP, COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN, COUNCILMAN ROBBINS, COUNCILWOMAN KORTE, COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS I'M HERE TO PRESENT THE ORDINANCE TONIGHT AND HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE. WE'LL GO OVER THE BACKGROUND A LITTLE BIT OF HOW WE GOT HERE, WHAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON, WHAT OUR GOALS ARE, THE OUTREACH. WE'LL GO OVER A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE TIMELINE, WHERE WE STARTED AND WHERE WE ARE TODAY, AND THEN WE'LL GO OVER THE ORDINANCE.

[Time: 00:38:49]

ANALYSIS AND GOALS: WHEN WE FIRST STARTED THIS, YOU REMEMBER TYRESE THOMPSON, WHO WAS A CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICER AT MARTINI RANCH BACK IN LATE JANUARY, WAS STABBED MULTIPLE TIMES IN THE BACK AND SUBSEQUENTLY A WEEK LATER HE PASSED AWAY FROM THOSE INJURIES. AT THAT TIME, THE MAYOR ASKED THAT STAFF TAKE A LOOK AT HOW DOES THE CITY MANAGE SECURITY INSIDE OUR BARS AND NIGHTCLUBS. WHAT WE FOUND OF COURSE IS THAT CURRENTLY THE CITY USES SECURITY MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS PLANS, OR S.M.O. PLANS. AFTER SOME EVALUATION WE FOUND THAT THERE WERE REALLY FIVE DEFICIENCIES, DEFICIENCIES AS WE SAW THEM IN THOSE SECURITY MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS PLANS. THE FIRST IS THAT THERE IS NO MANDATORY SUBMITTAL. WE HAD A COUPLE OF BARS WHO HAD SUBMITTED THEIR SECURITY PLANS TEN YEARS AGO, HAD NEVER BEEN LOOKED AT EVER AGAIN. SOME ESTABLISHMENTS DON'T HAVE SECURITY PLANS WHATSOEVER. THEY'VE BEEN AROUND LONG ENOUGH, THEY PRE-DATE OUR SECURITY PLANS. THE SECURITY PLAN DIDN'T SET A RATION OF SECURITY OFFICER PER PATRONS. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT WE THOUGHT WAS VERY VALUABLE. IN OUR CURRENT SECURITY PLAN, WE REQUIRE AN APPLICANT TO SAY WELL I'M GOING TO HAVE THIS MANY OFFICERS DOING THIS JOB AT THIS TIME. WE DON'T SAY WHAT WE THINK AS A CITY A GOOD MINIMUM SHOULD BE AND WE THOUGHT THIS WAS SOMETHING WE SHOULD TAKE A LOOK AT. NO TRIGGERS FOR HIRING OFF-DUTY OFFICERS. WE DO KNOW THAT THERE ARE SOME ESTABLISHMENTS AROUND THE CITY THAT DO HIRE OFF-DUTY POLICE OFFICERS BUT THE CITY DOESN'T SAY WE THINK YOU SHOULD IN THESE CERTAIN SITUATIONS OR IN THIS CONTEXT, SO THAT'S SOMETHING WE WANTED TO LOOK AT AND THOUGHT THAT THE SECURITY MAINTENANCE AND OPERATIONS PLAN DIDN'T ADDRESS. AND TRAINING REQUIREMENTS. AFTER TALKING TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, WE REALIZED THAT SOME OF THE PROBLEMS THAT WE FIND WHEN IT COMES TO STAFF AT BARS AND RESTAURANTS INTERACTING WITH DRUNK PATRONS THAT THERE'S A DEFICIENCY. A LOT OF TIMES THE PEOPLE THAT WORK AS BOUNCERS OR SECURITY OFFICIALS ARE TRANSIENTS. THEY WORK AT THIS JOB FOR THREE MONTHS THEN THEY LEAVE. WE THOUGHT WE SHOULD PROBABLY TAKE A LOOK AT DEVELOPING A PROGRAM THAT WOULD REQUIRE ALL CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICERS IN SCOTTSDALE TO

GO THROUGH A TRAINING PROGRAM. SO THESE ARE THE FIVE THINGS THAT IS WE STARTED OUT WITH. THOSE ARE THE GOALS.

[Time: 00:41:12]

NEXT IS SORT OF THE TIME LINE. ON JANUARY 27 IS WHEN MR. THOMPSON WAS STABBED. THE NEXT DAY IS WHEN WE CONVENED, THE MAYOR CONVENED STAFF. WE TALKED ABOUT WHAT OUR GOALS SHOULD BE, THE STUFF I JUST WENT OVER. THEN WE TOOK THOSE GOALS, THOSE FIVE GOALS WE JUST MENTIONED WE TOOK THEM TO THE BAR OWNERS. THE MAYOR CONDUCTED THIS MEETING. WE HAD A GREAT TURN OUT. ALMOST 30 BAR OWNERS IN ATTENDANCE. AND WE PRESENTED THEM. WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT MANDATORY TRAINING. WHAT DO YOU THINK ABOUT RATIO OF ONE SECURITY OFFICER FOR 50. THESE ARE THE TYPE OF DIALOGUES WE HAD. WE DEVELOPED A SAFETY PLAN ORDINANCE WHICH IS WHAT I HAVE HERE TONIGHT. AFTER WE DRAFTED THE PLAN, WE MET WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE ARIZONA LICENSE ASSOCIATION AND THEY REPRESENT A LOT OF BAR OWNERS AND RESTAURANT OWNERS ALL THROUGHOUT SCOTTSDALE. WE MET WITH THE OWNER OF THE SALT CELLAR. THE OWNER OF PATTY'S. YOU NAME THEM WE MET WITH THEM WHEN WE STARTED WITH THIS. AFTER WE MET WITH THOSE GROUPS WE UPDATED THE ORDINANCE, TOOK THE ORDINANCE BACK TO A PUBLIC MEETING WITH BAR OWNERS. HERE'S THE ORDINANCE AS IT IS TODAY. WHAT DO YOU THINK. THEY GAVE US GOOD FEEDBACK AND WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THE ORDINANCE EVER SINCE. SO NOW I'LL GO BACK OVER THE DRAFT ORDINANCE. I'M NOT GOING TO HIGHLIGHT EVERYTHING THAT'S IN THE ORDINANCE. I WANT TO HIGHLIGHT THE IMPORTANT THINGS AS WE SEE THEM. FIRST AND FOREMOST IS APPLICABILITY. THE STATE DOES NOT ALLOW US TO REGULATE THE LIQUOR INDUSTRY. THERE MAY BE SOME ESTABLISHMENTS IN SCOTTSDALE THAT DON'T SERVE ALCOHOL OR DO THINGS THAT ACT LIKE A BAR BUT THERE MAY BE HIGHER OCCUPANCY OR WHERE WE KNOW THERE ARE PERCEIVED SECURITY CONCERNS WHERE WE THINK THIS ORDINANCE WOULD BE HELPFUL. HOW WE WHITTLED IT DOWN, THIS ARTICLE IS APPLICABLE, TO BUSINESSES CLASSIFIED AS AN ASSEMBLY GROUP A-2, A-3 OR A-4 OCCUPANCY. WE'RE TALKING RESTAURANTS, SWIMMING POOLS, BASICALLY OUR LARGER PUBLIC GATHERING PLACES. ANYTHING THAT HAS AN OCCUPANCY OF OVER 50 PEOPLE. THIS ORDINANCE WOULDN'T AFFECT A BAR, RESTAURANT OR NIGHTCLUB WITH LESS THAN 50 PEOPLE. SO JUST THE HIGHER OCCUPANCY IS WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO FOCUS ON. AND SO THEY HAVE TO MEET ONE OF THESE OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENTS, THEY HAVE TO DO ONE OF THE FOLLOWING THINGS: AGE VERIFICATION AT THE DOOR, IF YOU PROVIDE LIVE ENTERTAINMENT, IF YOU PROVIDE A D.J., ADULT SERVICES, A TEEN DANCE CENTER DOWN THE STREET, AFTERLIFE. THEY DON'T SERVE LIQUOR BUT THEY HAVE DJS. THAT'S SOMETHING WE WANTED TO INCORPORATE INTO THIS ORDINANCE. AND OR UTILIZE A PROMOTER. WE CAN TALK ABOUT THAT LATER IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

[Time: 00:44:41]

Mayor Lane: HOLD ON ONE SECOND, WE DO HAVE A QUESTION, COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: ARE WE REGULATING CHURCHES HERE. IS THAT THE INTENT BECAUSE I CAN SEE THEM MEETING THE OCCUPANCY REQUIREMENT.

J.P. Twist: WE DO HAVE AN EXCEPTION FOR CHURCHES AND NONPROFITS. IF YOU LOOK UNDER THE DEFINITION OF BUSINESS ON THE ORDINANCE WHICH IS ON THE FRONT PAGE. CORPORATION

LIABILITY, PARTNERSHIP, CORPORATION, PERSON, A BUSINESS DOES NOT MEAN A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY OR A NONPROFIT FOR CHARITABLE OR RELIGIOUS PURPOSES. WE WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THAT TOO.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, MR. TWIST CONTINUE.

J.P. Twist: THE NEXT PART OF THE ORDINANCE. PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN, REQUIRED AND NONTRANSFERABLE. IT SHOULD BE UNLAWFUL FOR ANY BUSINESS REQUIRED TO HAVE A SAFETY PLAN AND WORK WITHOUT IT. THAT'S OBVIOUSLY IMPORTANT FOR ONE REASON. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT EVERYBODY HAS TO HAVE ONE OF THESE PLANS. ANOTHER THING IF FOR SOME REASON THE CHIEF OF POLICE DOES NOT TALK ABOUT THIS LATER, DECIDES TO REVOKE A PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN THEN THE BUSINESS IS NO LONGER ALLOWED TO OPERATE. SO ESSENTIALLY IT WOULD SHUT THEM DOWN. IF YOU FALL UNDER THE APPLICABILITY OF THIS YOU HAVE TO HAVE A SAFETY PLAN. APPROVED LICENSE, IS NOT TRANSFERABLE PERSON TO PERSON. IF YOU SELL YOUR BUSINESS YOU HAVE TO COME IN AND GET A NEW PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN. IT DOESN'T GO WITH THE LAND. SO IF I SELL THE BUSINESS TO LUIS OR HE SELLS IT TO ME, EVEN IF IT MAY BE THE SAME SAFETY PLAN, IT'S NOT MINE. I HAVE TO COME IN, RE-ENGAGE WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND TALK ABOUT THE ESTABLISHMENT. IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR US TO TALK ABOUT THIS. AND IF THE COUNTY WERE TO CITE THIS, YOU WOULD HAVE TO INCLUDE BUILDING INFORMATION, PEAK HOURS, EXITS, STAIRWAYS ANYTHING WE THINK IS IMPORTANT. COPY OF A FLOOR PLAN AND CITY APPROVED OCCUPANCY LIMITS. SAFETY CONDITIONS. AGAIN WHERE THE EXITS, ANYTHING THAT THE POLICE OR FIRE OR BUSINESS OWNER THINKS IT'S A SAFETY ISSUE WE WANT TO OPEN UP THAT DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE BUSINESS OWNER AND THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE.

[Time: 00:47:14]

PATRON PARKING, INGRESS AND EGRESS, NOT ALL OF OUR ESTABLISHMENTS HAVE PARKING LOTS ESPECIALLY DOWNTOWN. BUT WE DO KNOW THAT SOME AROUND AND NORTH HAVE PARKING LOTS. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT AT CLOSING TIME WHEN EVERYBODY COMES OUT. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT ESTABLISHMENT HAS AN UNDERSTANDING AND COMMAND OF WHAT HAPPENS THAT THE TIME WHEN EVERYBODY COMES OUT AT THE PARKING LOT ALL AT ONCE. LISTING MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL. STAFF INCLUDES A NUMBER OF SECURITY AND OPERATIONS PERSONNEL AND CONTACT PERSON. SO ON AND SO ON. EVACUATION ROUTES AND ANY ADDITIONAL CRITERIA AS DEVELOPED BY THE CHIEF OF POLICE. SO THAT IS THOSE ARE THE BASICS OF WHEN YOU COME IN AS AN APPLICANT TO FILL OUT THE FORM AND TO SAY, THIS IS WHAT YOU HAVE TO PROVIDE ON FORMS TO THE CITY. SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS, THESE ARE THE SORT OF MEAT AND POTATOES I GUESS OF THE ORDINANCE. THESE NEXT FEW, THESE ARE THE ONES THAT WE HAD THE MOST DIALOGUE WHEN WE HAD OUR PUBLIC OUTREACH AND ONES WERE CERTAINLY EXCITED TO HEAR ANY FEED BACK YOU MAY HAVE. THE FIRST ONE, SETTING A RATIO. REMEMBER WE WENT BACK TO THE SECURITY MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION PLAN AT NO TIME SET A RATIO. WE WANT TO KNOW HOW MANY OFFICERS WE WILL HAVE AT THIS TIME BUT WE WILL NOT SET A MINIMUM. ONE SECURITY PERSONNEL FOR 50 PATRONS FOR THE FIRST 500. SO FOR THE FIRST 500 PATRONS YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ONE CIVILIAN OFFICER PER 50. SO IF YOU HAD 500 PEOPLE IN YOUR ESTABLISHMENT YOU HAVE TO HAVE 10 SECURITY CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICERS MANAGING THE PROPERTY. ANYTHING OVER 500, THE RATIO MOVES FROM ONE TO 75. AND THIS NEXT PART HERE, IN THE ALTERNATIVE FOR BUSINESSES WERE 60% TOTAL GROSS SALES FOR FOOD. SALES UPON PRESENTATION OF CHIEF OF POLICE MAY

AUTHORIZE THE BUSINESS TO RELEASE ONE POLICE OFFICER FOR 75. WE DID A COUPLE OF RESTAURANT OWNERS THAT SAYS I HAVE A SERIES 12, I'M A RESTAURANT BUT I CHOOSE AT 10:00 OR 12:00 TO CARD AT THE DOOR. I DON'T DO IT FOR ANY REASON BUT THAT I JUST CHOOSE TO HAVE PEOPLE OVER 21. I DON'T THINK IT WAS FAIR FOR ME TO HAVE TO HAVE, IF I HAD 200 PEOPLE IN THERE TO HAVE TO HAVE FOUR SECURITY OFFICERS IF THEY'RE JUST IN HAVING DINNER. WE ADDED THAT LITTLE EXEMPTION THERE. THEY'RE STILL GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE SECURITY PERSONNEL BUT NOT AT THE HIGHEST RATIO AS OUR TRUE BARS AND NIGHT CLUBS AND HIGH OCCUPANCY PLACES. B, THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL ATTEND TRAINING WITHIN 60 DAYS OF HIRE. WE'LL HAVE PD AND FD DEPARTMENTS TALK ABOUT THE TRAINING THEY PUT TOGETHER. THAT TRAINING IS GOING TO GO OVER COMPLIANCE, CROWD MANAGEMENT, DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES, AND THE CHIEF CAN GO OVER THAT LATER. THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL REVIEW AND BE FAMILIAR WITH THE SAFETY PLAN FOR THEIR EMPLOYING BUSINESS. WHEN YOU GET HIRED, IF YOU'RE A CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICER YOU HAVE TO SIT DOWN AND YOU HAVE TO GO OVER THE SECURITY PLAN. YOU HAVE TO BE MADE FAMILIAR WITH IT. WE FIGURED THAT WAS VERY IMPORTANT. WE KNOW THAT SECURITY OFFICERS DON'T TEND TO STAY ON THE JOB VERY LONG. SO IT'S NOT UNUSUAL TO THINK THAT IN THE CURRENT ENVIRONMENT, NEW EMPLOYEES MAY NOT BE AWARE OF THEIR ESTABLISHMENT'S S.M.O. PLANS THEY HAVE TODAY. SO WE WANT TO FIGURE OUT A WAY, HOW DO WE CREATE AN AWARENESS OF ALL THE SECURITY MEASURES THAT WE'VE TAKEN. SO WE ADDED THAT. ANY NEW HIRE HAS TO BE MADE FAMILIAR WITH THE SECURITY PLAN. AND AGAIN THE SECURITY PLAN TALKS ABOUT YOU KNOW EVACUATION ROUTES, WHO THE MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL AND THE STUFF WE JUST WENT OVER A COUPLE OF PAGES AGO. SO SECTION D, THAT A BUSINESS WITH TWO OR MORE PUBLIC SAFETY EVENTS WITHIN ONE WEEK PERIOD OR TWO OR MORE PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENTS WITHIN A ONE MONTH PERIOD BE REQUIRED TO RETAIN THE SERVICES OF A MINIMUM OF TWO OFF DUTY PEACE OFFICERS TO SUPPLEMENT EXISTING SECURITY PERSONNEL DURING PEAK TIMES FOR A MINIMUM PERIOD OF THREE MONTHS, OR UNTIL AT LEAST THREE CONSECUTIVE MONTHS HAVE GONE BY WITHOUT TWO OR MORE PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENTS. WHICHEVER PERIOD IS LONGER.

[Time: 00:51:55]

NOW THIS LAST PART HERE, IN THE ALTERNATIVE, BASED ON THE SIZE AND TYPE OF THE VENUE OCCUPANCY AND NATURE OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT, THE CHIEF OF POLICE MAY REDUCE THE REQUIREMENT ABOVE FROM TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS TO ONE. SO, I'M GOING TO SKIP AHEAD HERE AND GO TO THE DEFINITION OF PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT. SO THIS IS HOW WE DEFINE PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENTS. A LOT OF THIS IS TAKEN OUT OF THE STATES. WE MAKE IT MORE NARROWED OUT OF OUR FOCUS. IF CLASSIFIED AS A FELONY CONSISTING OF A BRAWL IN WHICH BODILY INJURIES ARE SUSTAINED BY ANY PERSON AND THAT SUCH INJURIES ARE VISIBLE TO ANY PERSON. OR AN INCIDENT IN WHICH A WEAPON IS BRANDISHED, DISPLAYED OR USED. I'M GOING TO JUMP BACK TO THIS SECTION RIGHT HERE. THIS IS THE SECOND PART OF THIS PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENT SUBSECTION THAT WE HAVE HERE. SO THAT A BUSINESS WITH ONE OR MORE PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENTS INVOLVING THE USE OR THREATENED USE OF A DEADLY WEAPON OR INSTRUMENT BE REQUIRED TO OBTAIN THE SERVICE OF TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS DURING PEAK TIMES, THE CHIEF COULD LESSEN THAT TO ONE. SO INCIDENTS ONE IN A WEEK OR THREE IN A MONTH. WE'RE NOT TALKING ABOUT TWO GUYS WHO HAD TOO MUCH TO DRINK AND DECIDED TO SHOVE EACH OTHER. REAL BRAWLS, THAT MAY NOT TOO FREQUENTLY. MAYBE BLOOD IS SPILLED, REAL FIGHTS. IF YOU HAVE TWO OR MORE IN A WEEK OR THREE IN A MONTH YOU'RE REQUIRED TO HIRE TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS. THE NEXT ONE, THERE YOU GO. SO THIS IS, THINK OF MARTINI RANCH, THAT A BUSINESS WITH ONE OR MORE

PUBLIC SAFETY INCIDENTS INVOLVING THE USE OR THREATENED USE. SO IF SOMEBODY PULLS A KNIFE OR SOMEBODY USES A KNIFE. SOMEBODY BREAKS A BOTTLE AND USES IT AS A THREATENING WEAPON. THIS ORDINANCE WOULD SAY, IT DOESN'T MATTER IF YOU ONLY HAD ONE OR NOT. ONE IS THE AUTOMATIC KICKER, YOU NOW HAVE TO HIRE TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS. FOR THE FIGHTS AND THE STUFF LESS WHERE WEAPONS AREN'T USED THEN IT'S TWO IN A WEEK OR THREE IN A MONTH. THE LAST PART OF THIS SECTION IS THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL WEARING APPROPRIATE STYLE SHIRT OR JACKET WITH THE WORD SECURITY ON IT. THIS IS ACTUALLY PART OF, DO YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

Mayor Lane: YES, EXCUSE ME. COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: THANK YOU, MAYOR. YOU TALKED ABOUT CIVILIAN OFFICERS, SECURITY OFFICER, OFF DUTY PEACE OFFICER. DO WE HAVE A DEFINITION OF WHAT THAT PERSON IS. WHAT ARE THEIR REQUIREMENTS.

J.P. Twist: YES, WE DO. A SECURITY OFFICER MEANS AN EMPLOYEE OF A BUSINESS THAT INCLUDES SECURITY AND SAFETY OF THE EMPLOYEES, PATRONS AND PREMISES OF A BUSINESS REGULATED BY THIS ARTICLE. SAFETY PERSONNEL MEANS, SECURITY OFFICERS AND MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL RESPONSIBLE FOR THE DAILY ACTIVITIES OF SECURITY OFFICERS. AND PEACE OFFICERS ARE, OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS, WHETHER IT BE SCOTTSDALE POLICE, MCSO, TEMPE, WHOEVER IS AVAILABLE FOR HIRE. THOSE ARE THE THREE DIFFERENT.

[Time: 00:55:32]

Councilman Robbins: SO THEN THEY ARE USED DIFFERENTLY.

J.P. Twist: YES.

Councilman Robbins: DEPENDING UPON THE LEVEL OF SECURITY NEEDED.

J.P. Twist: WELL, YES AND NO. YOU ARE ALWAYS REQUIRED TO HAVE UNDER THIS ORDINANCE TO HAVE CIVILIAN WHAT ARE WE CALLING THEM, CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICER. BUT IN THESE TWO INCIDENCES, D AND E TO SUPPLEMENT, LET'S SAY YOU HAD TWO OF THESE PUBLIC SAFETY INSTANCES, TWO BIG FIGHTS IN A WEEK. NOW WE'RE SAYING IN ADDITION TO YOUR CIVILIAN SECURITY PERSONNEL, YOU HAVE TO HAVE TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS WHETHER IT'S SCOTTSDALE, MCSO, WHOEVER IS AVAILABLE FOR HIRE.

Councilman Robbins: SO THE ONE FOR 50 COULD BE A REGULAR POLICE OFFICER.

J.P. Twist: YES THAT DOESN'T CHANGE. YOU NOW HAVE TO HIRE TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS FOR A PERIOD OF THREE MONTHS.

Councilman Robbins: OKAY, THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN, COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN.

Councilwoman Milhaven: I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT WHERE THE INCIDENT OCCURS. BECAUSE WHETHER IT HAPPENS INSIDE THE ESTABLISHMENT, IN THE PARKING LOT OR IN THE STREET. I UNDERSTAND THE STREET IS OUR DOMAIN. BUT WHAT ABOUT OUTSIDE IN THE PARKING LOT. CAN YOU BE CLEAR ABOUT WHERE THE INCIDENT WOULD HAVE TO OCCUR.

J.P. Twist: THAT'S A REALLY GOOD QUESTION. I'M GOING TO HAVE LUIS SANTAELLA, THE SENIOR ASSISTANT ATTORNEY COME UP WITH THAT.

Councilwoman Milhaven: MAYBE AS YOU MOVE FORWARD REQUIRE A CLARIFICATION.

J.P. Twist: I'M SURE WE HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT.

Senior Assistant City Attorney Luis Santaella: THE WAY WE INTERPRET THE ORDINANCE, ANY WHERE ON THEIR PROPERTY. THE PARKING LOT IS PART OF THEIR PROPERTY WE WOULD CONSIDER THAT AS PART OF THE BUSINESS.

Councilwoman Milhaven: THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN. CONTINUE MR. TWIST.

[Time: 00:57:21]

J.P. Twist: SO THE LAST PART OF THIS SPECIAL REQUIREMENT SECTION, THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL WEAR APPROPRIATE STYLED SHIRT OR JACKET WITH THE WORD SECURITY ON IT. OBVIOUSLY THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE WANT PATRONS TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THE SECURITY PERSONNEL IN THE ESTABLISHMENT. AT THE SAME TIME WE ALSO WANT WHEN POLICE RESPOND TO AN INCIDENT SO THAT THEY CAN QUICKLY IDENTIFY WHO THE SECURITY PERSONNEL ARE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THAT ESTABLISHMENT. AND THIS IS SOMETHING THAT YOU WOULD ALSO FIND IN SECURITY MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION PLAN. DURATION AND RENEWAL. ONE PART THAT WE THOUGHT WAS DEFICIENT IN THE S.M.O. PLAN WAS THAT THERE WERE NO MANDATORY RESUBMITTALS. SO WE PUT IN EVERY TWO YEARS YOU HAVE TO RESUBMIT FOR A NEW PLAN. THAT'S IMPORTANT BECAUSE WE WANTED TO COME UP WITH MULTIPLE WAYS TO FOSTER A RELATIONSHIP AND DIALOGUE BETWEEN THE BAR OWNERS, MANAGEMENT PERSONNEL AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND FIRE DEPARTMENT. THIS IS ONE WAY THAT WE ACCOMPLISH THAT, EVERY TWO YEARS THEY HAVE TO COME IN AND TALK TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, TALK TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT ABOUT IF ANYTHING HAS CHANGED. SO THAT'S WHY WE PUT THAT IN THERE. INFORMATION UPDATE, ALL BUSINESSES REQUIRED TO HAVE A PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN. WILL GET WRITTEN NOTICE OF ANY MATERIAL CHANGES. SO LET'S SAY YOUR SECURITY MANAGER DECIDED TO MOVE ON TO A DIFFERENT JOB. AND IF YOU'RE A MEMBER ON YOUR PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN YOU HAVE TO PUT WHO YOUR SECURITY MANAGER IS. YOU WOULD BE REQUIRED TO SUBMIT THE NEW PERSON'S NAME AS A PART OF YOUR UPDATED PLAN. OTHER CHANGES MIGHT BE IF YOU ADDED EXITS OR CLOSED EXITS, THESE ARE ALL SUBSTANTIAL THINGS WE WOULD WANT TO KNOW ABOUT. THIS IS ANOTHER WAY OF US CONTINUING THAT RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE BUSINESS OWNER, THEIR SECURITY PERSONNEL AND THE SCOTTSDALE POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT. ENFORCEMENT, THE NEXT COUPLE OF SLIDES ARE THE ENFORCEMENT PART OF THIS.

Mayor Lane: EXCUSE ME, MR. TWIST, COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: SO, IF WE PASS THIS OR SOMETHING LIKE THIS, DO WE HAVE ENOUGH STAFF TO HANDLE ALL THIS. HOW MANY BARS DOES THIS ACTUALLY AFFECT AND WHAT IF THERE'S A BACKLOG AND HOW MUCH TIME ARE WE GOING TO GIVE THEM TO COMPLY.

J.P. Twist: RIGHT NOW I THINK THERE ARE ABOUT 100 ESTABLISHMENTS THAT ARE AFFECTED BY THIS. IT'S NOT AN EXACT NUMBER AS WE CONTINUE TO WORK ON APPLICABILITY. WE WOULD GIVE 60 DAYS IF YOU REMEMBER GOING BACK TO, LET ME SEE IF I CAN FIND IT HERE. MUST ATTEND TRAINING WITHIN 60 DAYS. SO THAT MAY HELP US STAGGER IT. IF THE ORDINANCE WENT INTO EFFECT TODAY. THERE WOULD BE ENOUGH TIME FOR US TO CONTINUE THE TRAINING AND TO MAKE SURE THAT ESTABLISHMENTS WOULD, THERE WOULD BE ENOUGH TIME FOR US TO DO THIS BUT IT WOULD BE A BURDEN. BUT I THINK ONCE WE GOT IT ALL PASSED. ONCE WE GOT THEM ALL THROUGH THE HOPPER MOVING FORWARD IT WOULD PROBABLY BE EASIER. DETECTIVE MILLER IS HERE TO TALK ABOUT THAT WHEN CHIEF COCCA TALKS ABOUT THAT I'M SURE HE CAN ANSWER THAT TOO.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. VICE MAYOR KLAPP.

[Time: 01:00:43]

Vice Mayor Klapp: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT, THIS BASICALLY APPLIES TO BUSINESSES THAT HAVE A FACILITY. HOW WOULD THIS APPLY TO SPECIAL EVENTS IF A, IF SOMEONE WANTS TO COME IN AND WE'RE AT A SPOT IN THE PARK FOR EXAMPLE. FOR SOMETHING, HOW WILL YOU REQUIRE THEM TO HAVE THIS SAME SORT OF SAFETY PLAN AS YOU DO FOR PHYSICAL BUSINESSES?

J.P. Twist: YEAH, THANK YOU. MAYOR LANE AND VICE MAYOR KLAPP. WE DO HAVE AN EXEMPTION FOR SPECIAL EVENTS. AS YOU KNOW, SPECIAL EVENTS GO THROUGH THEIR ENTIRE PROCESS. WHEN YOU COME IN FOR YOUR SPECIAL EVENT APPLICATION YOU SIT DOWN WITH, HOWEVER MANY CITY STAFFERS ARE THERE, IT'S A PRETTY BIG COMMITTEE, POLICE IS REPRESENTED THERE AND THEY TALK ABOUT ANY SAFETY CONCERNS THAT MAY EXIST. I'VE BEEN IN A MEETING WHERE THEY SAY, WE THINK YOU SHOULD HAVE A COUPLE OF OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS FOR YOUR. THERE'S A SET PROCESS WHERE THERE'S A COMMITTEE WHERE FOLKS ARE LOOKING AT THIS CONSTANTLY. WE WERE JUST TRYING TO FOCUS ON BRICK AND MORTAR. THERE IS AN EXEMPTION IN THE ORDINANCE.

Vice Mayor Klapp: I REALIZE THAT WE DO REQUIRE SOME KIND OF SAFETY PLAN. BUT WILL THERE BE SOME DOCUMENT THAT IS SIMILAR TO WHAT WE'RE ASKING FOR OUR BRICK AND MORTAR BUSINESSES TO FOLLOW THAT WOULD BE USED TO MAKE SURE THAT THE SPECIAL EVENTS PEOPLE KNOW THAT THERE ARE CERTAIN REQUIREMENTS, I AM SURE YOU'RE FOLLOWING A RATHER INFORMAL PROCESS BEFORE. OR ARE YOU GOING TO FORMALIZE IT IN ANY WAY.

J.P. Twist: VICE MAYOR KLAPP. UNDER THE SPECIAL EVENTS CURRENTLY, WE WOULDN'T SAY THAT YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE A RATIO OF ONE FOR 50. OR YOU WOULD HAVE TO HAVE TWO OFF DUTY POLICE OFFICERS. THAT'S NOT CURRENTLY, THE ORDINANCE DOESN'T ADDRESS THAT. WE HAVE A SPECIFIC EXEMPTION FOR SPECIAL EVENTS. CERTAINLY SOMETHING WE CAN TAKE BACK AND LOOK AT AND MEET WITH OUR SPECIAL EVENTS FOLKS AND GET A WAY OF HANDLING THIS. BUT I WILL MAKE A NOTE OF THIS.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU VICE MAYOR. DO YOU WANT TO CONTINUE. EXCUSE ME, WE DO HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION, COUNCILWOMAN KORTE.

Councilmember Korte: THERE WAS A BREAK IN YOUR PRESENTATION SO HERE WE GO. THERE'S QUITE A BIT OF ADMINISTRATION AND OVERSIGHT FOR THIS PUBLIC SAFETY PLANNED ORDINANCE. I ASSUME THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL BE THE OVERSIGHT ENTITY. HOW ARE WE GOING TO COVER THE COST OF ALL OF THAT?

J.P. Twist: CHIEF, DO YOU WANT TO TAKE THAT ONE?

Assistant Chief John Cocca: MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBER KORTE. CURRENTLY WE'RE NOT PLANNING TO CHARGE FOR THIS TRAINING, THE WORK THAT WILL BE PRESENTED TO US WILL BE SPREAD OUT AMONG FIVE EMPLOYEES. WE HAVE THEM ALL TRAINED UP READY TO GO. WE ARE HOPING TO AUTOMATE JUST ABOUT EVERYTHING RELATED TO THIS ORDINANCE SPECIFICALLY THE PLAN. SO IT'S EASY TO READ, IT'S NOT HARD COPY THAT CAN BE PASSED BY COMPUTER TO EVERYBODY THAT NEEDS TO READ IT AND HAVE APPROVALS.

[Time: 01:04:03]

Councilmember Korte: THANK YOU. AND THE SECOND QUESTION I HAVE FOR RIGHT NOW. WHEN WE TALK ABOUT PEACE OFFICERS, IS THERE ANY STIPULATION REGARDING OFF DUTY SCOTTSDALE POLICE, PEACE OFFICERS BEING CALLED UPON OR IS IT OPEN TO MARICOPA COUNTY SHERIFF'S OFFICE PERSONNEL?

J.P. Twist: YEAH, THANK YOU COUNCILMEMBER KORTE. WE ARE NOT LEGALLY ALLOWED TO STIPULATE THAT THERE HAS TO BE SCOTTDALES OFFICERS. WE HAVE TO OPEN IT UP TO WHATEVER ORGANIZATION THAT BUSINESS OWNER WANTS TO HIRE. WHETHER IT'S TEMPE POLICE, WHOEVER. WE DON'T HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO DO THAT. SO.

Councilmember Korte: THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN KORTE. MR. TWIST IF YOU WANT TO CONTINUE. YOU KNOW, AS LONG AS WE HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF A BREAK AND YOU'RE GATHERING YOUR THOUGHTS.

J.P. Twist: I WAS RIGHT THERE. I WAS RIGHT THERE.

Mayor Lane: MAYBE WE DIDN'T WANT THAT PAUSE TO LAST ANY LONGER. ONE OF THE THINGS I WANTED TO MENTION IS ONE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT FORWARD. THAT IS THAT I THINK THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS INDICATED TO US IN THE COURSE OF CONVERSATIONS THAT THIS ORDINANCE HAS A GREAT ABILITY TO CLARIFY NOT ONLY ENHANCE THE PUBLIC SAFETY ORDINANCE OR FRANKLY THOSE ELEMENTS THAT WE WERE LOOKING FOR TO INCREASE PUBLIC SAFETY, BUT IT ALSO PROVIDES A GREATER TOOL FOR THEM WITH SOME CONSISTENCY. AS IT IS RIGHT NOW AS MR. TWIST TALKED ABOUT EARLIER THE S.M.O. PLAN AS WE TRIED TO CONSIDER THAT. THAT BY ITSELF WAS NOT GOING TO DO THE JOB. IT WAS A CUMBERSOME THING TO TRY TO MODIFY OR ADVANCE FORWARD IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT THIS IS PART OF A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT THAT

WILL GO WITH THE LAND. SO TRYING TO ENHANCE THOSE FEATURES WAS GOING TO BE DIFFICULT. SOMETHING THAT WE FOUND THE VARIATIONS THAT ARE CONTAINED WITHIN THE S.M.O. PLANS AS FAR AS PUBLIC SAFETY IS CONCERNED. NOT ONLY WERE THEY NOT MAYBE SUFFICIENT ENOUGH AND DIRECTIVE ENOUGH, THEY VARIED. SO IN LAW ENFORCEMENT IT WAS DIFFICULT TO KEEP TRACK OF THE VARIOUS DIFFERENT PLANS THAT WERE OUT THERE AND FOR THEIR, IN HOPES TO HAVE ADHERENCE TO IT. SO WE SEE THIS AND AT LEAST THE SENSE HAS BEEN THAT THIS IS A GREATER TOOL MAYBE TOWARD EFFICIENCY IN APPLICATION AND ENFORCEMENT AND ADHERENCE. SO WE'RE LOOKING I THINK TO, AT LEAST A HOPEFUL POINT THAT THIS SHOULD BE SOMETIMES BETTER USE OF PERSONNEL THAT ARE ALREADY IN PLACE IN ORDER TO FACILITATE GREATER SAFETY. JUST A COMMENT. NOT A QUESTION FOR YOU OR ANYONE ELSE.

J.P. Twist: THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, CODE ENFORCEMENT, PLANNING DEPARTMENT, LEGAL DEPARTMENT HAVE ALL BEEN INTERGAL IN THE DRAFTING OF THIS. THIS ISN'T NECESSARILY JUST A BUNCH OF IDEAS THAT WE'RE HOPING THEY LIKE. THEY'VE BEEN A PART OF THIS PROCESS, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN A PART OF THIS PROCESS SO IT REALLY REFLECTS A LOT OF WHAT THEIR HOPES ARE FOR THEIR CONTINUED PUBLIC SAFETY. SO. ENFORCEMENT, NEXT COUPLE OF SLIDES ARE THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE TEETH OF THE ORDINANCE. SUBSECTION EIGHT HERE UNDER REVOCATION AND GROUNDS. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AN IMPROVED PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN ON TWO OR MORE OCCASIONS INSTITUTE GROUNDS FOR REVOCATION. LET'S SAY AN INSPECTOR CAME IN. YOU HAVE 500 PEOPLE HERE BUT YOU ONLY HAVE TWO CIVILIAN SECURITY OFFICERS. THAT'S A VIOLATION. OR I NOTICED THOSE CIVILIAN OFFICERS DON'T HAVE LABELED SHIRTS. THAT WOULD BE GROUND FOR A VIOLATION. SO JUST TWO IN A YEAR WILL CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF THE PLAN. IF A BUSINESS LOSES ITS PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN THEN THEY ARE NOT ALLOWED TO OPERATE. SO THE REVOCATION OF THIS WOULD ESSENTIALLY CLOSE THE BUSINESS. THE SECOND PART OF THIS, WELL THE CHIEF OF POLICE IS RESPONSIBLE FOR INITIATING REVOCATION SO THAT'S THE SECOND PART OF THAT. ANY OTHER, THE COUNCIL PUTS IT INTO THE HANDS OF THE PROFESSIONALS WHO DEAL WITH THESE ESTABLISHMENTS ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS. ON-SITE RECORDS REQUIREMENT INSPECTIONS, THE FIRST SECTION HERE, EACH BUSINESS IS REQUIRED TO HAVE AN APPROVED PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN MAINTAINED ON-SITE AND A CURRENT COPY OF THE PLAN. PROOF THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL HAVE COMPLETED THE TRAINING REQUIRED BY THE ARTICLE. AND PROOF THAT ALL SECURITY PERSONNEL HAVE REVIEWED THE PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN.

[Time: 01:09:08]

Mayor Lane: EXCUSE ME, AGAIN MR. TWIST WE HAVE A QUESTION FROM COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS.

Councilmember Phillips: THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR THE LAW GUY. BUT IF THE CHIEF OF POLICE SAYS THERE'S TWO VIOLATIONS OF THE PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN THEY PULL IT. WHAT ABOUT THE CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. CAN THE GUY JUST TAKE US TO COURT, I HAVE THE C.U.P. YOU CAN'T TELL ME IN BUSINESS BECAUSE.

City Attorney Bruce Washburn: COUNCIL MEMBER PHILLIPS, THE C.U.P. REQUIREMENT AND THE SAFETY PLAN WORK SEPARATELY FROM EACH OTHER. THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE THE C.U.P. WILL NOT ALTER THE EFFECTS OF THE LAW WITH RESPECT TO THE SECURITY PLAN.

Councilmember Phillips: WELL HE'S SAYING THAT, IF YOU DON'T, IF YOU VIOLATE THIS, THAT YOU CAN TAKE AWAY THE SECURITY PLAN WHICH MEANS YOU'RE OUT OF BUSINESS BUT YOU'RE NOT OUT OF BUSINESS BECAUSE YOU HAVE A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT UNLESS WE PULL IT.

Bruce Washburn: JUST LIKE MOST BUSINESSES ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE TRANSACTION PRIVILEGE TAX AND THEY'RE REQUIRED TO HAVE LIQUOR LICENSES, NOT MANY OF THEM ARE. IN ADDITION TO C.U.P.s THE ABSENCE OF ANY ONE OF THOSE PROHIBITS THE PERSON FROM CONTINUING TO CONDUCT THE BUSINESS. SO IT'S NOT LIKE ONE OF THEM IS TRUMPS ALL THE OTHERS. THEY'RE EACH INDEPENDENT REQUIREMENTS.

Councilmember Phillips: OKAY.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. MR.TWIST CONTINUE.

[Time: 01:10:49]

J.P. Twist: ALL RIGHT SO ON-SITE INSPECTION. EACH BUSINESS IS REQUIRED TO HAVE AN APPROVED PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN, SHALL MAINTAIN ON SITE A CURRENT COPY OF THE PLAN, PROOF THAT ALL PERSONNEL HAVE COMPLETED THE TRAINING AND ALL PERSONNEL HAVE REVIEWED THE SAFETY PLAN. THE SECOND PART OF THIS THAT A PREMISES OF A BUSINESS REQUIRED TO HAVE A SECURITY AND SAFETY PLAN SHALL BE OPEN TO INSPECTION. SO THE FIRST PART OF THIS SAYS, YOU ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE THESE THINGS UPON INSPECTION SO YOU HAVE TO SHOW THAT THEY ARE CURRENT. THIS SUBSECTION B SAYS THAT IF YOU ARE INSPECTED THAT YOU HAVE TO OPEN YOURSELF UP TO INSPECTION. IF WE DIDN'T HAVE SUBSECTION B, A BUSINESS OWNER COULD SAY IT'S GREAT YOU WANT TO INSPECT ME BUT YOU HAVE NO RIGHT TO INSPECT ME. YOU HAVE TO PROVE THAT YOU HAVE THESE THINGS AND THAT THEY ARE IN COMPLIANCE AND UP TO DATE. THE SECOND PART IS IF YOU'RE INSPECTED YOU HAVE TO ALLOW US TO INSPECT YOU. ON THE THIRD PART PENALTIES, A FIRST VIOLATION OF THIS ARTICLE IS A CIVIL OFFENSE, SHALL CARRY A FINE OF \$500. A SECOND VIOLATION OF THIS ARTICLE SHOULD BE A CLASS ONE MISDEMEANOR AND HAVE A FINE OF NOT LESS THAN \$1,000. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT IF YOU WERE IN VIOLATION FOR NOT HAVING THE PROPER RATIO. YOU WOULD BE FINED \$500 AND LET'S SAY YOUR SECURITY PERSONNEL SHOWED UP AND DIDN'T HAVE A SECURITY SHIRT ON YOU WOULD BE FINED \$1,000 ON TOP OF THAT. CONFLICTING PROVISIONS. THIS MAY ACTUALLY ADDRESS COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS' CONCERNS REGARD C.U.P. I WILL HAVE LUIS COME UP AND TALK ABOUT THAT. GETS A LITTLE TRICKY.

Luis Santaella: GOOD EVENING AGAIN MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. THIS PROVISION SIMPLY STATES THAT IF THERE'S A CONFLICT BETWEEN THE EXISTING SECURITY PLAN AND PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN, WHICHEVER PLAN HAS A HIGHER PUBLIC SAFETY ELEMENT WILL COVER. IF THE COUNCIL WERE TO PASS THIS PARTICULAR ORDINANCE, THE SECURITY AND MAINTENANCE OPERATION PLANS THAT RUN WITH THE C.U.P. WILL STILL BE RELEVANT BECAUSE THEY COVER CERTAIN THINGS THAT THE PUBLIC SAFETY PLANS DON'T COVER. FOR EXAMPLE THE REQUIREMENT TO PICK UP TRASH IN A PARKING LOT OR THE REQUIREMENT TO HAVE THE WINDOWS CLOSED WHILE MUSIC IS PLAYED. THERE S STILL SOME RELEVANCY TO THOSE BUT THIS PROVISION IS SIMPLY TO MAKE SURE THAT FOR EXAMPLE IF FOR SOME REASON THE SECURITY MAINTENANCE PLAN HAD A RATIO OF ONE SECURITY GUARD FOR EVERY 25PATRONS. THAT WOULD CONTROL VERSUS THE NEW PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN. UNLIKELY TO HAPPEN BUT IT'S A POSSIBILITY.

Mayor Lane: IF I MIGHT JUST ADD TO THAT TOO. THE S.M.O. IS INCLUSIVE OF A LOT OF DIFFERENT ELEMENTS OTHER THAN JUST THE SAFETY PLAN. IT DOES HAVE RELEVANCE IN A LOT OF DIFFERENT AREAS. THAT COMPONENT PART OF IT, THE SAFETY PLAN, WOULD GO TO THE STRONGER, WHICH IS THE ORDINANCE. MOVING FORWARD, THE ORDINANCE WILL BE REFERRED TO IN THE S.M.O. VERSUS ANYTHING ELSE. IT WILL TAKE THE PLACE OF ANY SPECIFIC AND UNIQUE SECURITY PLAN THAT IN THE PAST HAVE APPLIED TO EACH AND EVERY OPERATOR. THIS WOULD BE A STANDARDIZATION OF IT AND IT WOULD BE INCORPORATED WITHIN THE C.U.P. REQUIREMENTS. COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: THANK YOU, SO IS THE THOUGHT FROM HERE ON OUT WHEN IF WE DO A C.U.P. FOR A BAR, IS THAT GOING TO CHANGE OUR REQUIREMENTS. I KNOW THIS IS GOING TO BE SEPARATE AND APART BUT FOR THOSE SPECIFIC ESTABLISHMENTS THAT THIS AFFECTS AND THEY NEED A C.U.P. TO OPERATE WOULDN'T THAT CHANGE HOW WE DEFINE THE C.U.P. FOR THOSE BUSINESSES.

J.P. Twist: MY UNDERSTANDING IS NO. SO NOW IF YOU WERE TO COME IN AS AN APPLICANT FOR A C.U. P. AS A PART OF THAT YOU WOULD FILL OUT YOUR S.M.O. PLAN AND SUBMIT IT. IF THIS ORDINANCE WERE PASSED, YOU HAVE TO SHOW THAT YOU HAVE COMPLETED YOUR SAFETY PLAN BEFORE YOU CONTINUE THE PROCESS. BEFORE YOU CAN BE ISSUED LIKE A PERMIT YOU HAVE TO SHOW THAT YOU HAVE A PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN. SO NOT NECESSARILY AFFECT EACH OTHER IN THAT WAY. DOES THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION?

[Time: 01:15:26]

Councilman Robbins: MAYBE MR. WASHBURN CAN TAKE A STAB AT IT.

Bruce Washburn: IN ORDER TO CHANGE THE C.U.P. REQUIREMENT, WE WOULD HAVE TO AMEND THE ZONING ORDINANCE. NOT TO SAY THAT THERE WOULD BE ANY INTERPLAY BETWEEN THE TWO BUT THE C.U.P. REQUIREMENT WOULD BE IN PLACE AS LONG AS THE ORDINANCE IS THERE.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. MR.TWIST.

J.P. Twist: TRAINING SO, IF YOU REMEMBER ONE OF THE PROVISIONS IS THAT ALL SECURITY CIVILIAN SECURITY PERSONNEL HAVE TO GO THROUGH MANDATORY TRAINING EITHER BY A PRIVATE ENTITY OR THE SCOTTSDALE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THAT TRAINING IS GOING TO COVER LIQUOR LAW, CROWD MANAGEMENT, DE-ESCALATION TECHNIQUES. THIS IS WHAT OUR INTENT AND GOALS WERE. SO CHIEF COCCA IS GOING TO COME UP AND TALK ABOUT THE P.D. ASPECT OF THE TRAINING AND MARK ZIMMERMAN FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IS GOING TO COME UP AND TALK ABOUT THEIR PART OF THE TRAINING AS WELL.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, MR. TWIST. AND CHIEF COCCA.

Chief Cocca: MAYOR, MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. AS YOU CAN SEE, THE DEPARTMENT'S GOAL IS TO DEVELOP RELATIONSHIPS. THIS IS A 3-HOUR COURSE. 90 MINUTES OF IT WILL BE DONE BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE SECOND 90 MINUTES WILL BE DONE BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. WE WILL BE COVERING LEGAL ISSUES. EMPLOYERS HIRE SECURITY OFFICERS TO REDUCE THEIR LIABILITY AND INCREASE OVERALL SAFETY. COWORKERS AND PATRONS RELY ON SECURITY STAFF OR MANAGEMENT

TO MAINTAIN A SAFE ENVIRONMENT. SECURITY MUST MAINTAIN THEIR WORKING KNOWLEDGE OF LIQUOR LAWS AND CRIMINAL LAWS SO THAT THEY CAN HELP IMPROVE THE SAFETY AND SECURITY OF THEIR ESTABLISHMENT. THE TRAINING WILL ALSO INCREASE PATRON SAFETY BY TEACHING THEM HOW TO DEAL WITH INTOXICATED OR VIOLENT PATRONS, PATRONS EXPERIENCING MEDICAL ISSUES. AND RECOGNIZING AND COMBATTING OPPORTUNITIES FOR OTHER CRIMES SUCH AS DRUGS, PROSTITUTION AND THEFT. ONE OF THE MAJOR ISSUES IS TO INCREASE LIQUOR LAW COMPLIANCE. THIS SEEMS TO STEM, MOST OF THE PROBLEMS WE HAD WITH THE LIQUOR LAWS OR NOT COMPLYING WITH THE LIQUOR LAWS. GIVE THEM UPDATES ON HOW TO DEAL WITH PATRONS WALKING OUT WITH DRINKS, UNDERAGED PATRONS, OVERSERVICE WHICH IS ONE OF THE MAJOR PROBLEMS THAT WE HAVE. AND UNFORTUNATELY SOMETIMES THERE ARE EMPLOYEES THAT ARE DRINKING ON DUTY WHICH ALSO CAUSES PROBLEMS. WE WILL COVER CRIMINAL LAW, MOSTLY THOSE THAT AFFECT LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENTS THAT WE DEAL WITH AS A POLICE DEPARTMENT. MOST OF THOSE ARE ASSAULTS, THEFTS, DAMAGES AND SO FORTH. WE ALSO WANT TO IMPROVE ON RISK REDUCTION TO HELP MITIGATE LAWSUITS WHETHER IT IS THE LIQUOR ESTABLISHMENT ITSELF OR THE CITY. WE WANT TO TRAIN THEM ON HOW TO, WHAT WE WOULD RECOMMEND TO DETAIN PERSONS WHO HAVE COMMITTED CRIMINAL ACTS AGAINST OTHER PATRONS OR PROPERTY. HOW TO DISCOURAGE VIOLENCE, ENCOURAGE SAFE BEHAVIOR AND REPRESENT THE MERCHANTS SO THAT THEY CAN HAVE A WELL RUN ESTABLISHMENT. WE'LL BE CUSTOMER SERVICE ORIENTED AS FOCUSED ON FRIENDLY RULE OF LAW. TO MAINTAIN STAFF'S PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES. OUR HOPE IS THAT THEY NEVER HAVE TO GO HANDS ON WITH ANYONE. ALTHOUGH WE DO KNOW THAT'S UNREALISTIC BUT IT STILL HAPPENS TO BE A HOPE THAT WE HAVE. THE PREFERENCE WOULD BE THAT THEY CALL THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THAT WOULD BE COVERED IN THE TRAINING. LET US DEAL WITH THE PATRON AS THEY'RE HAVING A DIFFICULT TIME WITH THE PATRON INSIDE THE ESTABLISHMENT. THOSE ARE SOME OF WHAT WE WILL BE COVERING. AGAIN IT IS A 3-HOUR COURSE BETWEEN THE POLICE AND THE FIRE. ANY QUESTIONS OF ME.

[Time: 01:19:39]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, CHIEF. I THINK YOU COVERED IT VERY WELL.

J.P. Twist: NEXT UP IS DIVISION CHIEF.

Mayor Lane: OKAY I'M NOT SURE WHETHER COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS HAS A QUESTION OF THE CHIEF. COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS.

Councilman Phillips: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I DON'T KNOW WHERE THIS QUESTION IS GOING TO FALL INTO PLACE. BUT LET'S SAY A BOUNCER COMES IN AND WORKS FOR A FEW MONTHS AND GOES TO ANOTHER BAR AND WORKS FOR TWO MONTHS, IS THERE A WAY WE CAN STOP THEM FROM JUMPING AROUND BECAUSE THAT'S PROBABLY WHAT THEY DO. IT'S PROBABLY MORE OF A TWIST QUESTION I GUESS.

J.P. Twist: YEAH, WE'LL HAVE TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT. THE IDEA, THE TRAININGS AREN'T OFFERED EVERY WEEK OR EVERY MONTH. I BELIEVE IT'S QUARTERLY NOW THAT'S WHY, EVERY MONTH. OKAY EVERY MONTH NOW. SO IT SHOULDN'T BE A PROBLEM. BUT WE CAN CERTAINLY LOOK AT THAT IF YOUR SUGGESTION IS THAT WE LOOK AT LESS THAN 60 DAYS WE CAN CERTAINLY LOOK AT THAT. THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. THANK YOU CHIEF.

Fire Division Chief Mark Zimmerman: MAYOR, COUNCIL MEMBERS. WE'RE GOING TO GO OVER THE PUBLIC SAFETY FOR SCOTTSDALE OCCUPANCY INSPECTION TRAINING. IT'S BASICALLY A TRAINING AND CROWD MANAGEMENT PROGRAM FOR THE OWNERS AND THE SECURITY PERSONNEL THERE. THE CORE REQUIREMENTS FOR TRAINING HAVE BEEN ATTAINED FROM A CERTIFIED CLASS THAT WAS DELIVERED BY STATE MARSHALS WITH LOCAL EXPERIENCES AND MODIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF SCOTTSDALE. THE TRAINING DOES NOT INCLUDE AN EMS COMPONENT. AND EMS ISSUES FOR SPECIAL EVENT WHICH WAS BROUGHT UP A LITTLE BIT EARLIER ARE HANDLED ON A CASE BY CASE. WE KNOW HOW WE HANDLE THE LARGE SPECIAL EVENTS AND WE MOLD THOSE PROGRAMS TO COVER THOSE SPECIAL EVENTS. UPON COMPLETION, THEY WILL OBTAIN THE INFORMATION NEEDED. THIS WILL BE OBTAINED BY REDUCING THE CHANCE OF AN EMERGENCY INCIDENT, MINIMIZING THE IMPACT OF AN INCIDENT SHOULD IT OCCUR AND THE SAFE AND EFFECTIVE HANDLING OF AN EMERGENCY WHEN IT OCCURS. TRAINING WILL ALSO COVER COMMON INDUSTRY AND CITY CODE. FIRE CODE DEFINITIONS, TYPICAL FIRE CODE VIOLATIONS FOR NIGHT AND TARGET INSPECTIONS. REVIEW OF NATIONAL AND LOCAL HISTORIC INCIDENTS AND OUTCOMES TO SHOW THEM HOW THE FIRE CODES HAVE CHANGED BY INCIDENTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED THROUGHOUT TIME. MAJOR FIRES, MAJOR INCIDENTS WHETHER IT BE A FIRE OR PANIC SITUATION. THE OVERVIEW OF FIRE DEVICES AND SYSTEMS. SPRINKLER SYSTEMS, FIRE SYSTEMS, EXITS THAT TYPE OF THING. INTEREST IS TO OBVIOUSLY KEEP THE FIRE AND EMS SIDE FOR THE EMERGENCY SERVICES SIDE, THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND HAVE THE SECURITY PERSONNEL MANAGE THE EXITING THE AISLE WAYS, THE OCCUPANCY LOAD AND MANAGE THE THINGS THAT WE DO ON A REGULAR BASIS. ANY QUESTIONS.

[Time: 01:23:16]

Mayor Lane: I THINK WE HAVE IT COVERED. I HAVE NO QUESTIONS HERE, SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WOULD JUST SAY AT THIS POINT TOO THAT THE OBVIOUS, AND CERTAINLY HAS BEEN THROUGHOUT THE PROCESS THAT ALL THE ATTENDING PARTICIPANTS IN THIS PROCESS HAVE REALLY ALL LENT A GREAT DEAL TO A HOLISTIC APPROACH TO PUBLIC SAFETY IN OUR CITY. SO I THINK IT'S A REALLY, FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, CERTAINLY AN IMMEDIATE INVOLVEMENT. WE MADE A TRANSITION WITH THE S.M.O. HAVING EVERYONE AT THE TABLE TO BE ABLE TO DISCUSS IN A PROFESSIONAL KIND OF WAY HOW WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THIS FROM A FIRE STANDPOINT AND AS WELL AS LAW ENFORCEMENT. THIS ALL WORKING TOGETHER WITH THE SECURITY PERSONNEL AND ITS ESTABLISHMENTS. I THINK IT'S A POSITIVE REFLECTION ON HOW WE OPERATE IN THIS CITY IN A VERY THOROUGH AND THOUGHTFUL WAY. AND ANY IMPLEMENTATION IS. CERTAINLY PART OF THIS PROGRAM IS TO IDENTIFY THOSE TROUBLED AREAS. NOT TO PENALIZE. NOT TO DISCOURAGE, BUT TO IDENTIFY AND FOCUS ON THOSE OPERATIONS THAT MAY BE CAUSING PROBLEMS OR MAY BE HAVING PROBLEMS AND ATTEND TO THOSE. THAT'S WHAT I THINK WE KNOW WE HAVE IN THIS PROGRAM AND I THINK IT'S OF GREAT CREDIT TO EVERYONE WHO HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH THESE EFFORTS FOR THE LAST SEVERAL MONTHS, FOR THE LAST FEW MONTHS I SHOULD SAY. I WANTED TO ADD THAT BECAUSE WE VERY TOO HAVE A VERY COMPREHENSIVE LOOK AT THIS. PROBABLY ONE OF THE MOST IN-DEPTH LOOKS IN OUR COMMUNITY. I THINK WE'RE ON A GOOD TRACK BUT THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME MAKE THAT COMMENT MR. TWIST AND YOU CAN CONTINUE.

J.P. Twist: JUST TO ADD ON THAT MAYOR LANE. I BELIEVE WE HAD ONE CITY REQUEST A COPY OF THIS BECAUSE THEY'RE INTERESTED IN LOOKING AT WHAT WE'RE DOING TOO. FOLLOWING ON WHAT YOU SAID. I THINK WE'RE A LEADING EDGE ON THIS. WE HAVE TWO PUBLIC OUTREACHES SCHEDULED TO GET INPUT FROM THE PUBLIC. WE MET WITH FOLKS FROM THE INDUSTRY PRETTY HEAVILY AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO DO THAT OBVIOUSLY. OBVIOUSLY WE WANT TO HEAR FROM THE PUBLIC. SO THE TWO MEETINGS WE HAVE SCHEDULED ARE JULY 16 AT 10:00 A.M. AT THE HUMAN RESOURCES JUST ACROSS THE STREET. AND THEN JULY 24 IS DISTRICT THREE POLICE STATION. SO WE'VE GOT THOSE TWO SCHEDULED HOPEFULLY. IF EVERYTHING GOES WELL. THE MEETINGS AND THE PUBLIC ARE SUPPORTIVE AND WE ONLY HAVE TO MAKE A COUPLE OF CHANGES WE HAVE TO COME TO COUNCIL IN AUGUST WHEN WE COME BACK FROM THE BREAK. MAYBE IF THE PUBLIC SAYS WE HAVE MORE WORK TO DO WE'LL SHOOT FOR THAT SEPTEMBER 10th. SO THOSE ARE OUR NEXT STEPS.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU MR. TWIST. COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS IF YOU WILL. I HAVE A COUPLE OF COMMENT CARDS WE HAVE TO MOVE TO FIRST. THANK YOU VERY MUCH MR. TWIST. EXCELLENT PRESENTATION AND THANK YOU ALL GENTLEMEN FOR YOUR CONTRIBUTION TO THAT AS WELL. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF REQUESTS TO SPEAK ON THE SUBJECT. AND IT IS JUST TWO. AND WE'LL START WITH JASON MORRIS.

[Time: 01:26:50]

Jason Morris: THANK YOU MAYOR, COUNCILMEMBERS, JASON MORRIS, ON BEHALF OF TRIYAR ENTERTAINMENT. I KNOW IS A KNOWN ENTITY TO YOU, AND I'VE CERTAINLY REPRESENTED THAT ENTITY BEFORE YOU. THIS IS NEAR AND DEAR TO THEIR EVERY DAY ACTIVITIES. I WANT TO THANK YOUR STAFF BECAUSE THE CITY HAS BEEN VERY OPEN AND VERY PROACTIVE IN TRYING TO SOLICIT INPUT. AND TO MAKE SURE THIS DOESN'T COME AS A SURPRISE. YOU JUST HEARD A VERY THOROUGH OVERVIEW OF THE DIRECTION THIS IS GOING IN. WE LOOK FORWARD TO WORKING WITH STAFF DURING THE SUMMER AND ATTENDING THOSE PUBLIC MEETINGS AND CONTINUE TO WORK PRIVATELY. BUT THERE ARE ISSUES OF CONCERN AND I THINK THE TWO AREAS OF CONCERN WOULD BE TO ENSURE THAT THE CITY DOESN'T ENACT A POLICY THAT PUNISHES SOME OF THE GOOD ACTORS. IN REFERENCE TO SOME ACTIVITIES THAT ARE GOING ON IN ESTABLISHMENTS THAT MAY NOT BE AS WELL ACQUAINTED WITH GOOD MANAGEMENT FOR THESE THINGS. AS YOU MAY BE AWARE OBVIOUSLY TRYAR ENTERTAINMENT HAS BEEN IN THIS CITY FOR MANY YEARS. WE WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S NOT A ONE SIZE FITS ALL POLICY. WE WANT TO CUSTOMIZE THAT FOR INSTANCE LARGER VENUES MAY NOT NEED THE SAME AMOUNT OF REGULATION OR DIFFERENT REGULATION THAN SMALLER VENUES. SO WITH THAT IN MIND WE'RE LOOKING TO WORK WITH YOUR STAFF THROUGH THIS MATTER.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU MR. MORRIS. NEXT IS JOE GALLI.

[Time: 01:28:45]

Joe Galli: THANK YOU YOUR HONOR, JOE GALLI AND I'M EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE NORTH SCOTTSDALE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS HAS NOT CONSIDERED IT. I LOOK FORWARD THAT THROUGHOUT THE REST OF THE PROCESS, HOPEFULLY WE CAN BE SUPPORTIVE OF THE GOOD WORK THAT HAS BEEN DONE SO FAR AND WHAT LOOKS LIKE IS GOING TO BE A GREAT FINAL PRODUCT. I DO WANT TO COMPLIMENT YOUR STAFF MR.MAYOR FOR TAKING THE LEAD ON

THIS. THIS IS SOMETHING THAT FROM THE NORTH SCOTTSDALE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE WE'RE EQUALLY PAYING ATTENTION TO IT, AS WOULD FOLKS IN THE DOWNTOWN AREA, BECAUSE IT WOULD BE A CITY WIDE ORDINANCE. I CAN THINK OF SEVERAL ESTABLISHMENTS IN OUR NECK OF THE WOODS THAT THIS WOULD APPLY TO. FOR THAT REASON I DO WANT TO ALSO OFFER SOME CAUSES OF CONCERN FROM WHAT I HEARD TONIGHT. FIRST, I THINK THE INTENT HERE IS TO APPLY THIS NARROWLY. I HEARD IT, MAYBE UP TO 100 WOULD BE THE ORIGINAL NUMBER. BUT I THINK THAT WITH WHAT WE HEARD TONIGHT THAT IT COULD BE APPLIED A LITTLE MORE BROADLY THAN MAYBE WHAT'S ORIGINALLY INTENDED. SECOND I THINK THAT THE COST TO BUSINESS HAS TO BE SOMETHING THAT IS WEIGHED HERE. ADDING NEW EMPLOYEES, PARTICULARLY STAFF FOR SECURITY REASONS, NUMBERS I DON'T KNOW WHY THE NUMBERS ARE ONE PER 50 AND TWO OFFICERS FOR THREE MONTHS. BUT, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THAT WOULD PROBABLY ADD SOME EMPLOYEE COSTS TO OUR RESTAURANTS AND BARS AND THAT MIGHT BE A CONSIDERATION. THEN FINALLY TOO, FROM A CONCERNED STANDPOINT, THE POTENTIAL TO LOSE A BUSINESS LICENSE BECAUSE A FEW PATRONS DO NOT CONDUCT THEMSELVES IN AN APPROPRIATE MANNER IN AN ESTABLISHMENT IS ALWAYS A CONCERN. WE WANT ESTABLISHMENTS TO CONTRIBUTE IN OUR COMMUNITY AND OFFER THE GREAT FACE WE DO FOR OUR VISITORS. WE HAVE A GREAT TRACK RECORD OF HAVING DONE THAT. WHEN YOU START TALKING ABOUT LOSING A BUSINESS LICENSE YOU MIGHT IN FACT, TAKE FUTURE INVESTMENT AND PUT IT SOME WHERE ELSE IN THE VALLEY. FINALLY I DID WANT TO ADD THAT I THINK THE SAFETY TRAINING IS IMPERATIVE AND KUDOS TO OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT. OBVIOUSLY THEY DO A GOOD JOB. BUT ADDING TO OUR ESTABLISHMENTS WILL BE A REAL ENHANCEMENT. WE PROMISE TO PARTICIPATE IN THE UPCOMING FORUMS JULY 16 AND JULY 24 AND WE'LL REPORT BACK FROM OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS ON THE FINAL PRODUCT. WITH THAT I'M HAPPY TO TAKE ANY QUESTIONS AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

[Time: 01:31:38]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU MR. GALLI. WE APPRECIATE IT. ONE THING I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO MANY VIEW OF MR. GALLI'S COMMENT. THIS PROCESS HAS BEEN AN OUTREACH FROM THE BEGINNING WITH ALL THE FROM PROFESSIONAL CREWS WITHIN THE CITY, WITHIN THE INDUSTRIES AND THE VENUES THAT WILL BE IMPACTED INCLUSIVE OF HOTELS, RESTAURANTS, BARS ACROSS THE CITY. AND I THINK WE'RE ON, CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG BUT I THINK WE'RE ON DRAFT NUMBER 12 ON THIS BUT CERTAINLY IT ALL HAS BEEN BROUGHT ABOUT BY A REAL CLEAR EFFORT TO MAKE A PROCESS CLEAR AND IDENTIFIABLE AND AN ORDINANCE THAT IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE IDENTIFIABLE. AND SHOULD TRY VERY, VERY HARD NOT TO INTERFERE WITH THOSE OPERATIONS THAT ARE DOING WELL. AND ARE OPERATING WELL WITHIN BOUNDS OF GOOD SOLID SAFE OPERATIONS. SO THE IDEA OF FOCUSING ON THOSE PROBLEM AREAS IS ONE OF THE IMPORTANT ASPECTS OF HOW THIS GOES INTO PLAY. SO I WOULD SAY THAT IN A VERY TRUE COLLABORATION. I THINK WE'VE WORKED IN A VERY COOPERATIVE WAY TO MAKE SURE THAT WE ARE OBTAINING THE GOAL WITHOUT GOING BEYOND THAT. AND INVOKING SOME KIND OF A PENALTY ON FOLKS WHO ARE ALREADY IN GOOD STEAD AND ARE OPERATING WELL. I CONGRATULATE TRULY EVEN TO THIS POINT IN TIME, LAW ENFORCEMENT'S APPROACH ON THIS AS WELL. SO, IN ANY CASE, I MEAN I'VE GOT A SMALL VOICE IN THE BACK OF MY LEFT EAR THAT'S TELLING ME THAT I DON'T KNOW HOW YOU GOT DROPPED DOWN HERE. I THINK THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT BOB. BUT IN ANY CASE. NO WITH THE OTHERS, I'LL GET YOU HERE IN A SECOND. BUT IN ANY CASE, I JUST WANT TO NOTE THAT BECAUSE THIS HAS BEEN A GREAT EFFORT THROUGH THE MONTHS AND FRANKLY IT'S AN IMPORTANT ISSUE. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT ISSUE TO US. SO WE WEREN'T GOING TO JUST OVERREACT AND

IMPOSE SOMETHING THAT WAS GOING TO BE POTENTIALLY DAMAGING TO OUR REPUTATION, OUR CITY AND OUR OPERATIONS. I THINK EVERYBODY IS LOOKING FOR THE SAME GOAL AND THAT'S WHAT WE FOUND IN WORKING WITH ALL OF THOSE EVENT VENUES. BUT WITH THAT, AND I DON'T KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THE SEQUENCE WAS. BUT I WILL GO AHEAD AND I SEE BOB YOU'RE ON HERE AS NUMBER THREE. BUT WE DID HAVE COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN AND COUNCILMEMBER PHILLIPS. I DON'T WANT TO INTERFERE WITH THIS LITTLE SQUABBLE HERE. COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS YOU DID ASK BEFORE THE CONVERSATIONS.

Councilmember Phillips: THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR MR. TWIST. ASSUMING THIS EVER GETS DONE AND WE VOTE ON IT AND IT GETS APPROVED HOW LONG IS IT GOING TO TAKE TO IMPLEMENT AND WILL THIS BE RETROACTIVE.

J.P. Twist: ANYBODY WHO HAS AN S.M.O. PLAN OR ANYBODY WHO FALLS UNDER THIS ORDINANCE WOULD HAVE TO BECOME COMPLIANT. GET THE NEW PLANS GOING AND HAVE IT FILTERED ON.

Councilmember Phillips: SO YOU'RE LOOK AT MAYBE IF IT'S NOT AUGUST, SEPTEMBER. AND THEN IMPLEMENTED BY NOVEMBER.

J.P. Twist: I MEAN, COUNCILMAN, DETECTIVE MILLER IS HERE HE'S GOING TO BE THE ONE HEADING IT UP. BALLPARK WHAT DO YOU THINK CHIEF COCCA? THERE YOU GO.

[Time: 01:35:41]

Chief Cocca: MAYOR, COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS WE WOULD RAMP UP AT THE BEGINNING. WE WOULD PUT ON PROBABLY TWO CLASSES A MONTH. MAYBE MORE IF WE NEEDED TO. I THINK WE CAN GET THAT DONE IN 90 DAYS TO GET EVERYBODY WHO FALLS UNDER THIS ORDINANCE TO GET THEIR SECURITY STAFF INTO THOSE CLASSES. WE WOULD OFFER THEM AT DIFFERENT DAYS, DIFFERENT TIMES TO GET THEM INTO THE CLASS. WE WERE HOPEFUL TO GET THAT DONE IN 90 DAYS OF IMPLEMENTATION.

Councilmember Phillips: YOU'RE GOING TO SEND ALL THE NOTIFICATIONS TO THE BARS AND RESTAURANTS IN SCOTTSDALE.

Chief Cocca: I AM SURE THAT WOULD BE DONE TO GET THEM TO REGISTER. THE GOAL IS TO GET THEM TO REGISTER ONLINE SO WE KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE WE HAVE IN EACH CLASS AND HOPEFULLY THEY WILL FILL UP FAST.

Councilmember Phillips: GOOD LUCK.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. THANK YOU CHIEF. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

Councilman Littlefield: WELL I DIDN'T SIGN ON TO THIS UPDATE AND THE REASON I DIDN'T SIGN ON TO THIS UPDATE IS IT'S TOO LITTLE TOO LATE AND TOO WEAK. ASK YOURSELVES THESE QUESTIONS, THE FIRST STABBING AT MARTINI RANCH WAS ALMOST SIX MONTHS AGO. WHY HAVE WE NOT HAD AN ORDINANCE TO VOTE ON IN THAT AMOUNT OF TIME. QUESTION NUMBER TWO, WHY ARE WE EVEN HAVING THIS UPDATE WHEN WE'RE NOT VOTING ON ANYTHING. THAT'S OBVIOUS, WE JUST

HAD ANOTHER STABBING. SO THIS IS MORE SORRY, IT'S MORE OF A PR THING THAN IT IS AN UPDATE. THAT'S THE SECOND QUESTION I HAVE. THIRD OF ALL. SOMEBODY, IT WAS MR. TWIST MADE THE COMMENT EVERYBODY HAS BEEN AT THE TABLE. NO EVERYBODY HASN'T BEEN AT THE TABLE. PUBLIC HASN'T BEEN AT THE TABLE. IF YOU LOOK AT THESE LINES, EVERY MEETING HAS BEEN BETWEEN CITY STAFF AND THE BAR OWNERS. NOTHING WITH THE PUBLIC. WE'RE GOING TO HAVE PUBLIC OUTREACH WHEN IN JULY. THE ABSOLUTE WORSE MONTH TO HAVE PUBLIC OUTREACH. THE MONTH WHEN MORE PEOPLE ARE OUT OF SCOTTSDALE THAN ANY OTHER TIME. THIS IS NOT A REAL ORDINANCE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY. THIS IS AN ATTEMPT TO MAKE IT LOOK LIKE WE CARE. AND IT'S NOT, IT'S TOO WEAK. FIRST OF ALL, THERE ARE ALTERNATE THOUGHTS ON WHETHER WE CAN REQUIRE OFF DUTY OFFICERS AT THE BARS. WHY IS THAT IMPORTANT? PEOPLE HAVE LIQUOR LICENSES, ONE OF THEIR FEARS IS THAT INCIDENTS MIGHT BE REPORTED TO THE LIQUOR BOARD. TAKE SOMEBODY'S LIQUOR LICENSES AWAY. WE DON'T HAVE SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS THERE WE CANNOT BE ASSURED THAT ALL OF THOSE INCIDENTS WILL BE REPORTED. MCSO MAYBE, MAYBE NOT THEY DON'T REPORT THEM ALL. THAT'S WHY IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE A WAY TO FIND OFF DUTY SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS AT THESE THINGS. I THINK IT CRACKS ME UP. I CAN GO ON AND ON. BUT IT CRACKS ME UP AS WEAK AND PATHETIC AS IT IS THE ONLY TWO PUBLIC COMMENTS WE HAD WERE FROM LIQUOR INDUSTRY LOBBYISTS UP HERE SAYING, EVEN THIS IS TOO MUCH. THIS IS TOO MUCH. WE NEED TO HAVE, YOU KNOW HOW MANY STABBINGS DOES IT TAKE BEFORE WE DO SOMETHING IN THAT'S WHAT I HAVE TO ASK. I CAN GO ON FOR HOURS BUT I THINK THE POINT HAS BEEN MADE. IT'S TOO LITTLE, IT'S TOO LATE. IT'S TOO WEAK AND IT'S CLEARLY CRAFTED BY THE BAR OWNERS WITH NO PUBLIC INPUT.

[Time: 01:39:06]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN: AND I DON'T SEE THAT WE HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THIS BUT I WILL JUST LEAVE IT BY SAYING THAT THE PROCESS AS HAS BEEN PRESCRIBED AND WE HAVE HAD A MEETING WITH SOME OF THE DOWNTOWN RESIDENTS AS WELL AND ORGANIZATIONS. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, THIS IS SOMETHING I THINK IS REALLY TIED TO OUR LAW ENFORCEMENT, OUR LEGAL DEPARTMENT, AND FRANKLY OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT AS IT IS IN AN OVERALL EXPRESSION OF PUBLIC SAFETY. SO I THINK WE'RE ON THE RIGHT TRACK. WE DO HAVE THE CONDITIONAL MEETINGS SCHEDULED IN JULY WHICH WILL BE FOR INPUT AS WELL. BUT YOU NEED TO HAVE SOMETHING TO PRESENT TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC. PRESUMABLY PROFESSIONALLY AS IT HAS BEEN PREPARED. SO YOU'RE IN GOOD STEAD. THIS WAS FOR UPDATE INFORMATION ONLY. WE WILL LOOK FORWARD TO MOVING THIS FORWARD AS QUICKLY AS WE CAN AND GETTING TO THOSE ADDITIONAL MEETINGS AND THANK YOU MR. TWIST. THANK YOU GENTLEMEN.

ITEM 31B – REQUEST TO AGENDIZE A DISCUSSION ON SECURITY IN THE ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICT

[Time: 01:40:41]

Mayor Lane: OKAY OUR NEXT ITEM IS A REQUEST TO AGENDIZE SECURITY. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

[Time: 01:40:49]

Councilman Littlefield: THERE'S REALLY NO POINT TO DO THIS AGAIN. I ALREADY MADE MY POINT. WE NEED TO DO MORE AND WE'VE WAITED TOO LONG AND I JUST WONDER HOW MANY STABBINGS DO WE HAVE TO HAVE BEFORE WE ACTUALLY PASS AN ORDINANCE. SAME THING WE HAD THE

CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PROCESS. WE'VE HAD THAT IN PLACE FOR TEN YEARS FOR BARS NEVER EVEN CONSIDERED REVOKING ONE MUCH LESS ACTUALLY DONE IT. I CAN'T BELIEVE THAT ANYBODY IN THE PUBLIC, ANY OBJECTIVE OBSERVER WOULD LOOK AT WHAT WE'RE DOING TONIGHT AND THINK WE'RE ACTUALLY GOING TO ENFORCE ANYTHING AT THE BARS, ESPECIALLY CONSIDERING THAT WE'RE PUTTING TOGETHER AN ORDINANCE THAT THEY'RE ESSENTIALLY WRITING. SO, I DON'T REALLY THINK THERE'S ANY POINT. YOU CAN JUST FORGET MY ITEM.

Mayor Lane: WELL, COUNCILMAN, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A REQUEST TO STUDY THIS FURTHER AND TO INITIATE A NEW ORDINANCE,,

Councilman Littlefield: YEAH, I'LL MOVE IT. SO MOVED.

Mayor Lane: OKAY COUNCILMAN HAS MOVED TO DO. YOU WANT TO LOOK AT YOUR MOTION AND STATE IT. I DIDN'T CATCH WHAT THE MOTION WAS? DID I MAKE IT?

Councilman Littlefield: NO TO DO EXACTLY WHAT, YOU KNOW. I DON'T KNOW. AT SOME POINT IT GETS LAUGHABLE BUT MY MOTION WOULD BE TO STUDY WHAT TO DO AND HAVE A SESSION ON THAT. INCLUDING FINDING A WAY TO REQUIRE THE BARS TO USE OFF DUTY SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS FOR SECURITY. BECAUSE I DON'T AGREE WITH THE CITY ATTORNEY THAT THAT'S ILLEGAL. THERE ARE CONFLICTING OPINIONS ON THAT. AND FRANKLY, THE IDEA THAT WE COULD HAVE MCSO DEPUTIES WHO HAVEN'T EXACTLY DONE A GREAT JOB DOWN THERE SO FAR AND WHO CAN'T BE COUNTED ON TO REPORT ALL THESE INCIDENTS OR GAME AND FISH OFFICERS OR WHATEVER WE'RE EMPLOYING DOWN THERE.

Mayor Lane: IS THIS ALL PART OF THE MOTION?

Councilman Littlefield: YES, IT IS ACTUALLY. THERE YOU GO, THAT'S MY MOTION.

Mayor Lane: OKAY.

[Time: 01:42:55]

Councilman Littlefield: IT'S GOING TO GO DOWN 5-2. IT DOESN'T MATTER WHAT MOTION I MADE.

Mayor Lane: THE MOTION HAS BEEN MADE FROM THE LAST CONVERSATION FROM COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

Councilmember Phillips: I SECOND IT. CAN I SPEAK ON THE SECOND?

Mayor Lane: CERTAINLY.

Councilmember Phillips: I'M MORE OF THE HOPE SPRINGS ETERNAL. I LIKE THE PUBLIC SAFETY PLAN ORDINANCE. I REALLY HOPE IT HAPPENS. BUT I THINK WE CAN DO MORE. AND I THINK I WAS HOPING WAS THE PURPOSE OF COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD, IS WHAT IS THE CITY GOING TO DO. WE'RE MAKING THIS PLAN BUT IT'S WHAT THE BARS ARE GOING TO DO. WE NEED TO MAKE A PLAN OF WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO AND WE TALKED IN THE PAST ABOUT ADDING MORE LIGHTING AND MORE SECURITY. IF

A BRAWL OR FIGHT OR A KILLING IS ON PUBLIC LAND THEN WHAT HAPPENS? SO WHAT'S OUR PLAN. SO, I THINK THIS IS A GOOD STEP BUT I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR MORE. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR THE POLICE CHIEF'S IDEA ON THIS. I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR STAFF'S IDEA ON WHAT THE CITY COULD BE. THAT'S THE REASON I'M SECONDING AND I HOPE THAT WE CAN CONTINUE THIS DISCUSSION AND NOT JUST LEAVE IT AT ONE THING. THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. DOES ANYBODY WANT THE MOTION RESTATED OR? OKAY. CLEAR ON THAT THEN WE'RE THEN READY TO VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR PLEASE INDICATE BY AYE. THOSE OPPOSED WITH A NAY. MOTION FAILS, 5-2 AS PREDICTED. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD AND COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS AFFIRMING IT. SO THAT MOTION FAILS AND THAT WAS IN RESPONSE TO COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD'S REQUEST TO AGENDIZE A DISCUSSION ON SECURITY IN THE ENTERTAINMENT DISTRICT.

Councilmember Korte: I'M TRYING TO PUSH MY BUTTON TO SPEAK AND IT'S NOT WORKING. THERE IT GOES.

Mayor Lane: WELL WE HAD IT FIXED BUT.....

Councilmember Korte: THAT'S WHAT I THOUGHT.

Mayor Lane: COUNCILWOMAN KORTE.

Councilmember Korte: I WOULD LIKE FOR FURTHER CLARIFICATION ON THE CITY ATTORNEY'S OPINION REGARDING THE USE OF OFF-DUTY SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS IN THE FOLLOW UP AS WE GO THROUGH THIS PUBLIC OUTREACH PROCESS.

Bruce Washburn: THE ORDINANCE AS DRAFTED WOULD NOT PERMIT THE REQUIREMENT THAT ONLY SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS WOULD BE USED. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A DIFFERENT ORDINANCE WITH A DIFFERENT FOCUS. BECAUSE THE REQUIREMENT IS SCOTTSDALE POLICE OFFICERS SPECIFICALLY RELATED TO THE HARM THAT YOU'RE TRYING TO PREVENT. AND THIS ORDINANCE IS DRAFTED MUCH, MUCH TOO BROADLY TO ALLOW THAT. BUT WHAT I'VE PREVIOUSLY SAID TO COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD. NO I HAVE NEVER SAID THAT WE DRAFTED AN ORDINANCE THAT WOULD PREVENT THE USE OF ONLY SCOTTSDALE OFFICERS BUT NO THIS ORDINANCE WOULD NOT ACCOMMODATE THAT.

ITEM 32 – PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE

[Time: 01:46:19]

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU MR. WASHBURN. OKAY WE THEN MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM 32 THE PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE. WHICH WE HAVE DEMONSTRATED THIS EVENING. SO ADOPT THE PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE WHICH STATES AS MEMBERS OF THE SCOTTSDALE COMMUNITY I WILL GENERALLY LISTEN, SPEAK RESPECTFULLY AND BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR MY WORDS AND ACTIONS. THIS ITEM WAS ADDED TO THE AGENDA BY VICE MAYOR KLAPP AND COUNCIL MEMBERS KORTE AND PHILLIPS.

Cynthia Wenstrom: THANK YOU MAYOR AND VICE MAYOR KLAPP, COUNCIL MEMBERS I'M CYNTHIA WENSTROM WITH SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP. WE APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK BEFORE THE COUNCIL. I WANT TO SHARE ON BEHALF OF OUR ORGANIZATION, OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND ALUMNI HOW EXCITED WE ARE THAT THE CITY COUNCIL HAS BROUGHT BEFORE RESOLUTION 9495 FOR CIVIL DIALOGUE. WHAT AN OPPORTUNITY FOR OUR CITY TO KEEP CIVIL DIALOGUE FOREMOST IN OUR CITIZENS' MINDS AS THEY GO ABOUT POSSIBLY SPEAKING IN THE KIVA, POSSIBLY DOING THEIR BUSINESS DAY IN AND DAY OUT IN THEIR DAILY BUSINESSES AND PERSONAL LIVES. IT'S IMPORTANT TO OUR COMMUNITY TO ANSWER THOSE WHO MAY NOT KNOW WHO SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP IS IN THE KIVA OR WATCHING ON TELEVISION. SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP IS AN ORGANIZATION AND OUR MISSION IS TO EMPOWER LEADERS TO CHAMPION AND STRENGTHEN THE INTEREST OF OUR COMMUNITY. OUR CORE VALUES ARE ENGAGEMENT, INCLUSION, CONNECTION, COURAGE AND STEWARDSHIP. EACH YEAR A NINE MONTH CORE PROGRAM OF KEY LEADERSHIP TOPICS IS PRESENTED AND THIS STARTS IN THE FALL. WE HAVE 45 PARTICIPANTS. WE HAVE CURRENTLY 500 ALLUMNI. THIS SHOWS THE VARIETY AND PERSPECTIVES ON TOPICS GERMANE TO LEADERS AND THOSE WHO WISH TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THE PLACES THEY LIVE IN AND VISIT. WHAT IS CIVIL DISCOURSE? WHAT IS CIVIL DIALOGUE. THOSE TERMS ARE OFTEN INTERCHANGED. IT'S A LEADERSHIP SKILL AND IT'S ONE THAT GIVES THE OPPORTUNITY TO GENUINELY LISTEN TO ALL POINTS OF VIEW WITHOUT PREJUDGING WHAT THAT MESSAGE MIGHT BE OR WHETHER THAT POINT OF VIEW IS RIGHT OR WRONG. IT IS SPEAKING RESPECTFULLY. WHICH MEANS THAT A PERSON MAY STATE THEIR POINT OF VIEW, THEY MAY STATE IT CALMLY AND RESPECTFULLY BUT NEVER LOSE THE PASSION OF WHAT THEIR CONVICTION IS ABOUT THEIR PARTICULAR TOPIC. BASICALLY THEY'RE DISCUSSING THE ISSUES WITHOUT PERSONAL CRITICISMS OF THOSE OPPOSING VIEWS. AND FINALLY CIVIL DIALOGUE IS BEING RESPONSIBLE FOR ONE'S WORDS AND ACTIONS. CIVIL DIALOGUE ACKNOWLEDGES, BRIDGES AND IT COMMUNICATES. THE IMPETUS GOES BACK TWO YEARS AGO. IT WAS A CLASS DAY NAMED STEWARDSHIP DAY. IT WAS GERMANE TO THAT TIME BECAUSE WITHIN OUR CITY AND ELSEWHERE IN THE STATE CIVIL DIALOGUE WASN'T NECESSARILY ALWAYS PRESENT IN THE PUBLIC FORUM. SO THE CLASS WAS PRESENTED WITH THIS IDEA AND AFTER MUCH DISTILLATION AND CREATING A COMMITTEE TO LOOK AT IT FURTHER IT'S NOT UP ON THE SCREEN NOW BUT LET'S SEE IF WE CAN BRING THAT UP. NOT THERE.

[Time: 01:50:08]

OKAY, WELL, WE DISTILLED IT DOWN TO THREE VERY SIMPLE STATEMENTS. IT SAYS AS A MEMBER OF THE SCOTTSDALE COMMUNITY I WILL GENUINELY LISTEN, SPEAK RESPECTFULLY AND BE ACCOUNTABLE FOR MY WORDS. MAYOR LANE DID ALSO PROCLAIM HIS SUPPORT FOR THE PRINCIPLES FOR CIVIL DIALOGUE. SINCE THAT TIME I'M VERY PLEASED TO SAY THAT WE HAVE NOT ONLY OVER 20 BUSINESSES, CIVIC AND COMMUNITY ORGANIZATIONS THAT HAVE ADOPTED OR ENDORSED THIS PRINCIPLES FOR CIVIL DIALOGUE. WE HAVE MEMBERS OF THE STAFF FROM THE O'CONNOR HOUSE. SO THIS HAS GOTTEN ATTENTION BEYOND OUR CITY AND GOING ON TO MUCH BROADER ENVIRONMENTS. I WOULD LIKE TO IN CLOSING JUST NAME THOSE 24 ORGANIZATIONS WHO HAVE ADOPTED OR ENDORSED AND THEY ARE TO DATE, THE ONE IN TEN ORGANIZATION BASED IN PHOENIX. ARIZONA INTERNATIONAL GROWTH GROUP. ARIZONA COUNCIL FOR VISITORS, THEY ALSO PASSED THIS ON TO THEIR 96 CHAPTERS. GROWTH NATION, CONCERNED CITIZENS FOR COMMUNITY HEALTH WHICH IS VISTA DEL CAMINO. FRIENDS OF THE SCOTTSDALE LIBRARY. STARS WHICH IS SCOTTSDALE TRAINING AND REHABILITATION SERVICES. SCOTTSDALE BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB. HUMAN RELATIONS, HUMAN SERVICES, McDOWELL SONORAN PRESERVE, NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES, PARKS

AND RECREATION, PLANNING, AND THE TRANSPORTATION COMMISSION. ADDITIONALLY, SCOTTSDALE COMMUNITY COLLEGE, SCOTTSDALE CONVENTION AND VISITORS BUREAU. SCOTTSDALE GLBT GROUP. SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP, SCOTTSDALE LIBRARY AND THE SUNRISE ROTARY. THANK YOU. I'LL STAY HERE IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS THAT YOU NEED FROM ME.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, CYNTHIA VERY MUCH. I SEE NO QUESTIONS I THINK IT'S A PRETTY CLEAR ITEM. I'M MISTAKEN, THEY'RE COMING UP LIKE CRAZY RIGHT NOW: SO ANYHOW WE'LL START WITH COUNCILMAN ROBBINS.

Councilman Robbins: I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT, HAVE YOU SEEN THIS HAVE AN EFFECT. YOU'VE BEEN OUT ON THE COMMUNITY SEVERAL YEARS DOING THIS. I KNOW YOU'VE BEEN LAYING THE GROUNDWORK AND YOU'VE DONE AN AMAZING JOB PROMOTING THIS AND HAVING OTHER ORGANIZATIONS IMPLEMENT THIS. HAVE YOU SEEN IT WORK. HAS IT DONE ANY GOOD OR DOES IT JUST MAKE US FEEL GOOD. I JUST WANT TO KNOW IF WE DO THIS IT'S GOING TO BE AN EFFECT, IT'S WORTHWHILE. BECAUSE IF YOU ASKED SOMEBODY ON THE STREET THEY WOULD SAY THIS IS A GREAT IDEA AND I SUBSCRIBE TO THESE PRINCIPLES. BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE WHAT WE'RE DOING HAS AN EFFECT ON REALITY AND HOW THE WAY PEOPLE ARE DIALOGUING WITH ONE ANOTHER.

Cynthia Wenstrom: WHAT I CAN SHARE TO DATE IS THAT THE ORGANIZATIONS WHO THAT ESPECIALLY HAVE ADOPTED, WHICH IS THE BULK OF THOSE 24. THEY HAVE MADE IT PART OF THEIR WEBSITE OR ON THEIR AGENDA. THEY HAVE MADE IT FOREMOST AND KEPT IT IN FRONT OF THEIR MIND. ONE COMMISSIONER WANTED TO TAKE IT INTO HIS CHILD'S SOFTBALL GROUP. THE GOAL IS TO JUST KEEP THAT FIRST AND FOREMOST IN PEOPLE'S MINDS. THAT'S THE IMPACT WE'VE SEEN. THE GOAL IS TO MAKE SURE THAT SHOULD THE CITY CHOOSE TO ADOPT, THAT THE CITY DOES THE SAME. WE'VE HAD SOME CONVERSATIONS AROUND THAT. SO THAT IT'S VISIBLE TO PEOPLE. SO THAT IT DOES STAY FOREMOST IN OUR COMMUNITIES' MIND AS THEY SPEAK IN THE KIVA OR OTHER PUBLIC VENUES.

Councilman Robbins: THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN. COUNCILWOMAN KORTE.

[Time: 01:54:01]

Councilmember Korte: THANK YOU, MAYOR. I AM IN COMPLETE SUPPORT OF THIS. AND I WOULD LIKE TO GO BACK TO THE ROOTS OF THIS. IT WAS CLASS 23?

Cynthia Wenstrom: 25.

Councilmember Korte: IT WAS CLASS 25 FOR WHICH IT WAS ROB MILLAR AND MYSELF WHICH WERE THE CO-CHAIRS FOR THAT PARTICULAR STEWARDSHIP DAY AND WE PRESENTED THIS CONCEPT OF PRINCIPLES FOR CIVIL DIALOGUE TO THE CLASS TO TAKE IT ON AS A CLASS PROJECT. AND IT WAS AN INTERESTING CONVERSATION. BUT IT WAS INDIVIDUALS LIKE CYNTHIA IN CLASS 25, AND OTHERS THAT CARRIED THIS TORCH ON TO COME TO US TONIGHT WITH THE SUPPORT NOT ONLY OF THOSE MANY ORGANIZATIONS AND COMMISSIONS AND BOARDS BUT ALSO ALL OF SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP TO EMBRACE THIS AS A VALUE IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND COUNCILMEMBER ROBBINS SPOKE OR ASKED

OF IS THIS JUST A FEEL GOOD THING? I THINK IT IS MUCH MORE THAN THAT. I THINK WE ALL LIVE BY OUR SET VALUES OF INTEGRITY AND HONESTY AND I THINK CIVILITY MUST BE ONE OF OUR COMMUNITIES VALUES FOR WHICH WE LIVE BY. AND WORK BY AND OPERATE BY. SO THANK YOU FOR CARRYING THE TORCH AND THANK YOU SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP FOR MAKING THIS HAPPEN.

Cynthia Wenstrom: THANK YOU COUNCILPERSON.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILWOMAN. I WOULD JUST WANT TO SAY, THAT THE ITEM WAS BROUGHT FORWARD ON THE AGENDA BY MYSELF AND VICE MAYOR KLAPP AND COUNCILMEMBERS KORTE AND PHILLIPS. BUT IT'S A LONG STRING THAT WE'VE BEEN WORKING ON THIS. I THINK IT'S INTERESTING ON WHETHER OR NOT THIS HAS AN IMPACT AS A RESOLUTION OR PROCLAMATION AS I'VE ALREADY ISSUED ON THIS SUBJECT. I FELT AT THE TIME THE PROCLAMATION WAS ISSUED I THINK THERE WAS A SENSE OF AWARENESS. A THING THAT RAISED IT UP JUST A BIT. A RESOLUTION MAY DO THE SAME THING. IT'S INTERESTING BECAUSE JUST A LITTLE WHILE AGO WHEN WE WERE CONSIDERING THE RULES OF PROCEDURE ON ITEM 31. I OFTEN TIMES, IN AN AWKWARD POSITION OF BEING ON THE SAME SIDE OF A VOTE WITH COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD WHEN IT COMES TO CIVILITY AND DIALOGUE. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE WANT TO BE TALKING ABOUT HERE IS WHETHER OR NOT IT BELONGS IN THE POLICY AND PROCEDURES OR WHETHER A RESOLUTION IS A STRONGER WAY TO ESTABLISH IT IN WHICH WAY WE WOULD END UP INDICATING IT ON OUR COUNCIL BROCHURES IF IT'S POSSIBLE. I THINK THAT THAT WILL HAVE A LASTING IMPACT. THERE IS, THERE ALWAYS IS THE CONCERN THAT A RESOLUTION, ONCE PASSED IT'S FORGOTTEN, BUT IT IS GOING TO BE SOMETHING THAT WE'RE GOING TO IN THIS CASE SOMETHING WE WOULD LIKELY WANT TO INCORPORATE IN OUR, I GUESS BECAUSE I VOTED AGAINST THE IDEA OF BRINGING IT BACK TO THE POLICY AND PROCEDURES. AND I STILL FEEL THAT MAY NOT BE THE RIGHT PLACE FOR IT BUT NEVERTHELESS IT'S NOT, IT'S NOT HUGELY A BIG ISSUE AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. BUT I DO THINK THAT IT'S GOING TO BE INCUMBENT UPON US TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE THINKING ABOUT THIS AND IT'S STATED AND IT'S OUT THERE. AND I DO THINK IT HAS HAD AN IMPACT. IT'S AN INTERESTING THING WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THINGS LIKE THIS. THAT MAY BE SEEM SLIGHT. THERE'S ALL KINDS OF SLIGHT WHAT IS IT THE RANDOM ACT OF KINDNESS KIND OF THING THAT HOW, IT CAN BE CONTAGIOUS. SO I THINK THAT THAT'S GOING TO BE AN IMPORTANT ASPECT OF HOW WE IMPLEMENT THIS. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

[Time: 01:58:13]

Councilman Littlefield: I THINK COUNCILMAN ROBBINS WAS ON THE RIGHT TRACK WHEN HE ASKED IF THIS IS A FEEL GOOD. I WOULD GO ON THE SAME TRACK BY SAYING THIS IS A HOAX. IN THE LAST ELECTION WE HAD ONE HIT PIECE IN WHICH A PARTICULAR GROUP SENT OUT A HIT PIECE AGAINST ONE OF THE CANDIDATES. WELL THAT CANDIDATE HAPPENED TO BE GUY PHILLIPS. AND I GUESS THAT DIDN'T WORK BECAUSE I SEE NOW HE'S COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS. THE IRONY OF THE HIT PIECE WAS THAT IT WAS PUT OUT BY THE NORTH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE WHOSE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR JOE GALLI WAS A MEMBER OF THE SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP CLASS THAT CAME UP WITH THIS CIVIL DISCOURSE IDEA. NOW, WHEN THIS CAME OUT, I DIDN'T HEAR ANYTHING FROM SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP ABOUT THAT'S UNCIVIL. SOMETHING SHOULD BE DONE ABOUT THAT. DIDN'T HEAR ANYTHING FROM THE HUMAN RELATIONS COMMISSION. DIDN'T HEAR IT FROM THE THREE CANDIDATES WHO WERE THE BENEFICIARIES OF THIS. SO, MY QUESTION WOULD BE, IF YOU'RE NOT GOING TO COMPLAIN ABOUT THIS WHAT ARE YOU GOING TO COMPLAIN ABOUT? WHAT GOOD IS THIS. I THINK THE ANSWER IS IT ISN'T ANY GOOD. I THINK IT'S MORE DESIGNED TO SUPPRESS SOME

PEOPLE WHO AREN'T PART OF THE LOCAL ESTABLISHMENT BUT I WOULD SAY THIS. IF YOU GUYS LET THIS GO FROM ONE OF YOUR OWN, WHAT GOOD IS THIS? AND THAT'S WHY I'M OPPOSED TO IT. I THINK IT'S A HOAX.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU, COUNCILMAN: I DON'T THINK WE HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THIS HERE. WE DON'T HAVE ANY FURTHER COMMENTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, GREAT PRESENTATION.

Cynthia Wenstrom: THANK YOU.

Mayor Lane: I THINK THAT ONE OF THE THINGS WE PROBABLY DO WANT TO DISCUSS IS JUST WHY WE MOVED THIS TO THIS, OR I SHOULD SAY WE MOVED THE NEXT ITEM WHICH WILL BE THE POLICY AND PROCEDURES TO THIS. SO, IF WE WANT TO EITHER AND HELP ME MR. WASHBURN IF THIS IS SOMETHING WE NEED TO CONSIDER. IF THIS IS A RESOLUTION THAT AND PARDON ME FOR INTERRUPTING LINDA, IF THIS IS A RESOLUTION THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MODIFIED RATHER THAN TO JUST HAVE A RESOLUTION THAT WE NEEDED TO MAKE A REQUEST. I'M TALKING ABOUT BY THOSE FOLKS WHO ARE LOOKING INTO INSERT THIS INTO OUR POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AS WE DID EARLIER WHETHER WE NEED TO CHANGE IT TO SOME OTHER FORM OR JUST TO MODIFY THIS RESOLUTION IN ORDER TO DO THAT: AND I GUESS I'M JUST LOOKING TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE AN APPROACH TO THIS IF THAT'S WHAT THIS COUNCIL DECIDES TO DO.

Bruce Washburn: MAYOR, THAT WAS WHY I SUGGESTED CONTINUING THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES UNTIL AFTER THIS ITEM. AFTER YOU COMPLETE THIS ITEM, AND THEN TAKE UP THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AGAIN I THINK THAT'S THE APPROPRIATE PLACE TO DISCUSS INSERTING THE CIVIL DIALOGUE.

[Time: 02:01:10]

Mayor Lane: SO AT THIS POINT ON THIS ITEM, THERE'S REALLY NOT, WE DO NOT NECESSARILY HAVE TO ADDRESS.

Bruce Washburn: IN FACT, I WOULD ADVISE AGAINST IT BECAUSE THIS ITEM ISN'T AGENDIZED TO PUT INTO THE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES WE WOULD DO IT IN THE NEXT.

Mayor Lane: OKAY THAT'S WHAT I WAS LOOKING FOR. THANK YOU MR. WASHBURN. COUNCILMEMBER.

Councilmember Korte: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A RESOLUTION REGARDING THE DIALOGUE.

Mayor Lane: MOTION MADE AND SECONDED. ALL RIGHT VERY GOOD. WHOEVER IT WAS WOULD LIKE TO SPEAK TOWARD IT OR NO.

Councilman Robbins: I GUESS I VOICED MY RESERVATION SOMEWHAT NOT AGAINST WHAT IS TRYING TO BE ESTABLISHED BUT MAKING SURE WE DO ESTABLISH SOMETHING AND NOT JUST FEEL GOOD ABOUT OURSELVES AFTERWARD. I AGREE WITH THE CONCEPT AND I WANT IT TO WORK. SO I GUESS MAYBE THAT'S WHY I DREW THAT OUT AND PUSHED IT FORWARD TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE SOMETHING AT THE END OF THE DAY. AND IT WON'T ALWAYS WORK, THERE'S TIMES WHEN THINGS

HAPPEN AND PEOPLES' PASSIONS FLAIR OR THEY CHOOSE NOT TO CONDUCT THEMSELVES APPROPRIATELY. SO YOU KNOW THINGS WILL STILL HAPPEN. BUT I AGREE WITH IT AND WANT TO WORK. AND I'M GLAD AND SUPPORT YOUR EFFORTS TO DO SO.

Mayor Lane: THANK YOU COUNCILMAN. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

Councilman Littlefield: I NOTICED I NEVER DID GET AN ANSWER TO MY QUESTION AS TO WHY NOBODY FROM SCOTTSDALE LEADERSHIP SPOKE OUT AGAINST THIS. BUT BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED THAT THIS IS A, NOT VERY EFFECTIVE, I'M GOING TO MAKE AN ALTERNATE MOTION THAT WE ENCOURAGE EVERYBODY TO BE NICE TO EACH OTHER AND OBEY THE GOLDEN RULE.

Councilwoman Milhaven: QUESTION, WOULD THAT ONE DOES NOT PRECLUDE THE OTHER, IS THAT CORRECT?

Bruce Washburn: SINCE I'M YOUR PARLIAMENTARIAN, I THINK THE ALTERNATE MOTION IS OUT OF ORDER BECAUSE IT'S NOT PART OF THE ORDINANCE.

Councilwoman Milhaven: THEN I WOULD THROW MY SECOND, THANK YOU.

Councilman Littlefield: I WOULD SAY IT'S A TRUE ALTERNATE FRANKLY. BUT I GUESS IT'S A MATTER OF OPINION.

Councilwoman Milhaven: I WILL SAY IN PRINCIPLE, I AGREE WITH YOU COUNCILMAN.

Mayor Lane: I AGREE TO DISAGREE. OKAY THEN WE DO HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND FOR ACCEPTANCE OF THE RESOLUTION TO HAVE BEEN INDICATED 9445. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR INDICATE BY AYE, NOT IF FAVOR NAY. MOTION PASSES 6-1 WITH COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD OPPOSING IT. SO THAT DOES TAKE CARE OF THAT ITEM.

ITEM 31 – COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE

[Time: 02:04:24]

Mayor Lane: WHICH WE NOW WILL MOVE ON TO THE ITEM THAT WE DEFERRED TILL AFTER ITEM 32 AND THAT IS ITEM 31 AND THAT'S COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE. SO I THINK WE HAVE GONE THROUGH THE PRESENTATION AND I THINK THERE SHOULD BE SOME FOLLOW UP CONVERSATION. SO UNLESS SOMEONE ELSE HAS SOME COMMENTS AT THIS POINT IN TIME WE WILL WAIT FOR COUNCILWOMAN MILHAVEN TO COME BACK SINCE IT WAS HER MOTION I BELIEVE. DENNIS WAS IT YOUR SECOND ON IT.

Councilman Robbins: NO BUT I HAVE A QUESTION. I WILL START THE DISCUSSION SINCE WE HAVE THE CITY ATTORNEY STANDING THERE. IF THE COUNCIL WERE TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION INTO OUR RULES OF PROCEDURE WHERE WOULD THAT GO AND HOW BEST WOULD WE DO THAT?

Bruce Washburn: I HAVE A MODEST PROPOSAL. MY PROPOSAL WOULD BE TO ADD A NEW RULE 10.2. WHICH SAYS IT IS PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE AS ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL AND RESOLUTION

NUMBER 9433, SHALL SERVE AS THE GUIDE FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS. AND THEN RE-NUMBER ALL THE FOLLOWING SECTIONS OF SECTION 10 TO ACCOMMODATE THAT INSERTION. THE IDEA THAT THAT PUTS THE PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE INTO THE RULES AS A GUIDE WHICH IS WHAT THEY ARE AFTER ALL, THEY ARE GUIDES.

Councilman Robbins: YEAH I WOULD AGREE. I THINK IT MAKES SENSE AND IT'S A GOOD PLACE TO PUT IT.

Mayor Lane: IS THAT A MOTION THEN, I'M SORRY?

Councilman Robbins: OKAY THEN I WILL MAKE THE MOTION THAT THE COUNCIL, IF YOU CAN REMIND ME THE RESOLUTION NUMBER AGAIN.

Bruce Washburn: 9433.

Councilman Robbins: THAT THE COUNCIL INSERT RESOLUTION 9433 INTO OUR COUNCIL RULES OF PROCEDURE. AND THAT WE ALSO ADOPT THE TWO AMENDMENTS THAT WE HAD EARLIER DISCUSSED INTO OUR RULES OF PROCEDURE.

Bruce Washburn: I'M SORRY, JUST TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND THE MOTION. SO THEN WERE YOU THEN INCLUDING MY PROPOSED PARAGRAPH 10.2. THE MOTION WOULD BE TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION NUMBER 9433 WHICH ACTUALLY ALREADY INCLUDES THE TWO RULES THAT I UP ON THE ELMO. BUT ALSO THEY ADD TO THE RESOLUTION PARAGRAPH 10.2 THAT READS THE PRINCIPLES OF CIVIL DIALOGUE AS ADOPTED BY THE COUNCIL IN RESOLUTION 9445 SHALL SERVE AS A GUIDE FOR THE CONDUCT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS AND THE OTHER SECTIONS WOULD BE RENUMBERED ACCORDINGLY.

Councilman Robbins: YES, SO MOVED.

Vice Mayor Klapp: SECOND.

Mayor Lane: AND THE SECOND, WE HAVE A SECOND ON THAT, THANK YOU. OKAY IT'S BEEN MADE AND MOTIONS WERE MADE AND SECONDED. COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD.

[Time: 02:07:43]

Councilman Littlefield: WELL, WITH ALL THIS TALK ABOUT BEING NICE TO EACH OTHER. I THINK WE ALSO HAVE TO GO BACK TO MY ORIGINAL OBJECTION TO THIS WAS THE SECTION THAT ACTUALLY DILUTES THE INFLUENCE OF THE CITY ATTORNEY ON THE COUNCIL ACTION ITEMS. WHICH IS FRANKLY MORE IMPORTANT THAN THIS MEETING WAS THE THING ABOUT CIVIL DISCOURSE. I STILL OBJECT TO THAT AND THAT'S THE REASON WHY I'LL BE OBJECTING TO THIS.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: YOUR HONOR, CAN WE JUST CLARIFY THE SECOND FOR THAT MOTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

Mayor Lane: SO WITH THAT THEN I THINK WE'RE READY THEN TO VOTE. ALL THOSE IN FAVOR OF THE MOTION HAS BEEN STATED. PLEASE INDICATE WITH AYE AND THOSE OPPOSED WITH A NAY. MOTION PASSES, 5-2 WITH COUNCILMAN LITTLEFIELD AND COUNCILMAN PHILLIPS OPPOSING. THAT COMPLETES ITEM 31 WHICH DID FOLLOW OUT OF SEQUENCE. WE HAVE NO FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT. WE DO, WE HAVE ANY RECEIPT OF PETITIONS, WE HAVE NONE.

ADJOURNMENT

[Time: 02:09:10]

Mayor Lane: I WOULD ENTERTAIN A MOTION TO ADJOURN.

Councilman Littlefield: MOTION TO ADJOURN.

Mayor Lane: MOVED AND SECONDED, ALL THOSE IN FAVOR. PLEASE INDICATE BY AYE. AND WE NEED TO RECONVENE TO THE SPECIAL MEETING, SO THAT REGULAR MEETING HAS BEEN ADJOURNED.