

---

This document was created from the closed caption transcript of the August 24, 2020 City Council Regular meeting and has not been checked for completeness or accuracy of content.

A copy of the agenda for this meeting, including a summary of the action taken on each agenda item, is available online at:

<https://www.scottsdaleaz.gov/Assets/ScottsdaleAZ/Council/current-agendas-minutes/2020-agendas/08-24-20-regular-agenda.pdf>

An unedited digital video recording of the meeting, which can be used in conjunction with the transcript, is available online at:

<http://www.Scottsdaleaz.gov/Scottsdale-video-network/Council-video-archives/2020-archives>

For ease of reference, included throughout the transcript are bracketed "time stamps" [Time: 00:00:00] that correspond to digital video recording time.

For more information about this transcript, please contact the City Clerk's Office at 480-312-2411.

---

#### **CALL TO ORDER**

[Time: 00:00:03]

Mayor Lane: Good evening, everyone, it's nice to have you here or on the line with us. It's approximately 5 p.m. and I would like to call to order our August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020, regular meeting. And I would ask the city clerk Carolyn Jagger to please conduct the roll call.

#### **ROLL CALL**

[Time: 00:00:22]

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Mayor Jim Lane.

Mayor Lane: Present.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Vice Mayor Solange Whitehead.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Present.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Councilmembers Suzanne Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Virginia Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Kathy Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Linda Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: Guy Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Manager Jim Thompson.

City Manager Jim Thompson: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Attorney Sherry Scott.

City Attorney Sherry Scott: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Treasurer Jeff Nichols.

City Treasurer Jeff Nichols: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: City Auditor Sharron Walker.

City Auditor Sharron Walker: Here.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: And the Clerk is present.

**MAYOR'S REPORT**

[Time: 00:00:51]

Mayor Lane: Thank you. As we continue to conduct our council meetings by following the CDC public health guidance on social distancing, I appreciate the councilmembers charter officers and staff in attendance that are assisting and facilitating our meeting.

It's being televised on Cox Cable Channel 11, and Scottsdaleaz.gov. We do have Scottsdale police officer, Terry Wells and fire marshal, Bruce Story here with us in anyone needs their assistance. Thank you, gentlemen.

**APPROVAL OF MINUTES**

[Time: 00:01:34]

Our next item of business is the approval of minutes for our regular meeting minutes of June 30<sup>th</sup>, 2020, July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2020, and July 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2020.

I would accept a motion and a second unless there are any other comments to be made on them.

Councilmember Klapp: Move to approve the minutes as you listed them.

Councilman Phillips: Second.

Mayor Lane: The motion has been made by Councilmember Klapp and seconded by Councilman Phillips. Okay. So then we are ready to vote. It looks like everybody is pretty much online with us. So we are unanimous in acceptance of those minutes. Thank you very much.

**CONSENT AGENDA**

[Time: 00:02:22]

Mayor Lane: Our next item is the consent agenda, items 1 through 16.

I see no cards or any comments on them that we haven't already received ahead of time.

**MOTION AND VOTE – CONSENT AGENDA**

[Time: 00:02:34]

Councilmember Korte: Mayor. I would like to move to accept consent agenda items 1 through 16.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Second.

Mayor Lane: Motion has been made by Councilmember Korte and seconded by Councilwoman Littlefield. We're then ready to vote to approve our consent agenda items 1 through 16. All those in favor, please indicate by aye and register your vote. Those opposed with a nay.

It's unanimous in acceptance of the consent items.

**REGULAR AGENDA ITEM 17 – CANALSIDE REZONING (1-ZN-2020)**

[Time: 00:03:06]

Mayor Lane: Moving along to our regular agenda items, item 17. The singular item on the regular agenda, it's canalside rezoning, 1-ZN-2020. The presenter is Greg Bloemberg, our senior planner, undoubtedly making his way.

Senior Planner Greg Bloemberg: Thank you, Mayor Lane, members of the council. I want to make sure that I can be heard. This is Greg Bloemberg speaking.

Mayor Lane: Okay. If you say so. [ Chuckles ]

[Time: 00:03:30]

Senior Planner Greg Bloemberg: Okay, this is Greg Bloemberg, senior planner to give you a brief introduction to rezoning, 1-ZN-2020, the canalside project. I will give you a brief introduction with a couple of slides that I feel are -- that warrant some attention and let you -- give you some background on how we got here, and then the applicant is going to follow my presentation with a much more detailed presentation of the project. Next slide, please.

The site is located very close to the intersection of north 68<sup>th</sup> street and east Indian School. It's actually at the intersection of east Indian School and east Fifth Avenue. Hotel valley ho to the south and the valley shops to the east. Next slide, please.

Closer look at the site, SRP actually owns the parcel right at the intersection, which is unfortunate. It would be nice if we could get that corner redone, but that is not part of this project. Next slide, please. The property is presently zoned C-2, which is general commercial downtown overlay. Next slide, please.

If this request is successful, the zoning will become downtown, downtown multiple use type two, plan block development downtown overlay. Lots of acronyms for you to consider. Our next slide, please.

And as I mentioned, this particular location or parcel is located within the old town boundary at the old town boundary and is designated for downtown multiple use. Next slide, please.

So as part of this request and allowed by the plan block development, the applicant is proposing some development standards that are a little different from the standard downtown development standards. They are not amendments. They are basically just proposed development standards associated with the plan block development. One of them is gross floor area ratio.

Standard downtown allowance is 1.3, and the applicant is seeking 1.4, which is actually allowed with the PBD, so just a slight increase from the gross floor area ratio.

Building location basically regulates where the building sits in relationship to the setbacks and to the property line. They are looking to adjust those -- the building locations slightly to accommodate this project design.

And then for the setbacks, the standard step back is 1 to 1, beginning at 30 feet in height adjacent to the public street. They are seeking to amend -- not amend, they are proposing 1:1 beginning at 45 feet in height. No other standards are proposed and no bonus development standards are proposed as part of this request. Next slide, please.

So this is the open space plan. The reason why I want to show you this is because the downtown or the old town area does not require a lot of -- does not require any open space for projects and it's worth pointing out that this particular development includes quite a bit of open space. Some along Indian School, some along 5<sup>th</sup> avenue and, of course, along the canal bank.

So it's worth noting that they are providing almost 9400 square feet of open space with this project, even though it's not required. Next slide, please. And this is the circulation plan.

It's worth noting that we will be getting some updated sidewalks along Indian School and Fifth Avenue, detached from the street curb, 8 feet wide. We'll also be getting a mid-block pedestrian connection. If you look towards the upper right corner of this slide, you will see a pedestrian connection from Fifth Avenue to the canal bank. That's something that we are pretty happy about, because we don't have a lot of mid-block connections along this stretch of old town.

So if this project were to come to fruition, that would be a great connection for pedestrians to get from the canal or to the canal from Fifth Avenue. Next slide, please. The development review board considered this case on June 18<sup>th</sup>, 2020. They recommended approval with a vote of 7-0, but there was direction to the applicant.

Some of that direction was to revisit the building design, particularly the canal frontage. Explore alternative color palate. The colors that were originally proposed were rather dark. Explore opportunity to landscape a new pedestrian connection, a mid-block pedestrian connection and add perhaps -- perhaps add a public art element to the refuse enclosure because the refuse enclosure is next to the pedestrian connection and we can go back to that slide if you need to see that.

And then because this is such a prominent location at the gateway to the city, the board requests that they return prior to formal hear to view the revised design. Next slide, please.

Planning commission considered this case on July 8<sup>th</sup>, 2020. They recommended approval of the vote of 5-0. They echoed some of the feedback received from the DRB. And they mentioned specifically because of its location at the gateway to old town, it should set a precedence for future redevelopment along the south side of the canal.

So they are very sensitive to how this building is designed going forward. Next slide, please. That concludes my presentation or staff's presentation. Unless there are any immediate questions, I can turn it over to the applicant for their presentation.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Bloemberg. Do we have any questions of this presenter? If not, maybe we'll hold them until after the applicant does his presentation. So thank you again. Mr. Morris, are you

on the line?

[Time: 00:10:53]

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: I am, mayor. Thank you. And thank you to the councilmembers. I appreciate the opportunity to present this. I also want to thank Mr. Bloemberg. If we could start with the next slide.

As you are aware, mayor and council, this site is a small infill parcel adjacent to the canal. It's one of the more exciting parcels that we have had an opportunity to bring before you in that it is an opportunity to create a new entranceway into Fifth Avenue at the same time it provides an opportunity to take a vacant lot and something that at one point was a service station and has been underutilized or completely unutilized for years, and put something significant on that parcel, but also keep it within the bounds architecturally, design-wise, and locationally, to make sense for a project of one acre, a little over one acre which is why it also reflects one of the smallest multifamily projects.

My office has been associated with not only in the city of Scottsdale, but valley wide. This is an extraordinarily small project at 54 units. It is certainly not what you are used to seeing. But as we look at the surrounding context, across the street we have the Valley Ho. We have adjacent residential on the north side of the canal. We have associated retail east of us along Fifth Avenue, mostly service retail along with office use. Next slide, please.

As we get a little bit closer to the site, you can see access is a little bit unusual in that although we are adjacent to Indian School Road, there's no access on to Indian School Road, because of its proximity to the intersection. Instead, the access is going to be on the east side of the project along Fifth Avenue where the residents will access the garage which is under the building. The building itself is three stories of residential, sitting on top of the garage. Next slide, please.

As we look at a series of what the city calls for in this area, I think it's worth noting that the plans that we are going to look at in this next series of slides all relate to what should occur on this property and this is important because there is already a blueprint for this area, and more specifically for this site. And as the DRB and the planning commissions, as well as staff recognize, we fit that blueprint extraordinarily well, at the same time, we are able to offer public amenities that haven't been offered on a series of other cases. Next slide, please.

So as we saw from the general plan, it calls for this type of use as we get into more of these specific plans, this is the old town character area plan that talks about the future land use being downtown multiple use, which is precisely what we are requesting as part of this application. That encourages new developments and redevelopment and talks about the downtown nature and the mix of uses.

As you are aware, this project is not only the residential component, but there's a small retail component which anchors the gateway to Fifth Avenue that we'll see a little bit later in the site plan. Next slide, please.

The downtown development type is type two. We are not requesting any changes to that. We are simply working within the type two development, but it's also worth noting that the type two development, as staff pointed out, could accommodate a much taller building, a much more dense building and we are immediately across the street for intensive type two and a half district, which would permit 120 feet. And when I say across the street, I mean on the other side of Fifth Avenue, merely feet away from us. It is type 2.5, even the type two that we are permitted would allow significantly more height, up to 78 feet with bonuses. We are at 52 feet, with 56 feet to the top of all of our pertinences. Next slide, please.

The old town districts are broken up as you are well aware into many different districts. We are within the Arizona canal district. As you look at what the canal district calls for, it talks about incorporating a mixture of land uses that activate the canal with visitors and residents year-round. Clearly that's what we will be doing and hopefully we will illustrate that to you with some of our further exhibits. Next slide, please.

The existing land use map shows this is a C2 site within the downtown overlay. As you can imagine, there are a series of C2 uses that are permitted, including multifamily. Next slide, please.

This is a view of the site, essentially across Indian School Road, if you were looking from the valley ho driveway. This is what you are currently seeing. You can see the office building, the mirrored office building on our east end and essentially just construction fencing along the site which has been the case for some time now.

Next slide, this is the view if you were traveling westbound on Fifth Avenue. As you get to the ending of Fifth Avenue, this is not a strong retail area. As we saw in earlier exhibits, the access is a little bit stilted for retail because of your lack of access from Indian School Road, and this is not a particularly strong retail area because there is a plethora of office had at this end of Fifth Avenue, and the retail itself is typically service retail, and we'll talk a little bit about that as well.

But this is not a heavily pedestrian area, and one of the reasons for that is over the years, it has not been developed. It has not been a good pedestrian scenario. We are rebuilding the sidewalks. We are revegetating the area and although we are not required to have open space, we are having a very significant open space that really creates a gateway for Fifth Avenue with bench seating and art. Next slide, please.

The project site itself is adjacent to the SRP pump station and the canal. It's an odd-shaped parcel with really one true neighbor on the east side, which is the service retail building that I mentioned. Next slide.

As you look at the proposal, mayor and council, you will see that the building itself is pushed away from the street front so it's not to crowd the street front and not to create an undesirable pedestrian situation. So we have backed away from Fifth Avenue, and backed away from Indian school road, in order to create an area in front of the building that can be landscaped and left open and you can see

the smaller building adjacent to the corner of Indian school road and Fifth Avenue.

It's a small retail building that we envision as a juice or coffee opportunity that will have awnings on both sides, and I don't know if it's clear from this exhibit, but hopefully will be in later exhibits that there will be a rebuilt landscaped entry way to Fifth Avenue, which will include bench seating and art at the heart -- at the intersection there at Fifth Avenue right adjacent to the awning. So it's not only a good retail opportunity to create interest, but also the pedestrian opportunity. Next slide, please.

These are some of the things that I mentioned already, or staff has pointed out, whether it's the new 8-foot sidewalk or the art or the public bench seating, creating a space for the existing shop side but one of the things that staff was particularly commentary of and fought for early on in the application process, was the pedestrian pathway to the canal, which you see on our east side in green. That is one of the few mid-block access points to the canal.

There will be signage directing people to the canal and from the canal out to Fifth Avenue. The other merchants on Fifth Avenue were very supportive of this, because they feel it's a great way for people who are already using the canal to access Fifth Avenue and perhaps take advantage of any retail opportunities or services and we think it's a good public service to have that pathway, not only for our residents.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: One minute remaining.

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: I'm sorry?

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: There's one minute remaining.

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: Thank you. Next slide, please. Here's a sense of the building itself, as you are looking from Indian School, we can go to the next slide. This is the Indian School looking east. So you see both the large overhangs, the large balconies, the inset balconies. It's not only a good-looking building architecturally, but it's also a significant building, while only being three stories residential. Next slide, please.

This gives you a good sense of the canal scape, one of the important aspects of this is the ability to improve the canal and to set the bar for what future canalscapes will look like. This is both public and private. So there's access to bench seating. There's landscaping.

There's essentially passive amenities for those people already taking advantage of the canal, and for those residents of the building accessing the canal as well as the public in general. Next slide, please.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: That's time.

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: Thank you. I will -- mayor, with your permission, just finish the presentation briefly.



Mayor Lane: Go ahead.

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: If I could go to the next slide. And one more. Mayor, I will conclude by just noting the public benefits. We are here with a project that fits within all of the known planning for this area. It comes to you with both DRB and planning commission unanimous and staff support.

We worked extensively with the merchants in the area. And they are delighted with the idea of 54 units of potential retail shoppers and service-oriented customers for the Fifth Avenue merchants. I will also point out that we are keeping key access points for the public open, as well as the open space and dedications to the city to improve Indian School Road.

With that, I will pause for any questions you may have, and would be delighted to answer anything that you may need to know. But we would request your support for this.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Mr. Morris. Do we have questions of the applicant's representative? Vice Mayor Whitehead?

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Since nobody else is speaking, I will speak. There's a lot of things to like about this project. I think that the area does need residential versus just commercial. I like the open space. I like the connectivity to the canal, but I think we have a case of -- I want to say Goldilocks. The c-3 downtown overlay was just way too little, because it was commercial when we don't really need a lot of commercial in this area because we already have Fifth Avenue, but by going for the downtown multiple use type two PBD, planned block development, the applicant has maxed out on how much can be put on this tiny little entrance to our city, and so that is where my concern is.

I think that the applicant could have and in my opinion should have come to us and said, we need more height, and I would agree with that, and we need residential and I would agree with that too, which dropping the PBD maybe would be between somewhere under 50, but probably over 30 units.

So, I think that would have been a better fit for this parcel. But again, I can't support it because it is such an intense project, even though I like many aspects an intense project for a one acre piece of land. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Whitehead. Any other questions? Yes, Councilwoman Milhaven.

**MOTION – ITEM 17**

[Time: 00:24:38]

Councilwoman Milhaven: Well, looking at the pictures and the walkways along the canal and the pedestrian, while it may feel like it's too much for the spot, I think it's perfect for this spot. I will go ahead and make a motion to adopt ordinance 4464, approving zoning district map amendment for

central business district downtown overlay to downtown/downtown multiple use type 2 planned block development, downtown overplay zoning, and to adopt resolution, 11879 to declare the canalside development plan.

Councilmember Korte: I second that.

Councilwoman Klapp: Second.

Mayor Lane: The motion was made by mill haven and seconded by, I believe, Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: I don't believe this was maxed out, when you have all of this open space and none is required. I think they tried to put a project here that does respect the -- the Fifth Avenue and pedestrian walkways and provides good land scaping in the front and nice canalscaping in the back.

So I think they have taken a very difficult piece of land and created the highest and best uses of this 1 acre -- 1.1-acre property that they could.

So I believe it's a good project and it's one I'll vote for it.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Klapp. Any other comments on the project? Councilwoman Littlefield?

[Time: 00:26:04]

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you very much. I will not be supporting this project tonight. I think this is jam packed, as many as you can cram in residential units into a 1 acre parcel.

It's 54 units on one acre of land. 51 of them are one bedroom apartments. So you have to have parking and all of that for those people. If they are a married couple, you have to hope to high heavens that they don't both have a car, because there is no place to be them.

I'm not sure at this day and age that that's residential that they need. People are working from home and kids are working from home, and they are all one bedroom apartments. I'm not sure this is what people are looking for in residential.

This' not enough parking -- there's not enough parking for 54 units. There's 66. When I was talking to one of the gentleman about this, we will take some of the parking from Fifth Avenue. I hope the Fifth Avenue merchants and people realize that they will lose some parking for this residential unit. It's crammed. It's packed.

It's a residential use on a mixed-use property, and I'm sorry they are going to put in a juice bar or some sort of thing like that, that's not -- that doesn't make it commercial. That just makes it residential on a mixed-use property. And so I don't think it's appropriate for this spot.

The pictures are very pretty and I like the pictures, but I don't think that that's what needs to go on this corner. Not this amount of residential use. So I will not be supporting this. I hope they come back with something a little bit less jam packed. Thank you.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Councilwoman Littlefield. Any other comments? Yes?

Vice Mayor Whitehead: I had a question that I forgot to ask. This is probably a question for staff. The -- I guess Mr. Morris indicated that there will be no short-term rentals in this building. I wanted confirmation from staff, how -- how are we committing to this promise?

Is there something in writing that will prohibit this from turning into, you know, a property with a lot of short-term rentals.

Mayor Lane: Sherry, would you like to address that?

City Attorney Sherry Scott: I would like to hear from Mr. Morris on that. I'm not aware that there's any legal document, unless they have some sort of deed restriction on the -- on the property.

Mayor Lane: Let me ask you then this, whether that was said -- and I would want to hear from him, I suppose, too, but I don't believe there was any document made to it. But the question is raised as to whether or not they can be restricted or not, and maybe that's a question I would like to find out whether that is something we could force them to do, or not, whether their statement of what their plan is, is sufficient.

City Attorney Sherry Scott: The city would not be able to write a stipulation to require that and so unless they self-imposed a deed restriction, and -- and I -- perhaps they have and I just don't know. So I'm wondering if Mr. Morris is still on the phone to answer that question.

Mayor Lane: But they would have to do that themselves, right?

City Attorney Sherry Scott: Yes.

Mayor Lane: Mr. Morris, are you there? Can you hear the question at hand?

Applicant Representative Jason Morris: Yes, we had mentioned that there's no intention to do anything less than a six month lease. It's much more likely that these will be nothing but 12-month leases. We are not going to be deed restricting the property obviously because other city ordinances can change in the interim, but that is the intent of the owner and operator.

**VOTE – ITEM 17**

[Time: 00:30:06]

Mayor Lane: All right. Thank you, Mr. Morris. If there's no other questions, we do have a motion and a second on the table. I think we are then ready to vote. All those in favor, please indicate by aye and those opposed with a nay and register your vote.

Motion passes 4-3 with Vice Mayor Whitehead, Councilman Phillips and Councilwoman Littlefield opposing. Thank you, Mr. Morris, and that completes that item.

That's our only regular agenda item. So we have the initiation of recently designated Vice Mayor taking over from here. So we would be moving on to our mayor and council items which we have the boards and commissions and task force appointments and for that, I will turn it over to our Vice Mayor Whitehead, please.

[Time: 00:31:14]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay. Thank you. So we'll be doing the board and commission appointments. The Scottsdale City Council is responsible for establishing City policies and enacting laws in support of those policies. The Council relies on volunteer, citizen-based boards and commissions to research issues and make recommendations in support of the Council's mission and goals.

The information and recommendations provided by Council-appointed advisory boards is a valuable tool in helping Councilmembers in their deliberations.

This evening, the City Council will be appointing Scottsdale residents interested in serving on citizen advisory boards and commissions. The appointments being made this evening were originally scheduled to take place earlier this year, however, were postponed due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.

As has been previously noted, we have transitioned into a new format for Council meetings and we are following the CDC/Public Health guidance on social distancing. As such, board and commission appointments will be following a new format.

Each nominee was asked to submit written responses for Council review and consideration and was asked to note the following: Their name, address and how long they have lived in Scottsdale. Their education, employment, or volunteer experience, and how it relates to the board or commission for which they have been nominated. And what they view as the top issue facing the board or commission for which they have been nominated.

Additionally, nominees had the option in submitting a brief supplemental video highlighting their background and experience. Submitted materials were included as part of tonight's Council meeting agenda and were provided to the Council for review and consideration prior to this meeting. I will review each board and commission and note the nominees for consideration. Following the review, I will entertain a vote for appointment. Okay.

[Time: 00:33:28]

So the first board is the Development Review Board and there's one opening. The purpose of the Development Review Board is to review and approve architectural design and layout of proposed development plans.

The special qualifications as outlined in the Scottsdale City Code are that the membership shall consist of a City Councilmember, a Planning Commission member and five public members, three of whom shall be architects, environmental scientists, landscape architects or persons otherwise qualified by design background training or experience; and two of whom shall be land developers, builders, or contractors.

There is one vacancy and two nominees. Jeffrey Brand has withdrawn his application from consideration. The nominees are: Andrea Davis and Shakir Gushgari. Mr. Gushgari is on the DRB current. His term is expiring and eligible for reappointment.

I will now entertain a vote for the development review board. Each councilmember can vote once. I think we'll go around the room. We will start with councilwoman -- how do you want to do it? Shall we all just vote per each person.

Mayor Lane: Your choice.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Councilwoman Littlefield.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will vote for Andrea Davis.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Councilman Phillips.

Councilman Phillips; Shakir Gushgari.

Councilmember Korte: Shakir Gushgari.

Mayor Lane: Shakir Gushgari.

Councilwoman Klapp: Shakir Gushgari.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Shakir Gushgari.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: And I will select Shakir Gushgari as well. Mr. Gushgari has been reappointed. Okay.

[Time: 00:35:27]

Next up is the human services commission. There's one opening. The Human Services Commission provides advisory recommendations to staff and the City Council on human services priorities and programs; and funding allocations for Scottsdale Cares, Community Development Block Grants, HOME, Human Services Emergency and General Funds. There is one vacancy and one nominee. The nominee is: Jayne Hubbard.

I think for this vote, we can just take a motion to vote on -- vote aye or nay on Jayne Hubbard. I will entertain a motion.

Mayor Lane: You are going right to the vote on it?

Vice Mayor Whitehead: No. You don't want to? We will go around.

Mayor Lane: Don't put Kathy on the spot every time.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Then let's start with Councilman Phillips.

Councilman Phillips: Jayne Hubbard.

Councilmember Korte: Jayne Hubbard.

Mayor Lane: Jayne Hubbard.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Jayne Hubbard.

Councilwoman Klapp: Jayne Hubbard.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Jayne Hubbard.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Jayne Hubbard. Jayne Hubbard has been appointed.

Next up is the judiciary appointments advisory board. There's one opening, citizen position.

The Judicial Appointments Advisory Board (JAAB) makes advisory recommendations to the City Council regarding the appointment and reappointment of full-time city judges. There is one vacancy and one nominee. The nominee is: Brian Adamovich. So let's start with Councilmember Korte.

Councilmember Korte: Brian Adamovich.

Mayor Lane: Brian Adamovich.

Councilwoman Klapp: Brian Adamovich.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Mr. Adamovich.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Mr. Adamovich.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: Mr. Adamovich.

[Time: 00:37:36]

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay. The library board has two openings. The Library Board advises the City Council on general policy relating to the programs, services and future development of the Scottsdale Public Libraries. There are two vacancies and four nominees. The nominees are: Sam Campana, Freda Hartman, Nicole Huser, Shiela Reyman.

Ms. Reyman's term is expiring and is eligible for reappointment. I will now entertain a vote for the Library Board. Each Councilmember can vote for two nominees. And we'll start with the mayor.

Mayor Lane: I vote for Freda Hartman and Nicole Huser.

Councilwoman Klapp: Sam Campana and Shiela Reyman.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Sam Campana and Shiela Reyman.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: I will vote for Freda Hartman and Shiela Reyman.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Freda Hartman and Shiela Reyman.

Councilman Phillips: Freda Hartman and Sheila Reyman.

Councilmember Korte: Sam Campana and Shiela Reyman.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: I am going to wait to see -- so it looks like we have Freda Hartman and Shiela Reyman have been appointed. Okay.

Moving to the Parks and Recreation commission, there are three openings. The Parks and Recreation Commission advises the City Council on the acquisitions of lands and facilities for use as parks or recreation centers and on the operation, use, care and maintenance of these parks and recreation areas. There are three vacancies and three nominees.

The nominees are: John Doering, Kurt Jones - Mr. Jones, his term has expired and eligible for reappoint. And Susan McGarry. I will entertain a vote for the parks and recreation. We start with Councilwoman Klapp.

Councilwoman Klapp: John Doering, Kurt Jones, and Susan McGarry.

Councilwoman Milhaven: John Doering, Kurt Jones and Susan McGarry.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: No additional.

Councilwoman Littlefield: No additional.

Councilman Phillips: Doering, Jones and McGarry.

Councilmember Korte: No additional.

City Clerk Carolyn Jagger: I want to clarify that no additional means you are voting for all three of these people.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Correct.

Mayor Lane: John Doering, Kurt Jones, and Susan McGarry.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Okay, John Doering, Kurt Jones and Susan McGarry have been appointed.

[Time: 00:40:38]

Okay. Next up is the transportation commission, and there is one opening.

The Transportation Commission advises the City Council on matters relating to the safe and efficient movement of vehicles, transit, pedestrians and bicycles. The commission provides a public forum to hear citizen complaints and requests regarding transportation matters.

There is one vacancy and two nominees. Karen Kowal has withdrawn her application from consideration. The nominees are: David Dubner, Pamela Iacovo. Ms. Iacovo's term has expired and is eligible for reappointment.

I will now entertain a vote for the Transportation Commission. Each Councilmember can vote for one nominee. And I think we are talk starting with Milhaven.

Councilwoman Milhaven: Pamela Iacovo.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: Iacovo.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Ms. Iacovo.

Councilman Phillips: David Dubner.

Mayor Lane: Iacovo.

Councilmember Korte: Iacovo.

Councilwoman Klapp: Iacovo.

Vice Mayor Whitehead: This concludes our appointment process for today. I would like to thank all



our nominees for their interest in serving on a city board or commission. We are fortunate to continue to receive qualified applicants for the positions on our Boards and Commissions.

City staff will contact the individuals appointed this evening and provide them information regarding their appointment. The City of Scottsdale is very lucky to have such dedicated and talented individuals who are willing and ready to serve for our city. I will turn it back to the mayor.

Mayor Lane: Thank you, Vice Mayor. Nice job. That does complete our business in our regular meeting, unless there's any council items. Hearing none -- yes, I'm sorry. Okay. Councilman Phillips.

#### **MOTION AND VOTE – MAYOR AND COUNCIL ITEMS**

[Time: 00:42:37]

Councilmember Phillips: Thank you, mayor. So recently there was a presentation that was afforded to the council about our wireless ordinance. I don't know who watched it, but I found it very interesting. Concerns were brought up about 5G. that could possibly expose the city to litigation as well as opportunities for the city that could create additional revenue.

For these reasons and other concerns, I move to direct staff to agendize a discussion on the implementation of 5G in the city, with possible council action at the earliest possible council meeting.

Councilwoman Littlefield: I will second that.

Mayor Lane: The motion has been made and seconded for -- to agendize this item. Okay. And seconded by Councilwoman Littlefield. Any other comments on this particular item? If none -- yes, I'm sorry. The second should be able to speak toward it as well.

Councilwoman Littlefield: Thank you. And I'm sure the rest of us have all received a lot of emails lately regarding the 5G and it coming into Scottsdale and actually being installed in various places throughout the city and I think -- I'm not trying to say aye or nay.

I just think a discussion of it, what it involves and what the realities are and how the city plans on moving forward or not on this issue would be helpful to everyone and our citizens would like to hear it. So I think it would be good.

Mayor Lane: Okay. Thank you. I think we are then ready to vote on it. There's no further comment. All of those in favor please indicate by aye. Those opposed with a nay.

Motion passes 4-3 with Councilmember Klapp, myself and Councilmember Korte opposing. So it will be then agendized for future meeting. All right.

#### **ADJOURNMENT**

[Time: 00:44:46]

With that then, any other council items? And hearing none, I would ask for a motion to adjourn.

Councilmembers: So moved. Seconded.

Mayor Lane: Moved and moved and seconded with all of those in favor of adjournment, please indicate by aye.

[Chorus of ayes]

Mayor Lane: We are adjourned. Thank you very much.